

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

MARK M. LARSSON,

Lawyer (Bar No. 42882).

Proceeding No. 14 Hold 54

ODC File No 15-01649

AMENDED STIPULATION TO SUSPENSION

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Amended Stipulation to Suspension is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Jonathan Burke and Respondent lawyer Mark Magnus Larsson.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him/her. Respondent chooses to resolve this

Amended Stipulation to Discipline Page 1

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

ORIGINAL HE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539
(206) 727-8207

| 1 | proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | avoid the risk, time, expense and publicity attendant to further proceedings. | |
| 3 | I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE | |
| 4 | 1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on October 10, | |
| 5 | 2010. | |
| 6 | II. STIPULATED FACTS | |
| 7 | 2. In late October 2013 DN hired Respondent to obtain a parenting plan. | |
| 8 | 3. At the time, DN was the single mother of a 3-year old daughter. DN was never | |
| 9 | married to the biological father of her daughter. During all material times, Respondent was | |
| 10 | married with one child. | |
| 11 | 4. On November 6, 2013, Respondent filed a notice of appearance in DN's parentage | |
| 12 | action. On that same date, Respondent obtained a temporary parenting plan in DN's case. | |
| 13 | 5. The biological father of DN's child never appeared in DN's parentage action. | |
| 14 | 6. In January 2014, Respondent left private practice and went to work for a company | |
| 15 | where he currently works. In an email to DN, Respondent informed her that he had a new job | |
| 16 | and would not be able to "go to court" or represent her anymore, but he could continue to assist | |
| 17 | in her parenting matter. Respondent told DN that he could refer her to another lawyer. | |
| 18 | 7. During the following year, Respondent and DN exchanged emails and text messages | |
| 19 | discussing their personal lives and DN's pending legal matters. Respondent provided DN legal | |
| 20 | advice in those emails and text messages. | |
| 21 | 8. Respondent's personal email and text exchanges became so personal that | |
| 22 | Respondent was getting emotionally involved with DN. Respondent sought to commence an | |
| 23 | intimate relationship with DN, but DN declined to do so. Respondent also started asking DN to | |
| 24 | Amended Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 2 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION | |

| 1 | send him pictures of herself. After DN sent Respondent pictures of herself wearing underwear | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | Respondent asked DN to send naked pictures of herself. DN declined to do so. | | | |
| 3 | 9. In March 2014, Respondent's wife discovered a personal text from DN. Soon | | | |
| 4 | thereafter, Respondent and his wife pursued couples' counseling to deal with marital issues for | | | |
| 5 | approximately one year. | | | |
| 6 | 10. On November 18, 2014, the court entered an order to show cause for dismissal o | | | |
| 7 | DN's parentage matter due to the lack of activity. The notice of the hearing reflected that | | | |
| 8 | Respondent was the attorney of record. A copy of the notice was sent to Respondent and DN. | | | |
| 9 | 11. On December 27, 2014, Respondent sent DN an email apologizing for his | | | |
| 10 | unprofessional behavior for trying to pursue an intimate relationship with her: "I wanted to | | | |
| 11 | apologize for my unprofessional conduct before. You are the most beautiful woman I have ever | | | |
| 12 | worked with and I guess I got carried away with the fantasy." | | | |
| 13 | 12. In March 4, 2015, DN attended the show cause hearing <i>pro se</i> , but the court declined | | | |
| 14 | to hear the matter because Respondent was still DN's attorney of record. The hearing was | | | |
| 15 | continued until July 1, 2015. | | | |
| 16 | 13. On March 9, 2015, DN and Respondent exchanged texts relating to the pending | | | |
| 17 | show cause hearing. These texts included flirtation between DN and Respondent. Respondent | | | |
| 8 | provided DN with legal advice. | | | |
| 9 | 14. On April 28 and April 29, 2015, DN and Respondent exchanged texts in which | | | |
| 20 | Respondent made sexual propositions to DN. DN declined Respondent's propositions. | | | |
| 21 | 15. DN told Respondent that the court informed her that her parental custody case would | | | |
| 22 | be dismissed unless she pursued a final parenting plan. | | | |
| 23 | 16. In or about late June 2015, Respondent met with DN at a restaurant and went over | | | |
| 24 | Amended Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL | | | |

| 1 | the parenting plan that DN had prepared. Respondent provided DN with legal advice. | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | Respondent attempted to hug DN after the meeting. | | |
| 3 | 17. DN attended the July 1, 2015 show cause hearing pro se. The court continued th | | |
| 4 | matter to August 5, 2015. | | |
| 5 | 18. Respondent provided DN with legal advice and legal forms following the July 1 | | |
| 6 | 2015 hearing. | | |
| 7 | 19. On July 22, 2015, Respondent filed a Motion and Declaration of Default in DN' | | |
| 8 | case and signed the motion on behalf of DN. Respondent scheduled the hearing on the Motio | | |
| 9 | for Default for August 20, 2015. | | |
| 10 | 20. On August 5, 2015, Respondent appeared on behalf of DN at the show cause hearing | | |
| 11 | and the court entered an order denying the motion to dismiss DN's case. | | |
| 12 | 21. On August 6, 2015, Respondent provided DN with copies of the Notice of Motion | | |
| 13 | for Default. This hearing did not go forward on August 20, 2015. | | |
| 14 | 22. On August 24, 2015, Respondent told DN that he could no longer provide free legal | | |
| 15 | services to her. DN told Respondent that she had no money and was afraid to represent herself | | |
| 16 | in court. Respondent offered to provide future legal services for sexual relations. | | |
| 17 | 23. On August 26, 2015, Respondent sent an email to DN with copies of the pleadings | | |
| 18 | he filed for DN and instructions to DN for filling out paperwork related to the pending motion | | |
| 19 | for default. The email asked DN her to meet with him the day before the hearing to review the | | |
| 20 | documents. | | |
| 21 | 24. On August 28, 2015, Respondent and DN engaged in a lengthy text message | | |
| 22 | exchange regarding Respondent's proposal to provide legal services for sexual relations. One | | |
| 23 | of Respondent's texts expressed concern that DN may report him to his wife or to the | | |
| 24 | Amended Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL | | |

| 1 | authorities: "You're not going to report me to the authorities or my wife are you? Well, it | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | just makes me a little paranoid because it's unethical on multiple levels." Exhibit 1 of the | | |
| 3 | Confidential Attachment to Stipulation to Suspension and Exhibit – To Be Filed Under Seal. | | |
| 4 | 25. DN declined to provide sexual relations for legal services. | | |
| 5 | 26. In response to DN's decision regarding Respondent's offer, Respondent texted: "Just | | |
| 6 | saying I get it, you won't do anything with me. Even though you said you would on Monday | | |
| 7 | [I'm] frustrated because I thought you agreed to something else on Monday and got my hopes | | |
| 8 | up. But just to realize that it's just never going to happen. So. I'm going to do my best to never | | |
| 9 | to there with you." | | |
| 10 | 27. DN had a reasonable basis to believe that Respondent represented her from July | | |
| 11 | 2015 through August 28, 2015. During that time period, Respondent was DN's lawyer of | | |
| 12 | record, was providing legal services to DN, and was appearing in court on DN's behalf. | | |
| 13 | 28. Shortly after the August 28, 2015 text exchange, Respondent ceased any further | | |
| 14 | contact with DN. | | |
| 15 | 29. Respondent promptly sought mental health services relating to his conduct towards | | |
| 16 | DN. | | |
| 17 | 30. Respondent's mental health treatment is described in the Confidential Attachment, | | |
| 18 | which is filed under seal. | | |
| 19 | 31. On November 9, 2015, Respondent filed a notice of withdrawal in DN's parentage | | |
| 20 | action. | | |
| 21 | 32. DN never rescheduled the motion for default and the matter went to hearing in | | |
| 22 | March 2016. | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | Amended Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 5 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION | | |

| 1 | III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 2 | 33. By attempting to have sexual relations with DN and/or by offering legal services to | | |
| 3 | DN in exchange for sex while he was representing her, Respondent violated RPC 8.4(a) | | |
| 4 | (attempting to violate RPC 1.8(j)) and RPC 1.7(a). | | |
| 5 | IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 6 | 34. Respondent has no prior discipline. | | |
| 7 | V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS | | |
| 8 | 35. The following standards from the American Bar Association Standards for Imposing | | |
| 9 | Lawyer Sanctions (1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case: | | |
| 10 | 4.3 Failure to Avoid Conflicts of Interest | | |
| 11 | 4.31 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer, without the informed consent of client(s): | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | (a) engages in representation of a client knowing that the lawyer's interests are adverse to the client's with the intent to benefit the lawyer or another, and causes serious or potentially serious injury to the client; or | | |
| 14 15 | (b) simultaneously represents clients that the lawyer knows have adverse interests | | |
| 16 | with the intent to benefit the lawyer or another, and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or | | |
| 17 | (c) represents a client in a matter substantially related to a matter in which the | | |
| 18 | interests of a present or former client are materially adverse, and knowingly uses information relating to the representation of a client with the intent to benefit the lawyer | | |
| | or another and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client. | | |
| 19 20 | 4.32 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows of a conflict of interest and does not fully disclose to a client the possible effect of that conflict, and | | |
| | causes injury or potential injury to a client. | | |
| 21 | 4.33 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in determining whether the representation of a client may be materially affected by the lawyer's own | | |
| 22 | interests, or whether the representation will adversely affect another client, and causes injury or potential injury to a client. | | |
| 23 24 | 4.34 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer engages in an isolated Amended Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4 th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 | | |

