

1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

-I	Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeals could result in an
2	outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this
3	proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct, sanction, and
4	probation to avoid the risk, time, and expense of further proceedings.
5	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE
6	1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on June 4,
7	1996.
8	II. STIPULATED FACTS
9	2. In June 2017, Marclay Construction poured a concrete foundation for a home that
10	Shayne and Jill Bradley were building. The Bradleys contend that the foundation was defective,
11	and they spent time and money making repairs.
12	3. In July 2017, the Bradleys hired Respondent to represent them in their dispute with
13	Marclay.
14	4. There was no written fee agreement.
15	5. On July 7, 2017, the Bradleys paid Respondent \$500, the amount Respondent said
16	he needed to begin the representation.
17	6. The Bradleys were ready to make additional payments as required if, for example,
18	the matter required litigation, but Respondent never sent them an invoice, never requested an
19	additional payment, and never discussed the need for any additional payment.
20	7. In August and September 2017, the Bradleys sent Respondent several requests for
21	information about the status of the matter.
22	8. Respondent replied that he was in a conference, on the road, out of the office, ill,
23	
24	Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation Page 2OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1 or engaged in another matter.

9.

2

Respondent did not comply with the Bradleys' requests for information.

3 10. On October 25, 2017, Respondent sent a one-page letter to Marclay. On behalf of
4 Mr. Bradley, Respondent requested a refund from Marclay and the policy number of Marclay's
5 contractor's license bond with Wesco Insurance.

6 11. On October 27, 2017, Ed Martinez of Wesco Insurance provided Respondent with 7 detailed instructions on how to make a claim against Marclay's bond. Mr. Martinez informed 8 Respondent by letter and by email that (a) to make a claim against a contractor's bond the 9 Bradleys must file a lawsuit under RCW 18.27.040, (b) the lawsuit must be filed against the 10 contractor (Marclay) and its registered surety (Wesco), (c) the lawsuit must be filed in the 11 Superior Court of the county where the work was done, and (d) a copy of the lawsuit must be 12 forwarded to the Washington State Department of Labor & Industries, which would then serve 13 Wesco.

14 12. Respondent did not show this letter to the Bradleys or inform them of the need to15 file a lawsuit to make a claim against Marclay's bond.

16 13. Between October 2017 and January 2018, Mr. Bradley sent Respondent multiple
17 requests for information about the status of the matter. Mr. Bradley asked, for example, if there
18 was "any word from the bond," "any news from the bond company," "any news," "anything
19 back from the bond company," and "any news."

14. Respondent replied that he was "jammed up," "out of the office," "playing catch
up," "out of the area," "in court," and "in witness interviews," but he did not comply with the
Mr. Bradley's requests for information.

23

24 || Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation Page 3

1	15. In late January 2018, Mr. Bradley obtained from Mr. Martinez a copy of the
2	October 27, 2017 letter and email that Mr. Martinez had sent to Respondent explaining how to
3	make a claim against Marclay's bond.
4	16. On January 25, 2018, Mr. Bradley forwarded a copy of Mr. Martinez's October 27,
5	2017 letter and email to Respondent. Mr. Bradley told Respondent that they needed to file a
6	lawsuit promptly.
7	17. On January 29, 2018, Ms. Bradley sent Respondent a request for information about
8	the status of the matter.
9	18. Respondent did not reply, so Ms. Bradley sent a follow-up request on February 2,
10	2018.
11	19. Respondent still did not reply, so Mr. Bradley sent him a message on February 13,
12	2018 asking: "Have you filed the paperwork? If not and you are too busy maybe I should go
13	with another attorney?"
14	20. Respondent replied that he was "not too busy" and that he would have "an
15	effective summary and update" by February 16.
16	21. Respondent did not provide a summary and update.
17	22. On February 16, 2018, Mr. Bradley sent Respondent a message asking when
18	would be a good time to call. In reply, Respondent wrote that he would "call as soon as [he
19	was] free."
20	23. Respondent did not call.
21	24. Mr. Bradley sent Respondent another message on February 28, 2018 asking for
22	information about the status of the matter.
23	
24	Stipulation to ReprimandOFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSELand ProbationOF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATIONPage 41325 4th Avenue, Suite 600Scattle, WA 98101-2539

(206) 727-8207

1

25. Respondent did not reply.

2 26. Mr. Bradley sent Respondent another message on March 8, 2018 asking for an
3 update.

4 ||

7

27. Respondent did not reply.

5 28. Mr. Bradley sent Respondent another message on March 9, 2018 asking, "Can you
6 recommend someone else who has the time to put into our case? We need to settle this."

29. Respondent did not reply.

8 30. Soon thereafter, Mr. Bradley discovered, on his own, that other claims had already
9 been filed against Marclay's bond. Those claims had priority over the Bradleys' claim because
10 the Bradleys' claim had not yet been filed.

31. The Bradleys hired a different lawyer who promptly filed suit on the Bradleys'
behalf against Marclay, its principals, and Wesco.

32. On April 27, 2018, Ms. Bradley sent Respondent a request for a refund. She
explained that they had hired a different lawyer due to Respondent's failure to communicate and
his "lack of follow through," and that Respondent's failure to act had resulted in numerous other
claims having priority over theirs.

