

Sep 13, 2021

Disciplinary Board

Docket # 016

DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

PATRICIA A. TOY,

Lawyer (Bar No. 20178).

Proceeding No. 21#00010

ODC File No. 20-00408

STIPULATION TO ONE-YEAR SUSPENSION

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

Stipulation to Discipline Page 1

Under Rule 9.1 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to One-Year Suspension is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Henry Cruz, Respondent's Counsel Kenneth Scott Kagan, and Respondent lawyer Patricia A. Toy.

Respondent understands that they are entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on their behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct, and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that they are entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to them. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding Stipulation to Discipline

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539
(206) 727-8207

1	now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct, and sanction to avoid the risk,		
2	time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.		
3	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE		
4	Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on November 15.		
5	1990.		
6	II. STIPULATED FACTS		
7	2. Respondent maintained an Interest on Lawyer's Trust Account (IOLTA), ending in		
8	0401, at Bank of America for the deposit of client funds.		
9	3. On March 3, 2020, Bank of America closed the trust account due to inactivity.		
10	4. On March 4, 2020, Bank of America issued Respondent a check in the amount of		
11	\$15,774.45, which represented the closing balance of the trust account.		
12	5. On March 24, 2020, Respondent reported to Cheryl Heuett, Audit Manager at ODC		
13	the trust account closure and that Respondent did not know to whom the funds in the trust account		
14	belonged.		
15	6. On March 25, 2020, ODC opened a grievance investigation into Respondent's		
16	handling of client funds and trust account.		
17	7. On May 19, 2020, Respondent opened a new IOLTA trust account, ending in 6784, at		
18	Bank of America and deposited the \$15,774.45 funds into that trust account.		
19	Trust Account No. 0401		
20	8. During the period December 2012 through March 2020, Respondent did not maintain		
21	a complete and current check register for the trust account.		
22	9. During the period December 2012 through March 2020, Respondent did not maintain		
23	complete and current client ledgers for the trust account.		
24	Stimulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COLINSEL		

1	10. During the period December 2012 through March 2020, Respondent did not reconcile			
2	a trust account check register to the bank statements (bank statement reconciliation).			
3	11. During the period December 2012 through March 2020, Respondent did not reconcile			
4	a trust account check register to client ledgers (client ledger reconciliation).			
5	12. After the grievance investigation commenced, Respondent identified client matters for			
6	most of the funds in the trust account, but Respondent could not identify the clients or thir			
7	persons to whom \$3,564.54 of the funds in the trust account belonged. These funds date back to			
8	at least December 2012.			
9	13. At least \$3,124.00 of the funds in the trust account were earned fees belonging to			
10	Respondent.			
11	14. Of the \$3,124.00 earned fees in the trust account:			
12	a. \$1,324 related to the matter of client CW, which concluded in approximately			
13	September 2015;			
14	b. \$1,000 related to the matter of client LL, which concluded in approximately			
15	April 2019;			
16	c. \$800 related to the matter of client SD, which concluded in approximately			
17	February 2013.			
18	15. The remaining \$9,085.91 of the funds in the trust account were held for client TJS.			
19	Client TJS			
20	16. On November 5, 2013, Respondent and TJS entered a written fee agreement for			
21	Respondent to represent TJS in a personal injury matter and in an estate matter for a fee of \$3,750.			
22	17. On January 21, 2014, the court in the estate matter ordered \$9,085.91 of the proceeds			
23	from the settlement of the estate to be distributed to TJS.			
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL			

1	18. On January 22, 2014, TJS's proceeds from the estate were deposited into Respondent's		
2	trust account under the terms of the fee agreement.		
3	19. In 2016, Respondent asked TJS to agree to allow Respondent to take the full amount		
4	of the funds in the trust account held for TJS (hereinafter "the disputed funds") as full payment		
5	for legal services provided and costs incurred in multiple matters in which Respondent		
6	represented TJS.		
7	20. TJS refused Respondent's request.		
8	21. On October 7, 2016, Respondent initiated legal proceedings to determine whether		
9	Respondent was entitled to take the full amount of the disputed funds held in the trust account as		
10	earned fees and costs incurred in multiple matters.		
11	22. On January 27, 2017, the court dismissed Respondent's 2016 matter without prejudice		
12	for failure of the parties to appear at a hearing for assignment of trial date.		
13	23. On August 30, 2017, Respondent again initiated legal proceedings to determine		
14	whether Respondent was entitled to take the full amount of the disputed funds held in the trust		
15	account as earned fees and costs incurred in multiple matters.		
16	24. On December 22, 2017, the court dismissed Respondent's 2017 matter without		
17	prejudice for failure of the parties to appear at a mandatory court review hearing.		
18	25. Respondent has taken no further action with respect to resolving the dispute as to		
19	ownership of the disputed funds.		
20	26. The disputed funds of \$9,085.91 have remained in Respondent's trust account since		
21	2014.		
22	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT		
23	27. By failing to maintain a complete and current check register and client ledgers for the		
24	Stimulation to Dissipline		

