1	FILED	
1	Oct 17, 2023	
2	Disciplinary	
3	Board DISCIPLINARY BOARD	
4	WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION Docket # 005	
5		
6	Notice of Reprimand	
7		
8	Lawyer Christopher Michael Hoxie, WSBA No. 46293, has been ordered Reprimanded by	r
9	the following attached documents: Stipulation to Reprimand, Order on Stipulation to	
10	Reprimand.	
11		
12		
13	WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION	
14		
15	N. Gustins	
16	Nicole Gustine Counsel to the Disciplinary Board	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I caused a copy of the <u>Notice of Reprimand</u> to be emailed to Special Disciplinary Counsel and to Respondent's Counsel Kenneth Scott Kagan, at <u>ken@kenkaganlaw.com</u>, on the 17th day of October, 2023.

Clerk to the Disciplinary Board

		FILED	
1		Oct 12, 2023	
2		Disciplinary	
3		Board	
4		Docket # 003	
5			
6			
7	DISCIPLIN	ARY BOARD	
8	WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION		
9			
10	In re	Proceeding No. 23#00012	
11	Christopher Michael Hoxie,	ORDER ON STIPULATION TO	
12	Lawyer (Bar No. 46293).	REPRIMAND	
13	On review of the Stipulation to Reprin	and, fully executed on October 10, 2023, and the	
14	documents on file in this matter,	,,	
15		Poprimand is approved	
16	IT IS ORDERED that the Stipulation to		
17	Dated this 12th day of October,	2023.	
18		Q 1110 Ottom	
19		Randolph O. Selgrave	
20		Chief Hearing Officer	
21			
22			
23			
24			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I caused a copy of the <u>Order on Stipulation to Reprimand</u> to be emailed to Special Disciplinary Counsel and to Respondent's Counsel Kenneth Scott Kagan, at <u>ken@kenkaganlaw.com</u>, on the 12th day of October, 2023.

Clerk to the Disciplinary Board

FILED Oct 12, 2023

Disciplinary Roard

Docket # 004

2 3

DISCIPLINARY BOARD
WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

9 In re CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL

Lawyer (Bar No. 46293).

Proceeding No. 23#00012

ODC File No. 22-01205

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

10

11

1

4

5

6

7

8

HOXIE,

Under Rule 9.1 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Reprimand, is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through Special Disciplinary Counsel, Fabio Ambrosio and John Graffe, and Respondent lawyer Christopher Michael Hoxie.

Respondent understands that Respondent is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on Respondent's behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that Respondent is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to Respondent. Respondent chooses to resolve this

24 Stipulation to Reprimand

1	proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to		
2	avoid the risk, time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.		
3	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE		
4	 Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on September 23, 		
5	2013.		
6	II. STIPULATED FACTS		
7	2. Respondent was retained by JD and LD (hereinafter "clients") to represent them in a		
8	matter filed in Clark County Superior Court (hereinafter "the case"). Trial was scheduled to begi		
9	on May 11, 2022.		
10	Respondent's fee agreement with clients provided for an initial payment of \$10,000.		
11	Monthly invoices were sent showing the remaining balance.		
12	 Respondent demanded that clients make an additional deposit of \$10,000 by April 28, 		
13	2022.		
14	The fee agreement was not executed by clients until April 28, 2022.		
15	6. Clients obtained a loan for the additional deposit, using their 1969 Chevrolet pickup		
16	truck as collateral.		
17	7. When Clients were ready to make a \$10,000 deposit, they were told that the required		
18	deposit was \$15,000. Clients did not have the \$15,000.		
19	8. On April 28, 2022, Respondent prepared a Notice of Intent to Withdraw from the case,		
20	which was filed on April 29, 2022.		
21	9. Respondent did not personally serve clients with the Notice of Intent to Withdraw, or		
22	send it to them via certified mail, as required by CR71.		
23	10. Respondent improperly served clients with the Notice of Intent to Withdraw by private		
24	Stipulation to Reprimand OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL		

