

# THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

IN RE )  
)  
)  
PATRICK M. MEGARO, )  
)  
ATTORNEY AT LAW. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

BAR NO. 50050  
Supreme Court No.  
201,523-9  
ORDER  
PURSUANT TO  
ELC 9.2

RECEIVED  
AUG 25 2017  
FILED  
AUG 25 2016  
WASHINGTON STATE  
SUPREME COURT  
DML

By decision and order of the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar signed November 4, 2015, Patrick M. Megaro was reprimanded. Mr. Megaro was disciplined because he assisted an entity in the unauthorized practice of law and participated in making false or misleading statements about his services. ELC 9.2(f) provides that a final adjudication in another jurisdiction of lawyer misconduct conclusively establishes the misconduct for purposes of a disciplinary proceeding in this state. Upon notification of the action of the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar, this Court issued an order, pursuant to ELC 9.2(c), directing Mr. Megaro to inform this Court of any claim that the imposition of identical discipline in the state would be unwarranted. On June 27, 2016, Mr. Megaro filed a response. On July 25, 2016, the Washington State Bar Association filed a reply to the response. On July 29, 2016, Mr. Megaro's counsel filed "Patrick Megaro's Motion to Permit Respondent to File Reply to ODC's Response". The Court has reviewed the file in this matter and has determined by a majority that the following order should be entered. Now, therefore, it is hereby

**ORDERED:**

By unanimous vote, the Washington State Supreme Court does hereby reciprocate discipline in the State of Washington and orders that Patrick M. Megaro be reprimanded. The reprimand is deemed administered on this date. "Patrick Megaro's Motion to Permit Respondent to File Reply to ODC's Response" is denied.

DATED at Olympia, Washington this 25th day of August, 2016.

For the Court

*Fairhurst, J.*  
for CHIEF JUSTICE

735/colb3

004