

FILED

JAN 14 2014

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD
DISCIPLINARY BOARD
OF THE
WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

Jany K. Jacob

Lawyer (WSBA No.30722)

Proceeding No. 13#00044

NOTICE OF INTENDED DECISION
PURSUANT TO ELC 11.12(f)

NOTICE OF INTENDED DECISION--ELC 11.12(f)

The Board intends to modify the Hearing Officer's recommendation in a matter that has not been appealed to the board by either party. Respondent is in default. The intended decision is attached to this notice. Disciplinary Counsel may, within 15 days of service of this notice, file a request that the Board reconsider the intended decision. If a timely request is filed, the Board will reconsider its decision. If no timely request for reconsideration is filed, the Board will file an order adopting the intended decision as its final order.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I caused a copy of the Notice of Intended Decision
to be delivered to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed
to Jany Jacob, Respondent/Respondent's Counsel
at 2022 Fairview Way SW Seattle WA 98146 by Certified first class mail
postage prepaid on the 14th day of January, 2014

[Signature]
Clerk/Counsel to the Disciplinary Board

819

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

BEFORE THE
DISCIPLINARY BOARD
OF THE
WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

JANY K. JACOB,

Lawyer (WSBA No.30722)

Proceeding No. 13#00044

DISCIPLINARY BOARD ORDER
AMENDING HEARING OFFICER'S
DECISION

This matter came before the Disciplinary Board at its January 10, 2014, meeting, on automatic review of Hearing Officer Nadine D. Scott's October 7, 2013, Findings Of Fact, Conclusions Of Law And Recommendation, recommending an 18-month suspension and conditioning reinstatement on compliance with deposition subpoenas and successful completion of a fitness-to-practice evaluation, following a default hearing.

The Board reviews the hearing officer's finding of fact for substantial evidence. The Board reviews conclusions of law and sanction recommendations de novo. Evidence not presented to the hearing officer or panel cannot be considered by the Board. ELC 11.12(b).


Having reviewed the materials submitted, and considered the applicable case law and rules, the Disciplinary Board finds that there are not sufficient facts in the Hearing Officer's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations or the Formal Complaint (the facts of which are deemed admitted by default) to justify imposition of a fitness to practice evaluation by a licensed psychiatrist or psychologist.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Hearing Officer's decision is adopted as to the recommended sanction and the requirement that the Respondent comply with the deposition subpoenas but Paragraph 14, requiring a fitness to practice evaluation, is NOT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

adopted.¹

Dated this 13th day of January 2014.



Andrew O. Carrington,
Disciplinary Board Chair

¹ The vote on this matter was 11-2. Those voting to approve were: Bloomfield, Broom, Carrington, Coy, Davis, Dremousis, Egeler, Fischer, McInville, Neiland, and Smith. Board members Evans and Mesher were opposed.