FILED
MAY 222015
DISCIPLINARY

BOARD

## BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

Page 1

KELLY MARIE BEISSEL,

Lawyer (Bar No. 29239).

Proceeding No. 14#00079

STIPULATION TO NINE MONTH SUSPENSION

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Suspension is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through Disciplinary Counsel Linda B. Eide, Respondent's Counsel Kurt M. Bulmer, and Respondent lawyer Kelly Marie Beissel.

Respondent understands that she is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on her behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that she is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to her. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to avoid the Stipulation to Discipline

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	risk, time, a	and expense attendant to further proceedings.	
2		I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE	
3	1.	Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on October 13,	
4	1999.		
5		II. STIPULATED FACTS	
6	2.	In early 2005, Beissel first represented Nadine Mills in a Labor & Industries (L&I)	
7	case that settled in late 2012.		
8	3.	Beginning in or after February 2013, Beissel failed to adequately communicate	
9	with Mills about fees and failed to promptly forward all L&I payments to Mills until L&I began		
10	sending pay	ments directly to Mills.	
11	4.	On April 30, 2014, Mills filed a grievance against Beissel with ODC.	
12	5.	On May 8, 2014, ODC forwarded the grievance to Beissel, requesting a response	
13	within 30 d	ays. Beissel failed to respond.	
14	6.	On June 26, 2014, ODC wrote Kurt Bulmer, who had appeared for Beissel. The	
15	letter requir	red a response to the grievance within 10 days. Beissel failed to respond.	
16	7.	On August 20, 2014, Beissel was personally served with a deposition notice and	
17	subpoena d	uces tecum for a September 5, 2014 deposition.	
18	8.	The subpoena required Beissel to produce her complete client file relating to her	
19	representati	ion of Mills and all financial records relating to that representation.	
20	9.	On September 5, 2014, Beissel did not appear for the deposition.	
21	10.	Mills experienced stress and frustration when she could not reach Beissel and	
22	when anticipated checks were late.		
23	11.	Beissel's failure to respond to Mills's grievance caused actual harm by impeding	
24	Stipulation to Page 2	Discipline  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION	

1	ODC's investigation and by requiring additional costs and expenses.
2	12. Based on Beissel's failure to appear for her deposition or otherwise cooperate with
3	ODC's investigation, on September 8, 2014, ODC petitioned the Supreme Court for Beissel's
4	immediate interim suspension under ELC 7.2(a)(3). Beissel failed to respond. On October 24,
5	2014, the Court granted the petition and suspended Beissel. After ODC filed its Formal
6	Complaint in this matter, on March 6, 2015, Beissel provided Mills's client file.
7	13. The parties seek to resolve these proceedings with this stipulation.
8	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT
9	14. Beissel stipulates that she violated RPC 1.3 by failing to promptly forward funds
10	received from L&I for her client and that she violated RPC 1.5(b) by failing to communicate
11	adequately regarding her fees.
12	15. Beissel stipulates that she violated RPC 8.4(1) (through violation of ELC 5.3(f)
13	and/or 5.5(d)) by failing to respond to written requests for a response to Mills's grievance, by
14	failing to appear for her deposition, and by failing to produce documents as commanded by an
15	ensuing subpoena until months later.
16	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE
17	16. Beissel was suspended for six months on August 13, 2014, for failing to
18	communicate with clients, failing to respond to ODC's investigation, and failing to appear for
19	her deposition.
20	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS
21	17. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions
22	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to the failure to diligently forward L&I payments and
23	failure to explain fees adequately and to the failure to cooperate, respectively:
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 3  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

1	4.4 L:	ack of Diligence
	4.41	Disbarment is generally appropriate when:
2	(a)	a lawyer abandons the practice and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or
3	(b)	a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and
		causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or
4	(c)	a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect with respect to client matters and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client.
5	4.42	Suspension is generally appropriate when:
	(a)	a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and
6		causes injury or potential injury to a client, or
7	(b)	a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
´	4.43	Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent
8		and does not act with reasonable diligence in representing a client, and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
9	4.44	Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent
	7.77	and does not act with reasonable diligence in representing a client,
10		and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.
		with compact time of the decimal of personal angular transfer
11	7.0 V	iolations of Duties Owed as a Professional
	7.1	Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly
12		engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a
		professional with the intent to obtain a benefit for the lawyer or
13		another, and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client,
		the public, or the legal system.
14	7.2	Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly
		engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a
15		professional and causes injury or potential injury to a client,
.	7.2	the public, or the legal system.
16	7.3	Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer negligently engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a
17		professional and causes injury or potential injury to a client, the
1 /		public, or the legal system.
18	7.4	Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer engages in an
10	/ . '	isolated instance of negligence that is a violation of a duty owed as
19		a professional, and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a
		client, the public, or the legal system.
20		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	18. Beissel	acted knowingly. Her failure to promptly forward some L&I checks and
21		
	her failure to comm	unicate adequately with Mills about fees caused stress for her client. Her
22		
	failure to cooperate	caused additional work for ODC and impeded its investigation.
23		
		umptive sanction is suspension.
24	Stipulation to Discipline	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
	Page 4	1325 Alh Avenue Suite 600

