


**FILED**  
FEB 18 2015  
DISCIPLINARY  
BOARD

BEFORE THE  
DISCIPLINARY BOARD  
OF THE  
WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Notice of Reprimand


Lawyer Ryan Scott Taroski, WSBA No. 38412, has been ordered Reprimanded by the following attached documents: Order on Stipulation to Reprimand and Stipulation to Reprimand.

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

  
Julie A. Shankland  
Assistant General Counsel—Manager

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I caused a copy of the Notice of Reprimand  
to be delivered to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed  
to Ryan Taroski Respondent/Respondent's Counsel  
at 12 N. 17th Street Vancouver, WA 98660, by Certified/first class mail,  
postage prepaid on the 19th day of February, 2015

  
Clerk/Counsel to the Disciplinary Board

015

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

**FILED**

OCT 24 2014

**DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

BEFORE THE  
DISCIPLINARY BOARD  
OF THE  
WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

Ryan Scott Taroski,  
Lawyer (Bar No. 38412).


Proceeding No. 14#00055

ORDER ON STIPULATION TO  
REPRIMAND

On review of the October 21, 2014 Stipulation to Reprimand, the Confidential Attachment thereto, and the documents on file in this matter,

IT IS ORDERED that the October 21, 2014 Stipulation to Reprimand is approved. ODC's request for a protective order over the Confidential Attachment is granted. The Clerk to the Disciplinary Board is directed to file the Confidential Attachment to the Stipulation to Reprimand under seal.

Dated this 23 day of October, 2014.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William Edward Fitzharris  
Hearing Officer

**ORIGINAL**

010

1 **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

2 I hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that  
3 on this date I caused to be served a copy of each of the document(s) listed below on each of the  
4 persons identified below in the manner indicated below:

- Order on Stipulation to Reprimand

5 **Original(s) to:**


6 Allison Sato  
7 Clerk to the WSBA Disciplinary Board  
8 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600  
9 Seattle, WA 98101-2539  
10 (X) First class mail  
11 () Fax to (206) 727-8319

5 **Courtesy Copies to:**

6 Francesca D'Angelo  
7 Disciplinary Counsel  
8 Washington State Bar Association  
9 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600  
10 Seattle, WA 98101-2539  
11 () First class mail  
12 () Fax to (206) 727-8325  
13 (X) Email to francescad@wsba.org


11 Ryan Scott Taroski, Esq.  
12 212 W. 13th St., Ste. E  
13 Vancouver, WA 98660-2906  
14 (X) First class mail  
15 () Fax to (360) 772-1272  
16 () Email to [insert email]

15 Signed at Seattle, WA on October 23, 2014.

16   
17  
18 Tamara M. Whitney

19 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

20 I certify that I caused a copy of the Order on Stipulation  
21 to be delivered to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed  
22 to Ryan Taroski Respondent/Respondent's Counsel  
23 at 212 W. 13th St. Vancouver, WA 98660 by Certified first class mail  
24 postage prepaid on the 24th day of October, 2014

25   
Clerk/Counsel to the Disciplinary Board

FILED

OCT 24 2014

DISCIPLINARY BOARD

BEFORE THE  
DISCIPLINARY BOARD  
OF THE  
WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

**RYAN SCOTT TAROSKI,**

Lawyer (Bar No. 38412).

Proceeding No. 14#00055

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to reprimand is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Francesca D'Angelo and Respondent lawyer Ryan Scott Taroski.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to

Stipulation to Discipline  
Page 1

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL  
OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION  
1325 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 600  
Seattle, WA 98101-2539  
(206) 727-8207

014

1 | avoid the risk, time, expense attendant to further proceedings.

2 | **I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE**

3 | 1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on November  
4 | 17, 2006.

5 | **II. STIPULATED FACTS**

6 | 2. Connie and Bruce Samuelson ("The Samuelsons") operated a process serving  
7 | business, Pro-Serv Process Serving ("Pro-Serv").

8 | 3. In January 2009, the Samuelsons filed suit against Acct Corp International ("ACI"),  
9 | Accounts Receivable Inc. ("ARI") and other defendants in Clark County Superior Court,  
10 | alleging breach of contract.

11 | 4. The defendants counterclaimed, requesting damages and attorney's fees.

12 | 5. In November 2010, Respondent began representing Pro-Serv and Connie Samuelson.

13 | 6. At all relevant times, Mr. Samuelson represented himself, pro se.

14 | 7. In November and December 2011, Respondent, on behalf of pro-serve and Ms.  
15 | Samuelson, and Mr. Samuelson, on his own behalf, each made several motions to the court,  
16 | including motions for summary judgment, and a motion for leave to amend the complaint.