17

33. On the same date, Mr. Bradley filed a grievance against Respondent.

18 34. On May 2, 2018, ODC sent Respondent a request for a written response to Mr.
19 Bradley's grievance within 30 days.

20

35. Respondent did not respond.

36. On June 20, 2018, ODC sent Respondent a notice under ELC 5.3(h) that he must
file a written response to the grievance within 10 days. The notice informed Respondent that if

23

24 || Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation Page 5

he failed to file a response within 10 days, he would be subpoended for a noncooperation
 deposition and would be subject to discipline.

3

37. Respondent did not respond.

38. On July 17, 2018, Disciplinary Counsel issued a subpoena duces tecum to
Respondent under ELC 5.3(h) due to his failure to provide a response to the grievance. The
subpoena commanded Respondent to appear for a deposition on August 7, 2018 and to produce
his client file.

8

39. The subpoena was served at Respondent's office on July 23, 2018.

9 40. On that date, Respondent had been out of the office on vacation since July 19,10 2018.

41. On August 3, 2018, Respondent informed Disciplinary Counsel that he was out of
the office on vacation and that he would not return until August 8, 2018. Respondent asked for
an extension until August 13, 2018 for his written response to the grievance.

42. Disciplinary Counsel granted Respondent's request for an extension and informed
Respondent that his deposition would be continued to August 21, 2018. Disciplinary Counsel
also sent Respondent additional copies of the grievance, the subpoena duces tecum, and the
correspondence dated May 2 and June 20, 2018 referenced above.

18 43. On August 20, 2018, the day before the scheduled noncooperation deposition,
19 Respondent asked Disciplinary Counsel for a postponement.

44. Disciplinary Counsel agreed to continue the deposition to September 6, 2018.
45. Respondent stated that he would provide a written response to the grievance, along

22 with the documents described in the subpoena duces tecum, by August 27, 2018.

23

24 || Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation Page 6

1	46. On August 24, 2018, the deposition was continued to September 27, 2018 to allow
2	time for Mr. Bradley to reply to the written response that Respondent was to provide by August
3	27, 2018.
4	47. Respondent did not provide a written response to the grievance.
5	48. Respondent appeared for his noncooperation deposition on September 27, 2018
6	and produced documents responsive to the subpoena.
7	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT
8	49. By failing to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client,
9	Respondent violated RPC 1.3.
10	50. By failing to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter, and by
11	failing to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information, Respondent violated RPC
12	1.4.
13	51. By failing to promptly provide a written response to the grievance, Respondent
14	violated RPC 8.4(l) (by violating ELC 5.3(f), 5.3(g), and/or 5.5(d).
15	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE
16	52. Respondent has no prior discipline.
17	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS
18	53. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions
19	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case: ABA Standards stds. 4.4 and 7.0. The
20	applicable ABA <u>Standards</u> are set forth in Appendix A.
21	54. In failing to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client,
22	Respondent acted negligently and caused injury or potential injury to a client. The presumptive
23	
24	Stipulation to ReprimandOFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSELand ProbationOF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATIONPage 71325 4th Avenue, Suite 600Seattle, WA 98101-2539(206) 727-8207

1	sanction is reprimand under ABA <u>Standards</u> std. 4.43.
2	55. In failing to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter, and in
3	failing to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information, Respondent acted
4	negligently and caused injury or potential injury to a client. The presumptive sanction is
5	reprimand under ABA Standards std. 4.43.
6	56. In failing to promptly provide a written response to the grievance, Respondent acted
7	negligently and caused injury or potential injury to a client and the legal system. The
8	presumptive sanction is reprimand under ABA Standards std. 7.3.
9	57. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standards std. 9.22:
10	 (d) multiple offenses; (i) substantial experience in the practice of law (admitted 1996).
11	58. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA <u>Standards</u> std. 9.32:
12	(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record;
13	(b) absence of a dishonest or selfish motive.
14	59. The aggravating and mitigating factors do not require a departure from the
15	presumptive sanction.
16	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE
17	60. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a reprimand for his misconduct.
18	VII. STIPULATION TO PROBATION
19	61. Respondent shall be subject to probation for a period of 24 months beginning on the
20	date this Stipulation receives final approval.
21	62. The conditions of probation are set forth below. Respondent's compliance with
22	these conditions will be monitored by the Probation Administrator of the Office of Disciplinary
23	
24	Stipulation to ReprimandOFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSELand ProbationOF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATIONPage 81325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539

(206) 727-8207

Counsel ("Probation Administrator"). Failure to comply with a condition of probation listed
 herein may be grounds for further disciplinary action under ELC 13.8(b).

3

63. During the period of probation, Respondent's practice will be supervised by a
practice monitor. The practice monitor must be a WSBA member with no record of public
discipline and who is not the subject of a pending public disciplinary proceeding. The role of
the practice monitor is to consult with and provide guidance to Respondent regarding case
management, office management, and avoiding violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct,
and to provide reports and information to the Probation Administrator regarding Respondent's
compliance with the terms of probation and the RPC. The practice monitor does not represent
the Respondent.

