

Sep 20, 2021

Disciplinary Board

Docket # 079

## DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

MELISSA ANN HUELSMAN,

Lawyer (Bar No. 30935).

Proceeding No. 19#00078

ODC File No. 18-00452

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

Following settlement conference conducted under ELC 10.12(h)

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Under Rule 9.1 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), and following a settlement conference conducted under ELC 10.12(h), the following Stipulation to Suspension is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through managing disciplinary counsel Kathy Jo Blake and Respondent lawyer Melissa Ann Huelsman.

18

19

Respondent understands that they are entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present

20

exhibits and witnesses on their behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts,

21

misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that they are entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the

22

Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an

23

outcome more favorable or less favorable to them. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding

Stipulation to Discipline

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Stipulation to Discipline Page 1

OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539
(206) 727-8207

24 || \$

1	now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to avoid the risk,
2	time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.
3	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE
4	1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on February 9,
5	2001.
6	2. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of California on December 11,
7	1997 and is on inactive status there.
8	II. STIPULATED FACTS
9	3. On March 18, 2018, ODC received notice of an overdraft on Respondent's trust
10	account at US Bank ending in #8025 and opened a grievance.
11	4. In response to ODC's request for an explanation of the cause of the overdraft and for
12	bank and trust account records, Respondent said that she had two trust accounts: a "main" trust
13	account at US Bank ending in #5482, and a "second" trust account at US Bank ending in #8025.
14	She said that the overdraft occurred because she mistakenly had issued a check from account
15	#8025 instead of account #5482.
16	5. Respondent did not provide a checkbook register for either trust account.
17	6. Respondent was not keeping trust account check registers as required by Rule
18	1.15B(a)(1) of the Rules of Professional Conduct (RPC).
19	7. Although Respondent provided individual client ledgers, her ledgers did not include
20	for each transaction the purpose of the transaction, the date of the transaction, the check number,
21	the payor and payee for the transaction, or the client ledger balance after each transaction as
22	required by RPC 1.15B(a)(2).
23	8. Respondent did not provide any records showing that she was reconciling her trust
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

1	account records to the bank statements and to her cheft ledgers as often as she received bank
2	statements, which was monthly.
3	9. Respondent was not reconciling her trust accounts to the bank records and the client
4	ledgers on a monthly basis as required by RPC 1.15A(h)(6).
5	10. ODC's auditor reconstructed Respondent's trust accounts based on bank and trust
6	account records provided by Respondent for the period of January 1, 2018 through March 31,
7	2018.
8	11. The auditor's reconstruction showed that as of March 31, 2018, the combined total of
9	the check register balances for both of Respondent's trust accounts was \$125,378.27, but the total
10	of all positive client ledger balances was \$145,178.45. According to Respondent's trust account
11	records, there was a shortage of client funds in Respondent's trust accounts on March 31, 2018
12	of \$19,800.18.
13	12. Respondent failed to maintain client funds in trust as required by RPC 1.15A(c)(1)
14	and RPC 1.15A(h)(8).
15	13. Respondent has made efforts to reconstruct Respondent's trust account, but to date has
16	not completed the reconstruction.
17	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT
18	14. By failing to maintain check registers for her trust accounts, Respondent violated RPC
19	1.15B(a)(1).
20	15. By failing to maintain complete client ledgers that for each transaction identified: 1)
21	the purpose of the transaction; 2) the transaction date; 3) the check number for each disbursement;
22	4) the payor or payee; and/or 5) the client ledger balance following each transaction, Respondent
23	violated RPC 1.15B(a)(2).
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