1	courier, which mailing was never delivered for unknown reasons.
2	11. Respondent took no steps to seek a continuance of the trial of the case and did not
3	reach out to opposing counsel to discuss a joint motion to continue.
4	12. Respondent took no steps to assist clients in retaining substitute counsel.
5	13. Respondent never personally communicated with the clients for at least four weeks
6	before withdrawing to provide reasonable warning of the circumstances leading to the
7	withdrawal, any outstanding fee issues, or the implications of a withdrawal very close to the trial
8	date.
9	14. Clients appeared for trial in the case unrepresented, and a substantial judgement was
10	entered against them.
11	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT
12	15. By failing to provide reasonable warning to the clients before withdrawing from the
13	case, Respondent violated RPC 1.16(b)(5).
14	16. By failing to take reasonably practical steps to protect the clients' interests before
15	withdrawing from the case, Respondent violated RPC 1.16(d).
16	17. By withdrawing the same day that the clients signed the fee agreement and were
17	applying for a loan to satisfy the Respondent's fee demands, Respondent failed to clarify the terms
18	of withdrawal and the conditions of attorney-client relationship, thus misleading the clients. In so
19	doing, Respondent violated RPC 1.3.
20	18. By failing to provide the clients with a reasonable and fair disclosure of material
21	elements of the fee agreement and Respondent's billing practices, Respondent violated RPC
22	1.5(a).
23	19. By failing to consult with the clients prior to withdrawal to keep them reasonably
24	Stipulation to Reprimand OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 3 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

1	informed and explain the implications of the withdrawal such that the clients may make informed		
2	decisions regarding the representation, Respondent violated RPC 1.4.		
3	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE		
4	20. Respondent has no public record of any prior discipline.		
5	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS		
6	21. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions		
7	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case, each attached as an appendix hereto:		
8 9	4.4 Lack of diligence.4.6 Lack of candor.7.0 Violations of duties owed as a professional.		
10	22. Respondent's failure to act with reasonable diligence was negligent.		
11	23. Respondent's failure to provide the clients with accurate or complete information was		
12	knowing.		
13	24. Respondent's improper withdrawal and failure to comply with CR71 was knowing.		
14	25. The clients were injured in that they proceeded to trial unrepresented unexpectedly		
15	without being served with a Notice of Intent to Withdraw.		
16	26. The clients were injured in that they took out a loan they did not need, pledging		
17	collateral and paying interest, only to satisfy Respondent's fee demands and still be denied		
18	representation.		
19	27. The clients were injured in that, proceeding to trial unrepresented on extremely short		
20	notice, they were not prepared to handle evidentiary matters pro se and were not able to present		
21	any evidence at trial.		
22	28. The clients were injured in that, being misled by Respondent that he was being		
23	engaged on April 28, 2022, they lost the opportunity to engage another attorney.		
24	Stipulation to Reprimand OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL		

1	29. The presumptive sanction is suspension.		
2	30. The presumptive sanction is suspension under ABA Standards 4.42, 4.62 and 7.2.		
3	31. No aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22.		
4	32. No mitigating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.32.		
5	33. It is a mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter at an early		
6	stage of the proceedings.		
7	34. On balance the aggravating and mitigating factors warrant a departure from the		
8	presumptive sanction.		
9	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE		
10	35. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a Reprimand with the following		
11	terms and conditions:		
12	Respondent agrees to not serve as a volunteer on any WSBA Board or		
13	Committee for 5 (five) years.		
14	36. Respondent shall be subject to probation for a period of 24 months beginning on the		
15	date this stipulation is approved by the Chief Hearing Officer.		
16	37. The conditions of probation are set forth below. Respondent's compliance with these		
17	conditions will be monitored by the Probation Administrator of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel		
18	("Probation Administrator"). Failure to comply with a condition of probation listed herein may		
19	be grounds for further disciplinary action under ELC 13.8(b).		
20	Practice Monitor		
21	a) During the period of probation, Respondent's practice will be supervised by a practice monitor. The practice monitor must be a WSBA member with no record of public discipline and who is not the subject of a pending public disciplinary proceeding.		
22 23	b) The role of the practice monitor is to consult with and provide guidance to Respondent regarding case management, office management, and avoiding violations of the Rules		
24	Stimulation to Reprimend OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COLINSEL		

of Professional Conduct, and to provide reports and information to the Probation Administrator regarding Respondent's compliance with the terms of probation and the RPC. The practice monitor does not represent the Respondent.