64. At the beginning of the probation period, the Probation Administrator will select a

12 || lawyer to serve as practice monitor for the period of Respondent's probation. The selection is

13 || subject to challenge as follows:

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

a) <u>Initial Challenge</u>: If, within 15 days of the written notice of the selection of a practice monitor, Respondent sends a written request to the Probation Administrator that another practice monitor be selected, the Probation Administrator will select another practice monitor. Respondent need not identify any basis for this initial request.

b) <u>Subsequent Challenges</u>: If, after selection of a second (or subsequent) practice monitor, Respondent believes there is good cause why that individual should not serve as practice monitor, Respondent may, within 15 days of notice of the selected practice monitor, send a written request to the Probation Administrator asking that another practice monitor be selected. That request must articulate good cause to support the request. If the Probation Administrator disagrees, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel will submit its proposed selection for practice monitor to the Chair of the Disciplinary Board for appointment pursuant to ELC 13.8(a)(2), and will also provide the Chair with the Respondent's written request that another practice monitor be selected. The Chair will decide whether another practice monitor must be selected. The Chair's decision is final.

24 || Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation Page 9

65. In the event the practice monitor is no longer able to perform his or her duties, the Probation Administrator will select a new practice monitor at his or her discretion.

66. During the period of probation, Respondent must cooperate with the named practice monitor. Respondent must meet with the practice monitor at least once per month. Respondent must communicate with the practice monitor to schedule all required meetings.

6 67. Respondent must bring to each meeting a current, complete written list of all
7 pending client legal matters being handled by the Respondent. The list must identify the current
8 status of each client matter and any problematic issues regarding each client matter. The list
9 may identify clients by using the client's initials rather than the client's name.

68. At each meeting, the practice monitor will discuss with Respondent practice issues 10 11 that have arisen or are anticipated. In light of the conduct giving rise to the imposition of 12 probation, ODC recommends that the practice monitor and Respondent discuss whether 13 Respondent is diligently making progress on each client matter, whether Respondent is in 14 communication with each client, whether Respondent has promptly billed each client, whether 15 Respondent's fee agreements are consistent with the RPC and are understandable to the client, 16 and whether Respondent needs to consider withdrawing from any client matters. Meetings may 17 be in person or by telephone at the practice monitor's discretion. The practice monitor uses 18 discretion in determining the length of each meeting.

69. The practice monitor will provide the Probation Administrator with quarterly written
reports regarding Respondent's compliance with probation terms and the RPC. Each report
must include the date of each meeting with Respondent, a brief synopsis of the discussion
topics, and a brief description of any concerns the practice monitor has regarding the

23

E

2

3

4

5

24 || Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation Page 10

1	Respondent's compliance with the RPC. The report must be signed by the practice monitor.
2	Each report is due within 30 days of the completion of the quarter.
3	70. If the practice monitor believes that Respondent is not complying with any of his
4	ethical duties under the RPC, or if Respondent fails to schedule or attend a monthly meeting, the
5	practice monitor will promptly communicate that to the Probation Administrator.
6	71. Respondent must make payments totaling \$1,000 to the Washington State Bar
7	Association to defray the costs and expenses of administering the probation, as follows:
8	a) \$250 due within 30 days of the start of the probation;
9	b) \$250 due within 6 months of the start of the probation period;
10	c) \$250 due within 12 months of the start of the probation period; and
11	d) \$250 due within 18 months of the start of the probation period.
12	All payments should be provided to the Probation Administrator for processing.
13	VIII. RESTITUTION
14	72. Respondent shall pay restitution to Shayne and Jill Bradley in the amount of \$500.
15	Restitution shall be paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation.
16	IX. COSTS AND EXPENSES
17	73. Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$1,000 in accordance
18	with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l) if these
19	costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation.
20	X. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT
21	74. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he had an opportunity to
22	consult independent legal counsel, that he is entering into this Stipulation voluntarily, and that
23	
24	Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation Page 11 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4 th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

(206) 727-8207

no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the Association, or any representative thereof 1 2 to induce the Respondent to enter into this Stipulation except as provided herein.

75. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party.

XI. LIMITATIONS

6 76. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in 7 accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the 8 expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer 9 and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from 10 the result agreed to herein.

11 77. This Stipulation is not binding on ODC or Respondent as a statement of all existing facts relating to Respondent's professional conduct, and any additional existing facts may be 12 13 proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.

14 78. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, 15 including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of 16 hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As 17 such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in 18 19 subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved 20 Stipulation.

21

3

4

5

79. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Hearing Officer for 22 his or her review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the Hearing

23

24 Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation Page 12

1 Officer, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

80. If this Stipulation is approved by the Hearing Officer, it will be followed by the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made.

81. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Hearing Officer, this Stipulation will have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be admissible as evidence in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary proceeding, or in any civil or criminal action.

9 WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation
10 to Reprimand and Probation as set forth above.

Alan Edward Harvey, Bar

Dated: 9/ 13/ 2019

Respondent

Aur & Frus

Dated: <u> 2/13/19</u>

Scott G. Busby, Bar No. 17522 Senior Disciplinary Counsel

Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation Page 13