		FILED
1		Nov 16 2020
2		Disciplinary
3		Board
4		Docket # 001
5		
6		
7		ARY BOARD TE BAR ASSOCIATION
8	WASHINGTON STA	TE BAR ASSOCIATION
9	In re	Proceeding No. 20#00061
10	PAUL MICHAEL DONION,	ODC File No(s). 17-02056, 20-00043, 20-
11	Lawyer (Bar No. 25053).	00912
12	Lawyei (Bai 140. 25055).	Resignation Form of Paul Michael Donion (ELC 9.3(b))
13		
14	I, Paul Michael Donion, declare as follo	ows:
15	1. I am over the age of eighteen year	s and am competent. I make the statements in this
16	declaration from personal knowledge.	
17	2. I was admitted to practice law in t	the State of Washington on October 17, 1995.
18	3. After consulting with my counsel	, Kevin Bank, I have voluntarily decided to resign
19	from the Washington State Bar Association (t	he Association) in Lieu of Discipline under Rule
20	9.3 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules	for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC).
21	4. Attached hereto as Exhibit A	is Disciplinary Counsel's statement of alleged
22	misconduct for purposes of ELC 9.3(b). I	am aware of the alleged misconduct stated in
23	Disciplinary Counsel's statement, but rather	than defend against the allegations, I wish to

Resignation Form of Paul Michael Donion (ELC 9.3(b))
Page 2

permanently resign from membership in the Association.

- 5. I consent to entry of an order under ELC 13.9(e) assessing expenses of \$1,500 and costs of \$475, for a total of \$1,975, in this matter.
- 6. I agree to pay any additional costs or restitution that may be ordered by a Review Committee under ELC 9.3(g).
- 7. I understand that my resignation is permanent and that any future application by me for reinstatement as a member of the Association is currently barred. If the Washington Supreme Court changes this rule or an application is otherwise permitted in the future, it will be treated as an application by one who has been disbarred for ethical misconduct. If I file an application, I will not be entitled to a reconsideration or reexamination of the facts, complaints, allegations, or instances of alleged misconduct on which this resignation was based.
- 8. I agree to (a) notify all other states and jurisdictions in which I am admitted of this resignation in lieu of discipline; (b) seek to resign permanently from the practice of law in all other states and jurisdictions in which I am admitted; and (c) provide Disciplinary Counsel with copies of this notification and any response(s). I acknowledge that this resignation could be treated as a disbarment by all other jurisdictions.
- 9. I agree to (a) notify all other professional licensing agencies in any jurisdiction from which I have a professional license that is predicated on my admission to practice law of this resignation in lieu of discipline; (b) seek to resign permanently from any such license; and (c) provide disciplinary counsel with copies of any of these notifications and any responses.
- 10. I agree that when applying for any employment, I will disclose the resignation in lieu of discipline in response to any question regarding disciplinary action or the status of my license to practice law.

Page 3

## **EXHIBIT A**

DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION	
In re	Proceeding No
PAUL MICHAEL DONION,	ODC File No(s). 17-02056, 20-00043, 20-
Lawyer (Bar No. 25053).	00912 STATEMENT OF ALLEGED
	MISCONDUCT UNDER ELC 9.3(b)(1)
The following constitutes a Statement	of Alleged Misconduct under Rule 9.3(b)(1) of
the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC).	
I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE	
Respondent Paul Michael Donion	n was admitted to the practice of law in the State
of Washington on October 17, 1995.	
II. ALLE	GED FACTS
ODC File No. 17-02056	
2. On December 22, 2017, Thon	nas Glassman filed a grievance alleging that
Respondent engaged in a conflict of interest b	y attempting to sell a client (Glassman's mother)
Statement of Alleged Misconduct Page 1	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
	In re  PAUL MICHAEL DONION, Lawyer (Bar No. 25053).  The following constitutes a Statement the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for En  I. ADMISSION  1. Respondent Paul Michael Donion of Washington on October 17, 1995.  II. ALLE  ODC File No. 17-02056  2. On December 22, 2017, Thorn Respondent engaged in a conflict of interest by