1	20. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA <u>Standard</u> 9.22:			
2	(a) prior disciplinary offenses (six month suspension effective			
3	August 13, 2014 for failing to communicate and failing to cooperate);			
4	(d) multiple offenses; and			
5	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law (admitted 1999).			
6	21. The following mitigating factor applies under ABA Standard 9.32:			
7	(a) personal or emotional problems (applies to failure to cooperate only).			
8	22. Given that the aggravating factors outweigh the lone mitigating factor, a nine month			
9	suspension is appropriate.			
10	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE			
11	23. The parties stipulate that Beissel shall receive a nine month suspension for her			
12	conduct.			
13	24. Reinstatement from suspension is conditioned on payment of costs.			
14	25. Reinstatement is also conditioned on an evaluation by a licensed psychologist or			
15	psychiatrist finding Beissel fit to practice. Beissel may propose an evaluator, subject to ODC's			
16	approval. Beissel shall execute an authorization allowing the evaluator to release information			
17	regarding the evaluation to ODC, to include a written report of the evaluator's findings,			
18	diagnosis, and recommended treatment plan, if any. Beissel shall provide ODC with a copy of			
19	the authorization. Beissel is responsible for paying any and all fees, costs, and/or expenses of			
20	mental health evaluation and treatment.			
21	26. In addition, Beissel will be subject to probation for a period of six months			
22	beginning when she is reinstated to the practice of law. The conditions of probation are set			
23	forth below. Respondent's compliance with these conditions shall be monitored by the			
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 5  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1235 4th August Suits 600			

1	Probation Administrate
2	Failure to comply wit
3	disciplinary action unde
4	a) I
5	by a pra
6	record o
7	disciplin
8	b) 1
9	to the Pr
10	proposed
11	Adminis
12	Probatio
13	Probatio
14	Chair o
15	cooperat
16	c) I
17	monitor
18	practice
19	matters,
20	client,
21	Meeting
22	d) 7
23	monthly

Probation Administrator of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (Probation Administrator). Failure to comply with a condition of probation listed herein may be grounds for further disciplinary action under ELC 13.8(b).

- During the period of probation, Respondent's practice shall be supervised by a practice monitor. The practice monitor must be a WSBA member with no record of public discipline and who is not the subject of a pending public disciplinary proceeding.
- No later than 30 days before probation begins, Respondent shall provide to the Probation Administrator, in writing, the name and contact information of a proposed practice monitor, who must be approved by the Probation Administrator. If Respondent fails to propose a practice monitor, or if the Probation Administrator does not approve the proposed practice monitor, the Probation Administrator will request that a practice monitor be appointed by the Chair of the Disciplinary Board. See ELC 13.8(a)(2). Respondent shall cooperate with the appointed practice monitor.
- c) During the period of probation, Respondent shall meet with the practice monitor at least once per month, for a total of six meetings. At each meeting, the practice monitor will discuss with Respondent: each of Respondent's client matters, the status of each client matter, Respondent's communication with each client, upcoming deadlines, and Respondent's intended course of action. Meetings may be in person or by telephone at the practice monitor's discretion.
- d) The practice monitor will provide the Probation Administrator with monthly reports regarding Respondent's performance on probation.

Stipulation except as provided herein.

## X. LIMITATIONS

- 31. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from the result agreed to herein.
- 32. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.
- 33. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved Stipulation.
- 34. Under Disciplinary Board policy, in addition to the Stipulation, the Disciplinary Board shall have available to it for consideration all documents that the parties agree to submit to the Disciplinary Board, and all public documents. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Board for its review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the Board, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.
  - 35. If this Stipulation is approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, it will

1	be followed by the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the
2	Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made.
3	36. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, this
4	Stipulation will have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be
5	admissible as evidence in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary
6	proceeding, or in any civil or criminal action.
7	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation
8	to Discipline as set forth above.
9	
10	. Kelly Marie Beissel, Bar No. 29239  Dated: 4/17/15
11	Respondent Respondent
12	
13	Kurt M. Bulmer/Blar No. 5559  Dated:
14	Counsel for Respondent
15	Jan 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
16	Dated: 4/17/15 Linda/B. Eide, Bar No. 10637
17	Managing Disciplinary Counsel
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
- 1	