17 | 8. The motions were noted for January 27, 2012.

18 | 9. On January 18, 2012, Ms. Samuelson sent several text messages to Respondent  
19 | through his receptionist, making reasonable requests for information about the case.

20 | 10. Respondent did not respond to Ms. Samuelson's texts, or provide her copies of  
21 | documents he eventually filed.

22 | 11. As a result, Ms. Samuelson was not reasonably informed about the status of the case.

23 | 12. The hearing on the motions was continued to February 10, 2012.

1 13. On February 10, 2012, the court entered an order denying the Samuelsons' and Pro-  
2 Serv's motions for leave to amend the complaint.

3 14. The court also ordered that \$3,000 in terms be paid to the defendants by Respondent  
4 and Mr. Samuelson, jointly and severally.

5 15. Neither Respondent nor Mr. Samuelson paid the sanctions.

6 16. On March 15, 2012, the defendants filed a motion for contempt against Respondent  
7 and the Samuelsons and Pro-Serv ["the plaintiffs"] for failing to pay the sanctions.

8 17. The motion requested, in addition to a contempt finding, that the plaintiffs' entire  
9 case against ARI be dismissed, that attorney's fees be awarded against the plaintiffs and that  
10 judgment be entered against the plaintiffs and Respondent for the amount of the terms.

11 18. The hearing on the motion was set for March 30, 2012.

12 19. Prior to the hearing, Ms. Samuelson attempted to contact Respondent multiple times.

13 20. Respondent did not respond to Ms. Samuelson.

14 21. Respondent failed to keep Ms. Samuelson reasonably informed about the status of  
15 the case or comply with reasonable requests for information.

16 22. Ms. Samuelson was injured in that she was denied information about her case and  
17 experienced much stress and aggravation.

18 23. On March 28, 2012, Ms. Samuelson prepared and filed a pro-se response to the  
19 contempt motion.

20 24. Respondent did not file any response on behalf of himself, Ms. Samuelson, or Pro-  
21 Serv.

22 25. Respondent did not appear for the contempt hearing on March 30, 2012.

23 26. The court denied the motion for contempt.

1 27. On April 3, 2012, Respondent filed a notice of withdrawal.

2 28. After he withdrew, Ms. Samuelson attempted to contact Respondent several times,  
3 requesting the return of her original file.

4 29. Respondent did not timely return the file to Ms. Samuelson.

5 30. Respondent's conduct in failing to timely return Ms. Samuelson's original file was  
6 knowing.

7 **III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT**

8 31. By failing to communicate with Ms. Samuelson, and by failing to promptly comply  
9 with Ms. Samuelson's reasonable requests for information, Respondent violated RPC 1.4(a).

10 32. By failing to appear at the contempt hearing on March 30, 2012, and ~~by~~ by failing to  
11 file a response to the motion on behalf of Ms. Samuelson and/or Pro Serve, Respondent violated  
12 RPC 1.3 and RPC 3.2. *[See attached for Respondent's approval]*

13 33. By failing to promptly return Ms. Samuelson's client file to her after his withdrawal,  
14 Respondent violated RPC 1.16(d).

15 **IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE**

16 34. Respondent has no prior discipline.

17 **V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS**

18 35. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions  
19 (1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:

20 ***4.4 Lack of Diligence***

21 Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the  
22 factors set out in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate  
in cases involving a failure to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in  
representing a client:

23 4.41 Disbarment is generally appropriate when:

- 24 (a) a lawyer abandons the practice and causes serious or potentially  
serious injury to a client; or

- 1 (b) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and  
causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or  
2 (c) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect with respect to client  
matters and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client.  
3 4.42 Suspension is generally appropriate when:  
4 (a) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and  
causes injury or potential injury to a client, or  
5 (b) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect and causes injury or  
potential injury to a client.  
6 4.43 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent and does  
not act with reasonable diligence in representing a client, and causes  
injury or potential injury to a client.  
7 4.44 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent and does  
not act with reasonable diligence in representing a client, and causes little  
8 or no actual or potential injury to a client.

9 36. Respondent's conduct in failing to respond to Ms. Samuelson's reasonable requests  
10 for information about the status of her case, failing to appear at the contempt hearing, failing to  
11 file a response to the contempt motion and in failing to return her file in a timely manner was  
12 knowing.

13 37. There was injury to Ms. Samuelson who was not reasonably informed about the  
14 status of her case, was forced to appear at a contempt hearing without a lawyer, was denied  
15 access to information needed to assist in the continuing litigation, and experienced much stress  
16 and aggravation

17 38. The presumptive sanction is suspension.

18 39. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22:

- 19 (d) multiple offenses.

20 40. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.32:

- 21 (a) absence of a prior disciplinary record;  
22 (c) personal or emotional problems [see attachment to this Stipulation, which  
is filed under seal].

23 41. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter



1 at an early stage of the proceedings.

2 42. Based on the factors set forth above, the presumptive sanction should be mitigated to  
3 reprimand.

#### 4 VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE

5 43. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a reprimand for his conduct.

6 44. Respondent will be subject to probation for a period of one year beginning when this  
7 stipulation receives final approval and shall comply with the specific probation terms set forth  
8 below:

9 45. Within 30 days of this stipulation, Respondent shall be in a therapeutic relationship  
10 with a mental health professional (or coordinated team of such professionals). [See confidential  
11 attachment to this Stipulation for additional probation term regarding the scope of the  
12 therapeutic relationship].

13 46. The mental health professional will act independently of disciplinary counsel.

14 47. Respondent is required to maintain a therapeutic relationship with the mental health  
15 professional during the period of probation, personally seeing the mental health professional as  
16 often as required by the mental health professional.

17 48. Respondent shall submit quarterly reports to disciplinary counsel attesting to his  
18 compliance with ¶¶ 45 and 46 of this Stipulation by reporting the names of the mental health  
19 professionals seen, and the dates of the sessions. These reports shall be due within two weeks  
20 of the end of each calendar quarter.

21 49. Respondent shall be solely responsible for the compensation of the mental health  
22 professionals.

1 **VII. RESTITUTION**

2 50. Respondent shall comply with all court orders regarding sanctions and/or satisfy any  
3 judgments imposed against him personally in the Pro-Serv litigation.

4 **VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES**

5 51. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early  
6 stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$500 in  
7 accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l)  
8 if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation.

9 **IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT**

10 52. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he had an opportunity to  
11 consult independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into  
12 this Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the  
13 Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this  
14 Stipulation except as provided herein.

15 53. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles  
16 applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party.

17 **X. LIMITATIONS**

18 54. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in  
19 accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the  
20 expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer  
21 and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from  
22 the result agreed to herein.

23 55. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all

1 existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional  
2 existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.

3 56. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties,  
4 including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of  
5 hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As  
6 such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate  
7 sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in  
8 subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved  
9 Stipulation.

10 57. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Hearing Officer,  
11 with the exception of the Confidential Attachment hereto, to be filed under seal, become public  
12 information on approval of the Stipulation by the Hearing Officer, unless disclosure is restricted  
13 by order or rule of law.


14 58. If this Stipulation is approved by the Hearing Officer, it will be followed by the  
15 disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the Rules for  
16 Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made.

17 59. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Hearing Officer, this Stipulation will have  
18 no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be admissible as evidence in  
19 the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary proceeding, or in any civil  
20 or criminal action.

1           WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation  
2 to Discipline as set forth above.

3           See attached  
4 Ryan Scott Taroski, Bar No. 38412  
5 Respondent

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

6             
7 Francesca D'Angelo, Bar No. 22979  
8 Disciplinary Counsel

Dated: 10/21/14

1 27. On April 3, 2012, Respondent filed a notice of withdrawal.

2 28. After he withdrew, Ms. Samuelson attempted to contact Respondent several times,  
3 requesting the return of her original file.

4 29. Respondent did not timely return the file to Ms. Samuelson.

5 30. Respondent's conduct in failing to timely return Ms. Samuelson's original file was  
6 knowing.

7 **III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT**

8 31. By failing to communicate with Ms. Samuelson, and by failing to promptly comply  
9 with Ms. Samuelson's reasonable requests for information, Respondent violated RPC 1.4(a).

10 32. By failing to appear at the contempt hearing on March 30, 2012, and ~~or~~ by failing to  
11 file a response to the motion on behalf of Ms. Samuelson and/or Pro Serve, Respondent violated  
12 RPC 1.3 and RPC 3.2.

13 33. By failing to promptly return Ms. Samuelson's client file to her after his withdrawal,  
14 Respondent violated RPC 1.16(d).

15 **IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE**

16 34. Respondent has no prior discipline.

17 **V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS**

18 35. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions  
19 (1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:


20 **4.4 Lack of Diligence**

21 Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the  
22 factors set out in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate  
in cases involving a failure to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in  
representing a client:

23 4.41 Disbarment is generally appropriate when:

- 24 (a) a lawyer abandons the practice and causes serious or potentially  
serious injury to a client; or

1 WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation  
2 to Discipline as set forth above.

3   
4 \_\_\_\_\_  
5 Ryan Scott Taroski, Bar No. 384 2  
6 Respondent

Dated: 10/27/14

7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 Francesca D'Angelo, Bar No. 22679  
9 Disciplinary Counsel

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_