1	an interest in a marijuana-related company that was also represented by Respondent, and that
2	Respondent failed to provide an accounting of funds received from Glassman's mother. At the
3	time of the representation, Glassman's mother was undergoing medical treatment.
4	3. On January 28, 2018, Respondent provided a written response to the grievance. In
5	his response, Respondent agreed to provide Glassman with an accounting, but did not.
6	4. On April 24, 2018, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) sent Respondent a
7	letter requesting records relating to his representation of Glassman's mother. The letter
8	requested Respondent's response within thirty (30) days. Respondent did not respond.
9	5. On May 30, 2018, ODC sent Respondent a letter requiring him to produce the
10	requested records within 10 days or ODC would subpoena Respondent for a non-cooperation
11	deposition.
12	6. On June 21, 2018, Respondent produced some, but not all, of the requested
13	records. Respondent agreed to provide the missing records, but did not.
14	7. On August 20, 2018, ODC issued a notice of intent to take deposition and a
15	subpoena duces tecum for Respondent's September 19, 2018 deposition. Respondent was
16	personally served with the notice and subpoena on August 21, 2018.
17	8. Brett Purtzer appeared as counsel for Respondent. At counsel's request,
18	Respondent's deposition was continued to October 10, 2018, to October 31, 2018, and to
19	November 13, 2018.
20	9. On October 26, 2018, Respondent provided additional records, but still did not
21	provide all of the records requested or required by the subpoena.
22	10. Several days before Respondent's November 13, 2018 deposition, Respondent's
23	counsel requested deferral of the grievance investigation because of a pending investigation by
,	

1	another agency. The grievance investigation was deferred.	
2	11. In 2019 and 2020, Respondent's counsel asserted that the grievance investigation	
3	should continue to be deferred because the investigation by the other agency was ongoing.	
4	12. On June 22, 2020, ODC informed Respondent's counsel that the grievance	
5	investigation was being reopened and asked Respondent to produce the records sought by	
6	ODC's April 24, 2018 letter and August 20, 2018 subpoena duces tecum. Respondent did no	
7	produce the records.	
8	13. On August 24, 2020, ODC sent Respondent's counsel a letter outlining the history	
9	of Respondent's failure to produce records.	
10	14. On August 28, 2020, Kevin Bank substituted as counsel for Respondent.	
11	15. On September 16, 2020, ODC sent Respondent's counsel an email requesting dates	
12	when Respondent would be available for his non-cooperation deposition.	
13	16. On October 12, 2020, ODC issued a notice of intent to take deposition and	
14	subpoena duces tecum for Respondent's November 5, 2020 deposition. Respondent's counsel	
15	accepted service of the notice and subpoena for Respondent.	
16	17. At the same time, Respondent's counsel informed ODC that Respondent would	
17	resign in lieu of discipline under ELC 9.3 rather than testify at his deposition or produce the	
18	subpoenaed records.	
19	18. Respondent has not produced all of the records subpoenaed by ODC, including his	
20	fee agreement(s), complete billing statements, client ledgers, accountings, disbursal statements,	
21	cancelled checks, or other trust account records relating to his representation of Glassman'	
22	mother.	
23		
24	Statement of Alleged Misconduct  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COLINSEL	

