FILED

Apr 19, 2022

Disciplinary Board

Docket # 004

DISCIPLINARY BOARD
WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BRIAN L. BERKENMEIER.

Lawyer (Bar No. 20421).

Proceeding No. 22#00005

ODC File No. 21-00338

STIPULATION TO THREE-MONTH SUSPENSION

Under Rule 9.1 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to suspension is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Amanda Lee and Respondent lawyer Brian L. Berkenmeier.

Berkenmeier understands that the ELC entitle Berkenmeier to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on Berkenmeier's behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Berkenmeier further understands that the ELC entitle Berkenmeier to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Berkenmeier further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to Berkenmeier. Berkenmeier chooses to resolve this

STIPULATION TO THREE-MONTH SUSPENSION Page 1

| 1 | proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to |
|----|---|
| 2 | avoid the risk, time and expense attendant to further proceedings. |
| 3 | I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE |
| 4 | 1. Berkenmeier was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on June 3, 1991. |
| 5 | II. STIPULATED FACTS |
| 6 | 2. Berkenmeier met A.N. at a neighbor's home in the fall of 2019. |
| 7 | 3. Between October 2019 and March 2020, A.N. retained Berkenmeier for representation |
| 8 | on several misdemeanor charges. |
| 9 | 4. There was no consensual sexual relationship between Berkenmeier and A.N. at the |
| 10 | time they entered into a lawyer-client relationship. |
| 1 | 5. On September 24, 2020, Berkenmeier and A.N. appeared for a pretrial review hearing |
| 12 | in one the cases pending against A.N. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, court hearings were |
| 3 | convened on a secure internet platform, rather than in the courthouse. A.N. went to Berkenmeier's |
| 14 | home, which is also Berkenmeier's office, to attend the hearing. |
| 5 | 6. The following day, September 25, 2020, A.N. arrived at Berkenmeier's home around |
| 6 | noon, without an appointment or invitation. Berkenmeier and A.N. engaged in sexual intercourse |
| 17 | twice. Afterward, Berkenmeier left A.N. at the residence while Berkenmeier went to a bar "to get |
| 18 | my own head together." |
| 9 | 7. When Berkenmeier returned home, A.N. had left. Berkenmeier called A.N. several |
| 20 | times, leaving messages asking if A.N. was okay. |
| 21 | 8. Berkenmeier withdrew from representing A.N. on November 4, 2020, before the next |
| 22 | hearings in any of A.N.'s pending cases. |
| 23 | 9. Berkenmeier admitted to having sexual relations with A.N. |
| 24 | STIPULATION TO THREE-MONTH SUSPENSION Page 2 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL |

| 1 | benefit the lawyer or another and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client. |
|-----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | 4.32 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows of a conflict of interest and does not fully disclose to a client the possible effect of that conflict, and causes injury or potential injury to a client. |
| 4 | 4.33 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in determining |
| 5 | whether the representation of a client may be materially affected by the lawyer's own interests, or whether the representation will adversely affect |
| 6 | another client, and causes injury or potential injury to a client. |
| 7 8 | 4.34 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer engages in an isolated instance of negligence in determining whether the representation of a client may be materially affected by the lawyer's own interests, or whether the |
| 9 | representation will adversely affect another client, and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client. |
| 10 | 15. Berkenmeier acted knowingly. |
| 11 | 16. Berkenmeier's conduct caused injury to A.N. because A.N. was forced to retain new |
| 12 | counsel due to Berkenmeier's breach of the fiduciary relationship. In addition, Berkenmeier's |
| 13 | conduct tarnishes the image of the profession in the eyes of the public. |
| 14 | 17. The presumptive sanction is suspension. |
| 15 | 18. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22: |
| 16 | (a) prior disciplinary offenses; |
| 17 | (i) substantial experience in the practice of law (Berkenmeier has been licensed in Washington since 1991). |
| 18 | , and the second |
| 19 | 19. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA <u>Standard</u> 9.32: |
| 20 | (l) remorse; |
| 21 | (m) remoteness of prior offenses. |
| 22 | 20. It is an additional mitigating factor that Berkenmeier has agreed to resolve this matter |
| | at an early stage of the proceedings. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | STIPULATION TO THREE-MONTH SUSPENSION Page 4 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL |

STIPULATION TO THREE-MONTH SUSPENSION Page 5

Seattle, WA 98101-2539

(206) 727-8207

| 1 | diagnosis, and recommended treatment plan, if any. Berkenmeier shall provide disciplinary |
|----|---|
| 2 | counsel with a copy of the releases and authorizations. |
| 3 | 28. If the evaluator concludes there is reasonable cause to believe that Berkenmeier does |
| 4 | not have the mental or physical capacity to practice law, then disciplinary counsel may report to |
| 5 | a review committee as provided in ELC 8.2. |
| 6 | 29. If the evaluator recommends treatment, then Berkenmeier shall undergo treatment |
| 7 | with a treatment provider and be subject to probation for a period of 12 months beginning on the |
| 8 | date Berkenmeier is reinstated to the practice of law. The conditions of probation are set forth |
| 9 | below. |
| 10 | 30. If the evaluator does not recommend treatment, then Berkenmeier will not be required |
| 11 | to undergo treatment and will not be subject to probation requiring mental health treatment. |
| 12 | VIII. CONDITIONS OF PROBATION |
| 13 | 31. These conditions of probation apply if the evaluator recommends treatment, as |
| 4 | provided above. Berkenmeier's compliance with these conditions shall be monitored by the |
| 15 | Probation Administrator of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel ("Probation Administrator"). |
| 16 | Failure to comply with a condition of probation listed herein may be grounds for further |
| 17 | disciplinary action under ELC 13.8(b). |
| 18 | 32. Berkenmeier will be subject to probation for a period of one year, beginning when |
| 19 | Berkenmeier is reinstated to the practice of law and shall comply with the specific probation terms |
| 20 | set forth below: |
| 21 | 33. If the evaluator, specified above in paragraph 25, recommends mental-health |
| 22 | treatment, the following provisions will apply. |
| 23 | (a) Berkenmeier shall undergo treatment with a treatment professional approved by the Probation Administrator. |
| 24 | STIPULATION TO THREE-MONTH SUSPENSION Page 6 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION |