## **FILED**

JUN 03 2016

1 **BEFORE THE** DISCIPLINARY **BOARD DISCIPLINARY BOARD** 2 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 3 Proceeding No. 15#00051 4 In re DISCIPLINARY BOARD ORDER 5 DAVID J. MCAULIFF, DECLINING SUA SPONTE REVIEW AND ADOPTING HEARING OFFICER'S 6 Lawyer (WSBA No. 40687) **DECISION** 7 8 9 This matter came before the Disciplinary Board for consideration of sua sponte review 10 pursuant to ELC 11.3(a). On May 12, 2016, the Clerk distributed the attached decision to the 11 Board. 12 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Board declines sua sponte review and 13 adopts the Hearing Officer's decision<sup>1</sup>. 14 15 Dated this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of June, 2016. 16 17 18 Disciplinary Board Chair 19 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that I caused a copy of the DE HOUR DEALURING SWA SMILL PUREN 20 Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed to TWW While H Spondent's Counsel at WS GO JUM STATES WHILE WILL BY Certified tirst class mail. 21 postage prepaid on the 2 day of AW 22 The vote on this matter was 14-0. The following Board members voted: Bloomfield, Carney, Davis, 23 Denton, Coy, Fischer, Startzel, Andeen, Berger, Cottrell, Smith, Myers, Egeler and Silverman. 24

Board Order Declining Sua Sponte Review and Adopting Decision Page 1 of 1

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

FOF COL Recommendation Page 1

## BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

DAVID J. MCAULIFF,

Lawyer (Bar No. 40687).

Proceeding No. 15#00051

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND HEARING OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The undersigned Hearing Officer held a default hearing on March 29, 2016 under Rule 10.6 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC).

## FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REGARDING CHARGED VIOLATIONS

- 1. The Formal Complaint (Bar File (BF) 2) charged David J. McAuliff with misconduct as set forth therein. A copy of the Formal Complaint is attached to this decision.
- 2. Under ELC 10.6(a)(4), the Hearing Officer finds that each of the facts set forth in the Formal Complaint is admitted and established.
- 3. Under ELC 10.6(a)(4), the Hearing Officer concludes that each of the violations charged in the Formal Complaint is admitted and established as follows:

Count 1 - By failing to maintain a complete and accurate check register, on a

24

1	through 5 is suspension.
2	14. ABA Standards 7.2 is most applicable to Respondent's violations of RPC 8.4(1),
3	ELC 1.5 and ELC 5.3(f) and (g):
4	7.2 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes injury or
5	potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal profession.
6	15. The presumptive sanction for Respondent's misconduct under Count 6 is
7	suspension.
8	16. The following aggravating factors set forth in Section 9.22 of the ABA Standards
9	apply in this case:
10	(d) multiple offenses;
11	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law (Respondent was admitted to practice law in California in 1995, and in Washington in 2008).
12	17. It is an additional aggravating factor that Respondent failed to file an Answer to
13	the Formal Complaint as required by ELC 1.5 and ELC 10.5(a).1
14	18. The following mitigating factor set forth in Section 9.32 of the ABA Standards
15	applies to this case:
16	(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record.
17	19. On balance, the aggravating and mitigating factors support a minimum suspension
8	of six months.
9	RECOMMENDATION
20	20. Based on the ABA Standards and the applicable aggravating and mitigating
21	factors, the Hearing Officer recommends that Respondent be suspended for six months with
22	
23	ELC 10.5(a) provides: "Failure to file an answer as required may be grounds for discipline and for an
ا ۵	order of default under rule 10.6."

1	reinstatement conditioned on the following: (1) Respondent will produce trust account records
2	and reconciliations meeting the requirements of RPC 1.15B for the period beginning three
3	months prior to the effective date of Respondent's suspension up through the date of his
4	application for reinstatement; and (2) Respondent will provide documentation demonstrating he
5	has disbursed funds to all clients and third parties entitled to receive funds. The Hearing Officer
6.	further recommends that, upon reinstatement from suspension, Respondent be subject to
7	probation for a period of two years, with periodic reviews under ELC 13.8 of his trust account
8	practices.
9	DATED this 21 day of March, 2016.
10	A.
11	Christopher Strawn,
12	Hearing Officer
13	
14	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
15	I certify that I caused a copy of the FOT ULE HOL DUCK MUNICION
16	to be delivered to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed to Mild William Translation of the Counsel and to be mailed to Mild William Translation of the Counsel and the Counsel
17	postage prepaid on the 24 Inday of War In
18	Clerk Counter to the Disciplinary Soard
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	