1	16. By failing to reconcile her trust account records as often as she received bank
2	statements, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(h)(6).
3	17. By failing to hold clients' funds in trust and by disbursing more funds than what the
4	clients had on deposit, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(c)(1) and RPC 1.15A(h)(8).
5	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE
6	18. Respondent does not have any prior public discipline.
7	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS
8	19. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions
9	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:
10	20. ABA Standard 4.1 applies to Respondent's violations of RPC 1.15A and 1.15B:
11	<ul> <li>4.1 Failure to Preserve the Client's Property</li> <li>4.11 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly converts client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.</li> </ul>
13	4.12 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows or should know that he is dealing improperly with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
14 15	<ul> <li>4.13 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.</li> <li>4.14 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing</li> </ul>
16	with client property and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.
17	21. Respondent knew or should have known that she was dealing improperly with client
18	property.
19	22. Clients with funds in Respondent's trust accounts were injured because they did not
20	have their money properly accounted for and those with negative balances had their funds
21	improperly disbursed.
22	23. The presumptive sanction is suspension.
23	24. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22:
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

1	<ul><li>(d) multiple offenses;</li><li>(i) substantial experience in the practice of law [Respondent was admitted to</li></ul>
2	practice law in Washington in 2001 and in California in 1997].
3	25. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA <u>Standard</u> 9.32:
4	<ul><li>(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record;</li><li>(b) absence of dishonest or selfish motive;</li></ul>
5	(g) character or reputation; and (l) remorse.
6	26. A significant mitigating factor is the contribution this stipulation makes to the efficient
7	and effective operation of the lawyer discipline system considering the effect the COVID-19
8	public health emergency has had on disciplinary resources and the orderly processing of
9	disciplinary matters.
10	27. On balance the aggravating and mitigating factors justify a departure from the
11	presumptive sanction to reprimand.
12	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE
13	
14	28. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a reprimand for her conduct.
15	29. Within 30 days of this stipulation receiving final approval, Respondent must complete
16	the following steps to disburse any funds that are owed to clients or third parties and to receive
17	additional education on how to handle client funds in compliance with RPC 1.15A and RPC 1.15B
18	of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules of Professional Conduct:
19	a) Respondent must carefully review the WSBA publication Managing Client Trust Accounts: Rules, Regulations, and Common Sense, and provide disciplinary counsel with a signed certification that she has done so.
20	country with a signed continuation that she has done so.
21 22	b) Respondent must complete the WSBA continuing legal education course entitled, "Managing Client Trust Accounts" (October 2014), or an equivalent 1.5 credits on managing trust accounts in Washington State, and provide disciplinary counsel with documentation showing that she has done so.
23	c) For the clients and or third parties listed below (originally identified by Respondent
24	in an October 5, 2020 email to ODC), Respondent shall, within 30 days of approval Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 5 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

24

1

of this stipulation, disburse the funds. Respondent must provide proof that Respondent has either earned the following funds or returned the funds to the client or third party. If after taking reasonable steps Respondent is unable to locate the client or third party, Respondent should treat the funds as unclaimed property under the Uniform Unclaimed Property act, RCW 63.29. Within 60 days of final approval of this stipulation, Respondent shall provide ODC with proof that the funds have been delivered, are no longer owed, or have been remitted to the Department of Revenue consistent with RCW 63.29.

- J\*\*\*\*y \$1,430
- L\*\*\*\*\*\*n \$1,000
- L\*\*\*s \$67.80
- M\*\*\*\*\*r\$1,022.90
- N\*\*\*\*\*e \$2,945.95
- R\*\*\*\*\*\*\*n \$1,520.24
- S\*\*\*\*\*\*\*h \$2,207.42
- G\*\*\*m \$1,000

## 30. Within 60 days of this stipulation being final, Respondent must:

- a) Provide proof of Respondent having deposited Respondent's own funds to the trust accounts to cure any outstanding shortage reflected in ODC's Auditor Report dated October 7, 2019.
- b) For each of the clients listed on Attachment D to ODC Auditor Report dated October 7, 2019, Respondent must provide ODC with documentary evidence demonstrating either that the client or third party is not entitled to a return of any of the amount listed or that Respondent has provided the client or third party with a complete accounting of funds and returned to the client or third party any unearned amounts and/or any amounts to which Respondent cannot establish entitlement.
- c) For the time frame of March 31, 2018 up through September 1, 2021, Respondent must provide to ODC, for each trust account open during any portion of that time frame, copies of the following:
  - any and all bank statements,
  - copies of any and all deposited items,
  - copies of any and all records of disbursements,
  - a complete and accurate check register identifying every transaction,
  - complete and accurate client ledgers identifying every transaction attributable to a client,