## 1 **ODC File No. 20-00043** 2 19. On January 7, 2020, a check for \$5,000 was presented against insufficient funds in 3 Respondent's Interest on Lawyer's Trust Account, ending in 4581, at Wells Fargo Bank. The 4 check was dishonored. 5 20. On January 15, 2020, ODC sent Respondent a letter requesting an explanation of 6 the overdraft, how it was corrected, and copies of Respondent's trust account records for the 7 month the overdraft occurred and the preceding month. The letter requested Respondent's 8 response within 30 days. Respondent did not respond. 9 21. On March 3, 2020, ODC sent Respondent a letter requiring his written response 10 and records within 10 days or ODC would subpoen Respondent for a non-cooperation 11 deposition. Respondent did not respond. 12 On April 6, 2020, Respondent sent ODC an email stating that he would respond within three days. Respondent did not respond. 13 14 23. On April 22, 2020, ODC sent Respondent an email requesting an update on the 15 status of his response. On April 22, 2020, Respondent sent ODC an email stating that he would 16 respond by April 27, 2020. 17 24. On April 27, 2020, Respondent sent ODC an email stating that he was retaining counsel. 18 19 On May 5, 2020, Respondent sent ODC an email stating that he was still in the 20 process of retaining counsel and that he would send the requested records, but he did not have 21 access to his office. 22 26. On June 8, 2020, ODC sent Respondent an email stating that counsel had not 23 appeared on Respondent's behalf and requesting an update on the status of his response.

1	27. On June 11, 2020, Respondent sent ODC an email stating that he had not yet	
2	retained counsel and that, if he did not secure counsel, he would reply within one week.	
3	28. On June 23, 2020, Brett Purtzer appeared as counsel for Respondent.	
4	29. Respondent still did not respond to ODC's January 15, 2020 or March 3, 2020	
5	letters.	
6	30. On August 3, 2020, ODC sent Respondent's counsel an email requesting dates	
7	when Respondent would be available in September 2020 for his non-cooperation deposition.	
8	31. On August 28, 2020, Kevin Bank substituted as counsel for Respondent.	
9	32. On September 16, 2020, ODC sent Respondent's counsel an email requesting dates	
10	when Respondent would be available in October 2020 for his non-cooperation deposition.	
11	33. On October 12, 2020, ODC issued a notice of intent to take deposition and	
12	subpoena duces tecum for Respondent's November 5, 2020 deposition. Respondent's counsel	
13	accepted service of the notice and subpoena for Respondent.	
14	34. At the same time, Respondent's counsel informed ODC that Respondent would	
15	resign in lieu of discipline under ELC 9.3 rather than testify at his deposition or produce the	
16	subpoenaed records.	
17	35. Respondent has not provided a complete explanation of the trust account overdraft	
18	or the requested records.	
19	ODC File No. 20-00912	
20	36. On January 30, 2014, in Proceeding File No. 11#00098, Respondent received a	
21	reprimand for failing to maintain complete and accurate trust account records, failing to	
22	maintain client funds in a trust account, failing to promptly deliver funds to clients entitled to	
23	receive them, and disbursing one client's funds on behalf of another client, among other	
24	Statement of Alleged Misconduct OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL	

1	violations. Respondent was placed on probation for two years, during which he was to undergo
2	periodic reviews of his trust account practices. On a quarterly basis, Respondent was required
3	to submit records for all of his trust accounts.
4	37. During his probation, Respondent did not disclose or provide records for his trust
5	account, ending in 3315, at Key Bank.
6	38. On August 5, 2020, ODC opened a grievance alleging that Respondent failed to
7	comply with the conditions of his probation.
8	39. On August 11, 2020, ODC sent Respondent a letter requesting his written response
9	to the grievance and related records. The letter requested Respondent's response within 30
10	days. Respondent did not respond.
11	40. On September 16, 2020, ODC sent Respondent a letter requiring his written
12	response and records within 10 days or ODC would subpoena Respondent for a non-cooperation
13	deposition.
14	41. Respondent did not respond to the grievance or provide the requested records.
15	III. ALLEGED MISCONDUCT
16	42. By failing to cooperate in three grievance investigations, Respondent violated RPC
17	8.1(b), RPC 8.4( <i>l</i> ) and RPC 8.4(d).
18	43. By failing to provide an accounting in the Glassman matter, Respondent violated
19	RPC 1.15A(e).
20	DATED this 29 <sup>th</sup> day of October, 2020.
21	Marsha Mateumoto
22	Marsha Matsumoto, Bar No. 15831 Managing Disciplinary Counsel
23	
24	Statement of Alleged Misconduct OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 6 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

1325 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207