(206) 727-8207

| 1 | instance of negligence in determining whether the representation of a client may be materially affected by the lawyer's own interests, or whether the representation will | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | adversely affect another client, and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client. | | |
| 3 | 36. Respondent knew that he had a conflict of interest with DN when attempted to | | |
| 4 | exchange legal services for sexual relationships during a period that he represented her. | | |
| 5 | 37. Respondent did not fully disclose the possible effect of his conflict of interest with | | |
| 6 | DN, including that such an exchange would be illegal. | | |
| 7 | 38. Respondent's conduct resulted in potential harm to DN. | | |
| 8 | 39. The presumptive sanction for Respondent's misconduct is suspension under ABA | | |
| 9 | Standard 4.32. | | |
| 10 | 40. The following aggravating factor applies under ABA Standard 9.22: | | |
| 11 | (b) Selfish motive. | | |
| 12 | 41. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.32: | | |
| 13 | (a) Absence of a prior disciplinary record; | | |
| 14 | (b) Personal or emotional problems (During material times, Respondent suffered from | | |
| 15 | anxiety disorder (described in the Confidential Attachment), and personal and | | |
| 16 | emotional problems); | | |
| 17 | (c) Timely good faith effort to rectify misconduct (Respondent promptly sought | | |
| 18 | treatment for his misconduct); | | |
| 19 | (d) Inexperience in the practice of law (At the time of the misconduct, Respondent had | | |
| 20 | been practicing less than five years); and | | |
| 21 | (1) Remorse (Respondent has expressed remorse). | | |
| 22 | 42. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter | | |
| 23 | at an early stage of the proceedings. | | |
| 24 | Amended Stipulation to Discipline Page 7 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION | | |

| 1 | VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | 51. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early | |
| 3 | stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$500 in | |
| 4 | accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l) | |
| 5 | if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. Reinstatement from | |
| 6 | suspension is conditioned on payment of costs. | |
| 7 | IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT | |
| 8 | 52. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he has had an | |
| 9 | opportunity to consult independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is | |
| 10 | entering into this Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by | |
| 11 | ODC, the Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into | |
| 12 | this Stipulation except as provided herein. | |
| 13 | 53. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles | |
| 14 | applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party. | |
| 15 | X. LIMITATIONS | |
| 16 | 54. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in | |
| 17 | accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the | |
| 18 | expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer | |
| 19 | and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from | |
| 20 | the result agreed to herein. | |
| 21 | 55. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all | |
| 22 | existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional | |
| 23 | existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings. | |
| 24 | Amended Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 9 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION | |

| 1 | 56. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of | | |
| 3 | hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. | | |
| 4 | such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate | | |
| 5 | sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in | | |
| 6 | subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approve | | |
| 7 | Stipulation. | | |
| 8 | 57. Under Disciplinary Board policy, in addition to the Stipulation, the Disciplinary | | |
| 9 | Board shall have available to it for consideration all documents that the parties agree to submit | | |
| 10 | to the Disciplinary Board, and all public documents. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that | | |
| 11 | form the record before the Board for its review become public information on approval of the | | |
| 12 | Stipulation by the Board, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law. | | |
| 13 | 58. If this Stipulation is approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, it will | | |
| 14 | be followed by the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the | | |
| 15 | Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made. | | |
| 16 | 59. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, this | | |
| 17 | Stipulation will have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be | | |
| 18 | admissible as evidence in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary | | |
| 19 | proceeding, or in any civil or criminal action. | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | Amended Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 10 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION | | |

| 1 | WHEREFORE the undersigned being | fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | to Discipline as set forth above. | |
| 3 | | Dated: |
| 4 | Mark M. Larsson, Bar No. 42882 Respondent | Dateu. |
| 5 | Respondent | <i>(/ .</i> |
| 6 | Jonathan Burke, Bar No. 20910 | Dated: 6/1/16 |
| 7 | Senior Disciplinary Counsel | · |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | • | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Amended Stipulation to Discipline Page 11 | OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION |

| V johadar | WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation | |
|---|--|---|
| 2 | to Discipline ay set forth above. | |
| 3 | MeLMI | Dated: 5/31/2016 |
| | Mark M. Larsson, Bar No. 42882 Respondent | Omed. Of Strong |
| 5 | TVC3pAHOVH | |
| 6 | Jonathan Burke, Bar No. 20910 | Dated: |
| 7 | Senior Disciplinary Counsel | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 0 | | |
| *************************************** | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Amended Stipulation to Discipline Page 11 | OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGFON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION |

OPPICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSPL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1725 4th Avenue, Nuite 690 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (296) 727-8207