- c) At the beginning of the probation period, the Probation Administrator will select a lawyer to serve as practice monitor for the period of Respondent's probation.
 - i) <u>Initial Challenge</u>: If, within 15 days of the written notice of the selection of a practice monitor, Respondent sends a written request to the Probation Administrator that another practice monitor be selected, the Probation Administrator will select another practice monitor. Respondent need not identify any basis for this initial request.
 - ii) Subsequent Challenges: If, after selection of a second (or subsequent) practice monitor, Respondent believes there is good cause why that individual should not serve as practice monitor, Respondent may, within 15 days of notice of the selected practice monitor, send a written request to the Probation Administrator asking that another practice monitor be selected. That request must articulate good cause to support the request. If the Probation Administrator agrees, another practice monitor will be selected. If the Probation Administrator disagrees, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel will submit its proposed selection for practice monitor to the Chair of the Disciplinary Board for appointment pursuant to ELC 13.8(a)(2), and will also provide the Chair with the Respondent's written request that another practice monitor be selected.
- d) In the event the practice monitor is no longer able to perform the practice monitor's duties, the Probation Administrator will select a new practice monitor at the Probation Administrator's discretion.
- e) During the period of probation, Respondent must cooperate with the named practice monitor. Respondent must meet with the practice monitor at least once per month. Respondent must communicate with the practice monitor to schedule all required meetings.
- f) The Respondent must bring to each meeting a current, complete written list of all pending client legal matters being handled by the Respondent. The list must identify the current status of each client matter and any problematic issues regarding each client matter. The list may identify clients by using the client's initials rather than the client's name.
- g) At each meeting, the practice monitor will discuss with Respondent practice issues that have arisen or are anticipated. In light of the conduct giving rise to the imposition of probation, ODC recommends that the practice monitor and Respondent discuss whether Respondent is diligently making progress on each client matter, whether Respondent is in communication with each client, whether Respondent has promptly billed each client, whether Respondent's fee agreements are consistent with the RPC

1		and are understandable to the client, whether Respondent needs to consider
2		withdrawing from any client matters. Meetings may be in person or by telephone at the practice monitor's discretion. The practice monitor uses discretion in determining the length of each meeting.
3		During the period of probation Respondent will seek the consensus of the practice
4		monitor before withdrawing from any case. Respondent shall maintain contemporaneous records that each withdrawal was discussed in advance with the
5		practice monitor.
6	h)	The practice monitor will provide the Probation Administrator with quarterly written reports regarding Respondent's compliance with probation terms and the RPC. Each
7		report must include the date of each meeting with Respondent, a brief synopsis of the discussion topics, and a brief description of any concerns the practice monitor has regarding the Respondent's compliance with the RPC. The report must be signed by
9		the practice monitor. Each report is due within 30 days of the completion of the quarter.
10	i)	If the practice monitor believes that Respondent is not complying with any of Respondent's ethical duties under the RPC or if Respondent fails to schedule or attend
11		a monthly meeting, the practice monitor will promptly communicate that to the Probation Administrator.
12	j)	Respondent must make payments totaling \$1,000 to the Washington State Bar
13		Association to defray the costs and expenses of administering the probation, as follows:
14		 \$250 due within 30 days of the start of the probation;
15		ii) \$250 due within 6 months of the start of the probation period;
16		iii) \$250 due within 12 months of the start of the probation period; and
17		iv) \$250 due within 18 months of the start of the probation period.
18		All payments should be provided to the Probation Administrator for processing.
19	CLEs	
20	a)	During the first twelve months of the probationary period, Respondent shall complete a minimum of eight credit hours of continuing legal education courses, at
21		Respondent's own expense, in the areas of fee agreements and client communication.
22	b)	Respondent shall provide evidence of attendance at such courses to the Probation Administrator no later than 30 days after the conclusion of the course. Proof of
23		attendance shall include the program brochure, evidence of payment, and a written statement that includes the date and time of attendance.
24	Stipulation to Page 7	Reprimand OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

1	VII. COSTS AND EXPENSES		
2	38. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early		
3	stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$750 in		
4	accordance with ELC 13.9(i) in addition to \$ 249.75 in actual costs incurred. The Association		
5	will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(1) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of		
6	approval of this stipulation.		
7	VIII. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT		
8	39. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation Respondent has consulted		
9	independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into this		
10	Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the Association,		
11	nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this Stipulation except		
12	as provided herein.		
13	40. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles		
14	applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party.		
15	IX. LIMITATIONS		
16	41. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in		
17	accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the		
18	expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent and ODC		
19	acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from the result		
20	agreed to herein.		
21	42. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all		
22	existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the Respondent, and any additional existing		
23	facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.		
24	Stipulation to Reprimand Page 8 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION		

1	43. This Stipulation results from the consider	ation of vari	ous factors by both parties,
2	including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense o		
3	hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. A		
4	such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate		
5	sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in		
6	subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same	e extent as an	y other approved Stipulation.
7			
8	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully adv	ised, adopt a	nd agree to this Stipulation to
9	Reprimand as set forth above.		
10	CRIM	Dated	10/9/23
11	Christopher Michael Hoxie, Bar No. 46293	Dated:	
12	Respondent		
13	Fobio Ambrosio Par No. 41540	Dated:	10/10/2023
14	Fabio Ambrosio, Bar No. 41549 Special Disciplinary Counsel		
15	p.p. John Antrosi	Dated:	10/10/2023
16	John Graffe, Bar No. 11835 Special Disciplinary Counsel	Dated.	
17	Special Disciplinary Counsel		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
	1		