1	trust account ending in 0401, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(h)(2), RPC 1.15B(a)(1), and RPC			
2	1.15B(a)(2).			
3	28. By failing to perform bank statement and client ledger reconciliations for the trust			
4	account ending in 0401, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(h)(6) and RPC 1.15B(a)(8).			
5	29. By failing to promptly pay or deliver funds that clients and third persons were entitled			
6	to receive, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(f).			
7	30. By retaining earned fees in the trust account ending in 0401, Respondent violated RPC			
8	1.15A(c).			
9	31. By failing to take reasonable action to resolve the dispute over ownership of the			
10	disputed funds in the trust account ending in 0401, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(g).			
11	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE			
12	32. In May 2002, Respondent was suspended for 60 days for failing to maintain			
13	contemporaneous trust fund balances, failing to maintain individual client ledgers, failing to			
14	maintain a check register, and comingling lawyer funds with client funds in trust.			
15	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS			
16	33. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions			
17	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:			
18	4.1 Failure to Preserve the Client's Property			
	4.11 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly converts client			
9	property and causes injury or potential injury to a client. 4.12 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows or should know that he			
20	is dealing improperly with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.			
21	4.13 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.			
22	4.14 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.			
23	enem property and causes into or no actual or potential injury to a chefit.			
24	34. Respondent should have known that Respondent was dealing improperly with client			
-T	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 5 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION			

1	property.			
2	35. Respondent's conduct caused injury and potential injury to clients.			
3	36. The presumptive sanction is suspension.			
4	37. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22:			
5	(a) prior disciplinary offenses;			
6	(d) multiple offenses;			
7	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law [Respondent was admitted to the			
8	Washington State Bar Association in 1990].			
9	38. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA <u>Standard</u> 9.32:			
10	(b) absence of a dishonest or selfish motive;			
11	(e) full and free disclosure to disciplinary board or cooperative attitude toward			
12	proceedings; and			
13	(<i>l</i>) remorse.			
14	39. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter			
15	at an early stage of the proceedings.			
16	40. On balance, the aggravating and mitigating factors do not require a departure from the			
17	presumptive sanction.			
18	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE			
19	41. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a one-year suspension.			
20	VII. CONDITIONS OF REINSTATEMENT			
21	42. As a condition of reinstatement from suspension, Respondent must complete the			
22	following steps to disburse any funds that are owed to clients or third parties and to receive			
23	additional education on how to handle client funds in compliance with the Washington Supreme			
24	Stimulation to Dissipling			

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Court's	RPC1	15A	and R	PC 1	15R

- a) For each of the clients listed in the Auditor Report dated October 28, 2020, Respondent must provide ODC with complete documentary evidence demonstrating either that the client or third party is not entitled to a return of any of the amount listed and Respondent has removed that amount from the trust account, or that Respondent has provided the client or third party with a complete accounting of funds and returned to the client or third party any unearned amounts and/or any amounts to which Respondent cannot establish entitlement.
- For the unidentified funds listed in the Auditor Report dated October 28, 2020, Respondent must provide ODC with complete documentary evidence demonstrating that Respondent has remitted such funds to Washington State's Department of Revenue Unclaimed Property Division, in compliance with the Uniform Unclaimed Property Act, Chapter 63.29 RCW.
- c) Respondent must provide ODC with complete documentary evidence demonstrating that Respondent has resolved the disputed funds related to client TJS and has disbursed such funds to the owner.
- d) For the time frame of April 2020 up through the date of submission to ODC for consideration of reinstatement, Respondent must provide to ODC, for each trust account open during any portion of that time frame, copies of the following:
 - any and all bank statements,
 - copies of any and all deposited items,
 - copies of any and all records of disbursements,
 - a complete and accurate check register identifying every transaction,
 - complete and accurate client ledgers identifying every transaction attributable to a client,
 - monthly reconciliations between the check register and the bank statement,
 - monthly reconciliations between the check register and the client ledgers, and
 - if the Respondent maintains trust-account records in QuickBooks, provide an electronic copy of the file with the trust-account records.
- e) Respondent must carefully review the WSBA publication <u>Managing Client Trust Accounts</u>: Rules, Regulations, and Common Sense, and provide disciplinary counsel with a signed certification that they have done so.
- f) Respondent must complete the WSBA continuing legal education course entitled, "Managing Client Trust Accounts" (October 2014), or an equivalent 1.5 credits on managing trust accounts in Washington State, and provide disciplinary counsel with documentation showing that they have done so.