- iv) Months 10 12. By no later than the  $30^{th}$  day of the thirteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month twelve.
- v) Months 13 15. By no later than the 30<sup>th</sup> day of the sixteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month fifteen.
- vi) Months 16 18. By no later than the  $30^{th}$  day of the nineteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month eighteen.
- vii) Months 19-21. By no later than the  $30^{th}$  day of the twenty-second month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month twenty-one.

The trust account records Respondent provides to ODC for each quarterly review of his trust account will include: (a) copies of each completed "Monthly Reconciliation and Review Report" referenced in sub-paragraph(c) above, (b) a complete checkbook register for his/her trust account covering the period being reviewed, (c) complete individual client ledger records for any client with funds in Respondent's trust account during all or part of the period being reviewed, as well as for Respondent's own funds in the account (if any), and (d) copies of all trust-account bank statements, deposit slips, and cancelled checks covering the period being reviewed. ODC's Audit Manager or designee will review Respondent's trust account records for each period.

- h) On the same quarterly time schedule set forth in the preceding paragraph, Respondent will provide ODC's Audit Manager or designee with copies of any and all fee agreements entered into within the time period at issue.
- i) ODC's Audit Manager or designee may request additional financial or client records if needed to verify Respondent's compliance with RPC 1.15A and/or 1.15B. Within twenty days of a request from ODC's Audit Manager or designee for additional records needed to verify Respondent's compliance with RPC 1.15A and/or RPC 1.15B, Respondent will provide ODC's Audit Manager or designee the additional records requested.
- j) Respondent will reimburse the Association for time spent by ODC's Audit Manager or designee in reviewing and reporting on Respondent's records to determine his/her compliance with RPC 1.15A and RPC 1.15B, at the rate of \$85 per hour. Respondent will make payment within thirty days of each written invoice setting forth the auditor's time and payment due.

1	VII. RESTITUTION
2	32. If the reconstructed trust-account records for the time period of March 31, 2018 to
3	September 1, 2021 indicate that any client is owed funds, then Respondent is required to make
4	full restitution to each client of all funds owed.
5	VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES
6	33. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation, ODC is not
7	seeking expenses under ELC 13.9(c). Respondent shall pay actual costs of \$828.75 in accordance
8	with ELC 13.9(b). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l) if these costs
9	are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation (unless Respondent has established a
10	payment plan with the Association).
11	IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT
12	34. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation they had an opportunity
13	to consult independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into
14	this Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the
15	Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this
16	Stipulation except as provided herein.
17	35. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles
18	applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party.
19	X. LIMITATIONS
20	36. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in
21	accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the
22	expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer
23	and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

the result agreed to herein.

37. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.

38. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved Stipulation.

Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Hearing Officer for his or her review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the Hearing Officer, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.

39. If this Stipulation is approved by the Hearing Officer, it will be followed by the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made. Respondent represents that, in addition to Washington, Respondent also is admitted to practice law in the following jurisdictions, whether current status is active, inactive, or suspended: California.

40. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Hearing Officer, this Stipulation will have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be admissible as evidence in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary proceeding, or in any civil or criminal action.

1	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation to
2	Reprimand as set forth above.
<ul><li>3</li><li>4</li><li>5</li><li>6</li></ul>	Melissa Ann Huelsman, Bar No. 30935 Respondent  Dated: 9/17/21
7 8 9	Kathy Jo Blake, Bar No. 29235 Managing Disciplinary Counsel
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	
21	
23	
دے	