FILED

May 21 2019 Disciplinary 2 Board 3 Docket # 018 4 5 6 **BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD** 7 OF THE 8 WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT 9 In re Proceeding No. 18#00023 10 ODC File No. 16-00420 MARK E. SMITH, 11 STIPULATION TO SUSPENSION Lawyer (Bar No. 30924). 12 13 Under Rule 9.1 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer 14 Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Suspension is entered into by the Office of 15 Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through 16 Disciplinary Counsel Debra Slater, Respondent's Counsel Cassandra L. Stamm, and 17 Respondent lawyer Mark Smith. 18 Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present 19 exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, 20 misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under 21 the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the 22 Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an

outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this

Stipulation to Discipline Page I

23

1	proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to
2	avoid the risk, time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.
3	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE
4	1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on January 30,
5	2001.
6	II. STIPULATED FACTS
7	Trust Account Issues
8	2. On or about January 27, 2016, two checks were presented against insufficient funds
9	in Respondent's trust account at HomeStreet Bank. The checks were dishonored. The overdraft
10	occurred when Respondent mistakenly wrote the checks from his trust account instead of his
11	business account at Homestreet Bank.
12	3. ODC performed an audit of Respondent's trust account for the time period January
13	1, 2014 through May 31, 2017.
14	4. Respondent did not maintain a current, accurate, and complete check register for his
15	trust account during the audit period.
16	5. Respondent did not maintain current, accurate, and complete client ledgers during
17	the audit period.
18	6. Respondent did not reconcile his trust account bank statement balances to a trust
19	account check register on a monthly basis.
20	7. Respondent did not reconcile a trust account check register to the combined total of
21	all client ledgers on a monthly basis.
22	Client AE
23	8. On December 7, 2015, Respondent received a settlement check in the amount of
	II

1	Chiropractic funds they were entitled to receive, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(1).
2	28. By failing to provide settlement statements to his contingent fee clients, Respondent
3	violated RPC 1.5(c) and RPC 1.15A(e).
4	29. By failing to maintain a current, accurate, and complete check register for his trust
5	account, Respondent violated RPC 1.5A(h)(2) and RPC 1.15B(a)(1).
6	30. By failing to maintain accurate client ledgers, Respondent violated RPC 1.15B(a)(2).
7	31. By failing to reconcile a trust account check register to his trust account bank
8	statements for his trust account and failing to reconcile a trust account check register to the
9	combined total of all client ledgers, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(h)(6).
10	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE
1	32. Respondent has no prior discipline.
12	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS
13	33. American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions (1991 ed. &
14	Feb. 1992 Supp.) 4.1 applies in this case. A copy of ABA Standard 4.1 is attached hereto as
15	Appendix A.
16	34. Respondent should have known that he was dealing improperly with his trust
17	account and his client's funds.
18	35. There was actual injury to AE's and HG's health care providers as their payments
19	were delayed. There was also actual injury to Respondent's clients who were deprived of
20	information about their recoveries.
21	36. The presumptive sanction is at least suspension.
22	37. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22:
	37. The following aggravating factors apply under 715/1 <u>Standard</u> 3.22.

1	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law.
2	38. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.32:
3	(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record;
4	 (c) personal or emotional problems; (d) timely good faith effort to make restitution or to rectify consequences of
5	misconduct-(Respondent retained the services of a bookkeeper to get his trust account records in order and has taken steps to educate himself
6	about the proper handling of his trust account); (g) character or reputation; and
7	(l) remorse.
8	It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter at
9	an early stage of the proceedings.
10	39. Based on the factors set forth above, the presumptive sanction should be mitigated to
	suspension.
11	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE
12	40. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a 27 month suspension for his
13	conduct.
14	
15	41. As a condition of reinstatement from suspension, Respondent must complete the
16	following steps to disburse any funds that are owed to clients or third parties and to receive
	additional education on how to handle client funds in compliance with the Washington Supreme
17	Court's RPC 1.15A and RPC 1.15B:
18	a) For the time frame of June 1, 2017 up through the date of submission to ODC for
19	consideration of reinstatement, Respondent must provide to ODC, for each trust account open during any portion of that time frame, copies of the following:
20	 any and all bank statements,
21	 copies of any and all deposited items,
22	 copies of any and all records of disbursements, a complete and accurate check register identifying every transaction,
23	 complete and accurate client ledgers identifying every transaction attributable to a client,

- ii) Months 4-6. By no later than the 30^{th} day of the seventh month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month six.
- iii) Months 7 9. By no later than the 30^{th} day of the tenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month nine.
- iv) Months 10 12. By no later than the 30^{th} day of the thirteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month twelve.
- v) Months 13 15. By no later than the 30^{th} day of the sixteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month fifteen.
- vi) Months 16 18. By no later than the 30^{th} day of the nineteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month eighteen.
- vii) Months 19-21. By no later than the 30^{th} day of the twenty-second month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month twenty-one.

The trust account records Respondent provides to ODC for each quarterly review of his trust account will include: (a) copies of each completed "Monthly Reconciliation and Review Report" referenced in sub-paragraph(c) above, (b) a complete checkbook register for his trust account covering the period being reviewed, (c) complete individual client ledger records for any client with funds in Respondent's trust account during all or part of the period being reviewed, as well as for Respondent's own funds in the account (if any), and (d) copies of all trust-account bank statements, deposit slips, and cancelled checks covering the period being reviewed. ODC's Audit Manager or designee will review Respondent's trust account records for each period.

- i) On the same quarterly time schedule set forth in the preceding paragraph, Respondent will provide ODC's Audit Manager or designee with copies of any and all fee agreements entered into within the time period at issue.
- ODC's Audit Manager or designee may request additional financial or client records if needed to verify Respondent's compliance with RPC 1.15A and/or 1.15B.

accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from the result agreed to herein.

- 48. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.
- 49. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved Stipulation.
- 50. Under ELC 9.1(d)(4), the Disciplinary Board reviews a stipulation based solely on the record agreed to by the parties. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Board for its review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the Board, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.
- 51. If this Stipulation is approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, it will be followed by the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made.
- 52. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, this Stipulation will have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be

1	be followed by the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the
2	Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made.
3	51. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, this
4	Stipulation will have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be
5	admissible as evidence in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary
6	proceeding, or in any civil or criminal action.
7	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation
8	to Suspension as set forth above.
9	Mach Auto Dated: 4/11/19
10	Mark E. Smith, Bar No. 30924
11	Respondent
12	Cassandra L. Stamm, Bar No. 29265 Dated: <u>04.15.19</u>
13	Counsel for Respondent
14	Dated: 4/15/2019
15	Debra Slater, Bar No. 18346
16	Disciplinary Counsel
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

APPENDIX A

4.1 Failure to Preserve the Client's Property

Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the factors set out in 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate in cases involving the failure to preserve client property:

- 4.11 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly converts client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
- 4.12 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows or should know that he is dealing improperly with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
- 4.13 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
- 4.14 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.