Months 13-15. By no later than the 30^{th} day of the sixteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month fifteen.

Months 16 - 18. By no later than the 30^{th} day of the nineteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month eighteen.

Months 19-21. By no later than the 30^{th} day of the twenty-second month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month twenty-one.

The trust account records Respondent provides to ODC for each quarterly review of their trust account will include: (a) copies of each completed "Monthly Reconciliation and Review Report" referenced in sub-paragraph(c) above, (b) a complete checkbook register for his/her trust account covering the period being reviewed, (c) complete individual client ledger records for any client with funds in Respondent's trust account during all or part of the period being reviewed, as well as for Respondent's own funds in the account (if any), and (d) copies of all trust-account bank statements, deposit slips, and cancelled checks covering the period being reviewed. ODC's Audit Manager or designee will review Respondent's trust account records for each period.

- e) On the same quarterly time schedule set forth in the preceding paragraph, Respondent will provide ODC's Audit Manager or designee with copies of any and all fee agreements entered into within the time period at issue.
- f) ODC's Audit Manager or designee may request additional financial or client records if needed to verify Respondent's compliance with RPC 1.15A and/or 1.15B. Within twenty days of a request from ODC's Audit Manager or designee for additional records needed to verify Respondent's compliance with RPC 1.15A and/or RPC 1.15B, Respondent will provide ODC's Audit Manager or designee the additional records requested.
- g) Respondent will reimburse the Association for time spent by ODC's Audit Manager or designee in reviewing and reporting on Respondent's records to determine their compliance with RPC 1.15A and RPC 1.15B, at the rate of \$85 per hour. Respondent will make payment within thirty days of each written invoice setting forth the auditor's time and payment due.

IX. RESTITUTION

44. No restitution is required by this Stipulation.

1	X. COSTS AND EXPENSES
2	45. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early
3	stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$750 in
4	accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l) if
5	these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. Reinstatement from
6	suspension is conditioned on payment of costs.
7	XI. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT
8	46. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation they have consulted
9	independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into this
10	Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the Association,
11	nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this Stipulation except
12	as provided herein.
13	47. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles
14	applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party.
15	XII. LIMITATIONS
16	48. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in
17	accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the
18	expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer
19	and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from
20	the result agreed to herein.
21	49. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all
22	existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional
23	existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

50. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved Stipulation.

51. Under ELC 9.1(d)(4), the Disciplinary Board reviews a stipulation based solely on the record agreed to by the parties. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Board for its review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the Board, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.

52. If this Stipulation is approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, it will be followed by the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made. Respondent represents that, in addition to Washington, Respondent also is admitted to practice law in the following jurisdictions, whether current status is active, inactive, or suspended: U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington and Puyallup Tribal Court.

53. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, this Stipulation will have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be admissible as evidence in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary proceeding, or in any civil or criminal action.

Stipulation to Discipline

1	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully a	advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation to
2	One-Year Suspension as set forth above.	
3	0+ 0 -	21 21 21
4	Patricia A. Toy, Bar No. 20178	Dated: 06-21-21
5	Respondent	
6	Kenneth S. Kogar	Dated: 6/22/2021
7	Kenneth Scott Kagan, Bar No. 12983 Counsel for Respondent	•
8	10/	
		Dated:
9	Henry Cruz, Bar No. 38799 Disciplinary Counsel	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 12	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION