

1	Aug 27 2020
2	Disciplinary
3	Board
	DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION Dicket # 005
4	WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION LUcket # UU5
5	
6	Amended Notice of Reprimand
7	
8	Lawyer David A. Jakeman, WSBA No. 39332, has been ordered to receive two reprimands
9	by the following attached documents: Stipulation to Reprimand, Order on Stipulation to
10	Reprimand.
11	
12	
13	WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
14	
15	W. Dustr
16	Nicole Gustine Counsel to the Disciplinary Board
17	Counsel to the Disciplinary Board
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE By order of Washington Supreme Court Order No. 25700-B-609, I certify that I caused a copy of
18	the Amended Notice of Reprimand to be emailed to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to
19	Respondent David A. Jakeman, at david@beaconimmigration.com, on the 27th day of August, 2020.
20	~ 100
21	
22	
23	Clerk to the Disciplinary Board
24	



Aug 24 2020 1 Disciplinary 2 Board 3 Docket # 002 4 5 6 7 DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 8 9 In re Proceeding No. 20#00034 10 ORDER ON STIPULATION TO David A. Jakeman, 11 **REPRIMAND** Lawyer (Bar No. 39332). 12 13 On review of the August 21, 2020 Stipulation to Reprimand and the documents on file in 14 this matter, 15 IT IS ORDERED that the August 21, 2020 Stipulation to Reprimand is approved. 16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' request for a protective order is granted. The 17 Confidential Attachment A attached to the Stipulation to Reprimand shall be filed under seal 18 under ELC 3.2(e). 19 20 Dated this 21st day of August , 2020. 21 22 23 Randolph O. Petgrave III Chief Hearing Officer 24

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

By order of Washington Supreme Court Order No. 25700-B-609, I certify that I caused a copy of the Order on Stipulation to Reprimand to be emailed to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to Respondent David A. Jakeman, at david@beaconimmigration.com, on the 24th day of August, 2020.

Clerk to the Disciplinary Board



Aug 24 2020 Disciplinary

Board

Docket # 003

DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DAVID A. JAKEMAN,

Lawyer (Bar No. 39332).

Proceeding No. 20#00034

ODC File No. 19-01196

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

Under Rule 9.1 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Reprimand is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Henry Cruz and Respondent lawyer David A. Jakeman.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to avoid the risk, time,

Stipulation to Discipline Page 1

1	and expense attendant to further proceedings.
2	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE
3	1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on November 6,
4	2007.
5	II. STIPULATED FACTS
6	2. On May 21, 2015, Daniela Avalos signed a fee agreement with Mr. Jakeman's law
7	firm, Beacon Immigration, to prepare two immigration applications on behalf of her husband,
8	Benito Sanchez Valladares, and represent him in those matters.
9	3. The terms of the fee agreement provided for a \$2500 nonrefundable "retainer fee" and
10	an additional \$2500 flat fee, for a total of \$5000. Although the "retainer fee" portion of the
1	agreement, as drafted, was purportedly to secure the availability of the lawyers at Beacon
12	Immigration, Respondent intended the "retainer fee" to compensate the specified legal services
13	provided in the flat fee portion of the agreement so that, in actuality, Ms. Avalos signed a \$5000
14	flat fee agreement.
15	4. Ms. Avalos paid the full amount of \$5000 at the time the contract was executed.
16	5. Respondent's firm performed little work on the applications and never completed
17	them.
18	6. In February 2016, Ms. Avalos canceled the contract and requested a refund because
19	she and Mr. Sanchez Valladares had separated.
20	7. On March 15, 2016, Respondent's firm informed Ms. Avalos that the firm could not
21	issue her a refund without a signed consent from Mr. Sanchez Valladares.
22	8. On September 30, 2016, Ms. Avalos and Mr. Sanchez Valladares divorced. The court
23	awarded Ms. Avalos the legal fees held by Respondent's firm.
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 2 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1225 4th Average Suite 600

1	9. Between May and August 2019, Ms. Avalos communicated with Respondent on
2	multiple occasions requesting a refund, but Respondent did not provide a substantive response.
3	10. On August 22, 2019, Ms. Avalos informed Respondent that she was considering filing
4	a bar grievance.
5	11. On that same date, Respondent offered Ms. Avalos a refund of \$3500. Respondent
6	also offered to refund her an additional \$500 "if she were to decide to not file a [bar] complaint."
7	12. Ms. Avalos rejected Respondent's offer.
8	13. On August 26, 2019, Ms. Avalos filed a bar grievance against Respondent for his
9	failure to refund the unearned fees.
10	14. On August 31, 2019, Respondent informed Ms. Avalos that he would only refund her
11	the \$2500 flat fee, because he considered the \$2500 "retainer" as "not refundable."
12	15. In September 2019, Respondent refunded Ms. Avalos \$2500.
13	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT
14	16. By making an agreement for, charging, and collecting a nonrefundable "retainer fee"
15	where the fee was not a true retainer and was not otherwise earned, and by failing to refund
16	unearned fees after he was terminated, Respondent violated RPC 1.5(a) and RPC 1.16(d).
17	17. By offering to pay Ms. Avalos an additional \$500 if she did not file a Bar grievance,
18	Respondent violated RPC 8.4(d).
	Respondent violated RPC 8.4(d). IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE
19	
18 19 20 21	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE
19 20 21	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE 18. Respondent has no prior discipline.
19 20	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE 18. Respondent has no prior discipline. V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS

1	7.0 Violations of Duties Owed as a Professional
2	7.1 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional with the intent to obtain a benefit for the lawyer or another, and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a
3 4	client, the public, or the legal system. 7.2 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes injury or potential
5	injury to a client, the public, or the legal system. 7.3 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer negligently engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes injury or potential
6 7	injury to a client, the public, or the legal system. 7.4 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer engages in an isolated instance of negligence that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional, and causes little
8	or no actual or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system. 20. Respondent's conduct was knowing.
9	20. Respondent 3 conduct was knowing.
10	21. Ms. Avalos suffered actual injury because she lost the use of her funds. The public
11	suffered potential injury because Respondent's misconduct could have gone undetected.
	22. The presumptive sanction is suspension.
12 13	23. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22:
	(d) multiple offenses;
14 15	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law [admitted in 2007].
	24. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.32:
16 17	(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record;
18	(c) personal or emotional problems [see Confidential Attachment A];
19	(l) remorse.
20	25. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter
,	at an early stage of the proceedings.
21 22	26. Based on the factors set forth above, the presumptive sanction should be mitigated to
23	a reprimand.
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 4 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

1	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE
2	27. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive two reprimands, one for each
3	violation.
4	VII. CONDITIONS OF PROBATION
5	28. Respondent will be subject to probation for a period of two years beginning when this
6	stipulation receives final approval and shall comply with the specific probation conditions set
7	forth below.
8	29. Respondent's compliance with these conditions will be monitored by the Probation
9	Administrator of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel ("Probation Administrator"). Failure to
10	comply with a condition of probation listed herein may be grounds for further disciplinary action
11	under ELC 13.8(b).
12	Ethics School
13	30. Respondent shall attend Ethics School by webinar (approximately 7.5 hours), or by
14	obtaining the recorded product, and pay registration costs of \$150 plus applicable sales tax.
15	Respondent will receive all applicable approved CLE credits for time in attendance at the Ethics
16	School.
17	31. Attendance at Ethics School is in addition to and shall not fulfill any continuing legal
18	education (CLE) requirements set out in this stipulation.
19	32. Respondent shall contact the Ethics School Administrator, currently Thea Jennings, at
20	(206) 733-5985 or theaj@wsba.org, by January 1, 2021 to confirm enrollment in Ethics School
21	and related logistics.
22	33. Respondent shall complete the ethics school requirement by February 1, 2021.
23	34. Respondent shall provide evidence of completion of ethics school to the Probation
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 5 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600

1	Administrator no later than 30 days after the conclusion of the course. Proof of attendance shall
2	include the program brochure, evidence of payment, and a written statement that includes the date
3	and time of attendance.
4	35. The Ethics School administrator may respond to inquiries from the Probation
5	Administrator regarding Respondent's compliance with these conditions.
6	Ethics Consultation
7	36. Respondent agrees to a telephonic ethics consultation, for up to two hours, with Art
8	Lachman regarding the conduct giving rise to this grievance and how to conform his fee
9	agreement to the RPC, including RPC 1.5(f). Respondent shall provide the recommended
10	revisions to his fee agreement to Mr. Lachman for review. The consultation shall occur by
11	October 1, 2020.
12	37. Within two weeks of this consultation, Respondent shall provide proof to the
13	Probation Administrator of the meeting(s) in the form of a written statement that includes the
14	date, time, and a brief summary of the consultation, including how he has revised his fee
15	agreement to ensure its compliance with the RPC.
16	38. Mr. Lachman charges \$275/hour. Respondent agrees to pay all costs in connection
17	with the ethics consultation.
18	VIII. RESTITUTION
19	39. Respondent shall pay restitution of \$2000 to Ms. Avalos. Payments may be made in
20	bi-monthly installments of \$500 each by November 1, 2020, January 1, 2021, March 1, 2021, and
21	May 1, 2021.
22	IX. COSTS AND EXPENSES
23	40. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 6 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4 th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$750 in
2	accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l) if
3	these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation.
4	X. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT
5	41. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation, he had an opportunity to
6	consult independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into this
7	Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the Association,
8	nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this Stipulation except
9	as provided herein.
10	42. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles
11	applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party.
12	XI. LIMITATIONS
13	43. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in
14	accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the
15	expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer
16	and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from
17	the result agreed to herein.
18	44. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all
19	existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional
20	existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.
21	45. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties
22	including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of
23	hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207