FILED

JUL 09 2015

DISCIPLINARY BOARD

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BEFORE THE
DISCIPLINARY BOARD
OF THE
WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Notice of Reprimand

Lawyer Patrick Michael Pasion, WSBA No. 28243, has been ordered Reprimanded by the following attached documents: Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Hearing Officer's Recommendation and Disciplinary Board Chair Order Declining Referral of Sua Sponte Consideration ELC 11.3(b).

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Kevin Bank

Counsel to the Disciplinary Board

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

to be delivered to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed

at DDX 50474 Delleville 9001 Sby Certified tirst class man postage prepaid on the 9th day of 1000

Clerk County to the Disciplinary Board

Notice of Reprimand Page 1 of 1

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 Fourth Avenue – Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

21

22

23

24



DISCIPLINARY BOARD

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

PATRICK MICHAEL PASION,

Lawyer (Bar No. 28243).

Proceeding No. 14#00089

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND HEARING OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

The undersigned Hearing Officer held a default hearing on April 7, 2015, under Rule 10.6 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC).

FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REGARDING CHARGED VIOLATIONS

- 1. The Formal Complaint (Bar File No. 2) charged Patrick Michael Pasion with misconduct as set forth therein.
- 2. Under ELC 10.6(a)(4), the Hearing Officer finds that each of the facts set forth in the Formal Complaint is admitted and established.
- 3. Under ELC 10.6(a)(4), the Hearing Officer concludes that each of the violations charged in the Formal Complaint (Bar File No. 2) is admitted and established as follows:
 - 4. By failing to respond to Mr. Schreiber's repeated requests for information about

1	his case, failing to reasonably consult with Mr. Schreiber about the means by which his						
2	objectives were to be accomplished, failing to keep Mr. Schreiber reasonably informed about						
3	the status of his dependency case, failing to promptly comply with Mr. Schreiber's reasonable						
4	requests for information, and failing to explain the dependency matter to the extent reasonably						
5	necessary to permit Mr. Schreiber to make informed decisions regarding the representation						
6	Respondent violated RPC 1.4						
7	FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REGARDING RECOMMENDED SANCTION						
8	5. The following standards of the American Bar Association's Standards for						
9	Imposing Lawyer Sanctions ("ABA Standards") (1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) presumptively						
10	apply in this case:						
11							
12	6. ABA <u>Standard</u> 4.4 is most applicable to the duty to communicate with a client:						
13	4.42 Suspension is generally appropriate when: (a) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes injury or potential injury to a client, or						
14	(b) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect and causes injury or potential injury to a client.						
15	7. Respondent acted knowingly in failing to communicate with Mr. Schreiber.						
16	8. Respondent's lack of communication caused injury to Mr. Schreiber.						
17	9. The presumptive sanction is suspension.						
18	10. The following aggravating factor set forth in Section 9.22 of the ABA Standards						
19	applies in this case:						
20	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law.						
21	11. It is an additional aggravating factor that Respondent failed to file an answer to the						
22	Formal Complaint as required by ELC 10.5(a).						
23	12. The following mitigating factors set forth in Section 9.32 of the ABA Standards						
24	The roll wing integrang factors set form in section 7.32 of the ADA standards						

FOF COL Recommendation Page 3

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

(Gugs A As the Disciplinary Board 325 4th Avenue, Suite 600

Seattle, WA 98101-2539

(206) 727-8207

1	
2	
3	
4	In re PATRICK M
5	Lawyer (WS
6	
7	This matter
8	whether to refer this
9	to ELC 11.3(b). Or
.0	full Board for sua s
.1	
.2	DATE
L 3	
L4	
L5	
L 6	

17

ELC 11.3(b)

F		E	\overline{D}

MAY 29 2015

DISCIPLINARY BOARD

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

PATRICK MICHAEL PASION

Lawyer (WSBA No.28243)

Proceeding No. 14#00089

DISCIPLINARY BOARD CHAIR ORDER DECLINING REFERRAL OF SUA SPONTE CONSIDERATION ELC 11.3(b)

This matter came before the Chair of the Disciplinary Board for a determination whether to refer this matter to the full Board for consideration of sua sponte review pursuant to ELC 11.3(b). On May 26, 2015, the Clerk distributed the attached decision to the Chair.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Chair declines to refer this matter to the ull Board for *sua sponte* consideration.

DATED this 28th day of May, 2015.

Jennife A. Dremousis
Disciplinary Board Chair

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I caused a copy of the Down Video Video

TO THE DATION Respondent's Counsel Respondent R

postage prepaid on the day of

Board Chair Order Declining Sua Sponte Consideration WASHINGTON STATE B.

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
1325 Fourth Avenue – Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539

(206) 733-5926