

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Notice of Reprimand

Lawyer Kevin L. Gibbs, WSBA No. 23990, has been ordered Reprimanded by the following attached documents: Order on Stipulation to Reprimand and Stipulation to Reprimand.

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Kevin Bank

Counsel to the Disciplinary Board

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

to be delivered to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed to fall with the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed to fall with the property of the property of the Certified first class mail postage prepaid on the With day of The Certified first class mail postage prepaid on the With day of The Certified first class mail postage prepaid on the With day of The Certified first class mail postage prepaid on the With day of The Certified first class mail postage prepaid on the With day of The Certified first class mail postage prepaid on the With day of The Certified first class mail postage prepaid on the With day of The Certified first class mail postage prepaid on the With day of The Certified first class mail postage prepaid on the With day of The Certified first class mail postage prepaid on the With day of The Certified first class mail postage prepaid on the C

Clarify to the Disciplinary Board

Notice of Reprimand Page 1 of 1

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 Fourth Avenue – Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207



2 3 5 6 **BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD** OF THE 8 WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 9 Proceeding No. 16#00040 In re 10 ORDER ON STIPULATION TO KEVIN L. GIBBS, 11 REPRIMAND Lawyer (Bar No. 23990). 12 13 On review of the June 20, 2016 Stipulation to Reprimand and the documents on file in 14 this matter, 15 IT IS ORDERED that the June 20, 2016 Stipulation to Reprimand is approved. 16 17 18 19 20 21 mes E. Horne hief Hearing Officer 22 23 Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed 24 Order on Stipulation postage prepaid on the

Page 1

FILED

JUN 21 2016

DISCIPLINARY BOARD

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

KEVIN L. GIBBS,

Lawyer (Bar No. 23990).

Proceeding No. 16#00040

ODC File No. 15-00778

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Reprimand is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Benjamin J. Attanasio and Respondent lawyer Kevin L. Gibbs.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to

Stipulation to Discipline Page 1

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Senttle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	avoid the risk, time, expense attendant to further proceedings.		
2 ·		I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE	
3	1.	Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on October 31,	
4	1994.		
5	2.	On March 20, 2013, Respondent was suspended from the practice of law for 30	
6	months fo	r violations of RPC 1.3, 1.4, 1.5(a), 1.15A(c), 1.15A(e), 1.15A(f), 1.16(d), and 8.4(I).	
7	3.	Respondent has remained in a suspended status since March 20, 2013.	
8	II. STIPULATED FACTS		
9	4.	Respondent's wife, Lensa Gibbs, is a singer.	
10	5.	Respondent represents himself as the sole proprietor of an entity named "Lensa	
11	Gibbs Music."		
12	6.	In March 2014, a dispute arose concerning the termination of Lensa Gibbs' services	
13	for a band named "4MORE."		
14	7.	RL ¹ is a representative of 4MORE.	
15	8.	On March 26, 2015, Respondent sent a letter to RL about the matter concerning	
16	Lensa Gibbs and 4MORE. Respondent demanded that RL pay money to settle the dispute, and		
17	he threatened to file suit. Respondent stated, "should you prefer that I seek to resolve this		
18	dispute with your legal representative, please provide me with your insurance carrier's and/or		
19	attorney's contact information."		
20	9.	Respondent was representing himself in the matter concerning Lensa Gibbs and	
21	4MORE.		
22	10.	On April 1, 2015, lawyer Jay Berneburg sent a letter to Lensa Gibbs in response to	
23	Paulineau el franca a velletenna a relea a neu a tarte e 1880 e		
24	This individual's name is reducted to initials to protect his or her privacy.		

I	the March 26, 2015 letter that Respondent sent to RL. Mr. Berneburg stated that he was a		
2	lawyer representing RL in the matter concerning Lensa Gibbs and 4MORE. Mr. Berneburg		
3	rejected Respondent's demand.		
4.	11. Respondent received the April 1, 2015 letter that Mr. Berneburg sent to Lensa Gibbs.		
5	12. Respondent did not have Mr. Berneburg's consent to communicate with RL about		
6	the matter concerning Lensa Gibbs and 4MORE.		
7	13. On April 24, 2015, after reviewing the April 1, 2015 letter from Mr. Berneburg to		
8	Lensa Gibbs, Respondent sent a second letter to RL about the matter concerning Lensa Gibbs		
9	and 4MORE.		
10	14. Respondent did not provide Mr. Berneburg with a copy of his April 24, 2015 letter to		
H	RL.		
12	15. In communicating with RL about the matter concerning Lensa Gibbs and 4MORE.		
13	knowing that Mr. Berneburg represented RL in the matter, and knowing that he did not have		
14	Mr. Berneburg's consent, Respondent eaused injury or potential injury to RL.		
15	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT		
16	16. By communicating about a matter with a person whom he knew to be represented by		
17	another lawyer in the matter, without the other lawyer's consent, Respondent violated RPC 4.2.		
18	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE		
19	17. Respondent stipulated to a 30-month suspension in March 2013 for the following		
20	misconduct:		
21	 mishandling his clients' advance fee deposit in violation of RPC 1.15A(c); 		
22	• failing to work diligently or complete his clients' matter in violation of RPC 1.3;		
23	 failing to adequately communicate with his clients in violation of 1.4; 		
i			

1	11	or interference or potential interference with the outcome of the legal					
2	instan	nition is generally appropriate when a lawyer engages in an isolated ce of negligence in improperly communicating with an individual in					
3 4	party.	gal system, and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a or causes little or no actual or potential interference with the ne of the legal proceeding.					
5	19. Responde	nt knew he was contacting a represented party, RL, without first obtaining					
6	the consent of Mr. Berneburg, but was negligent in determining whether it was proper for him						
7	to do so when he was representing himself. ²						
8	20. Respondent caused potential injury to RL, who could have been disadvantaged in						
9	dealing with Respondent without the benefit of counsel.						
10	21. The presumptive sanction is reprimand.						
11	22. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22:						
12	(a) prior disciplinary offenses; and(i) substantial experience in the practice of law.						
13	23. None of t	23. None of the mitigating factors under ABA Standard 9.32 apply. However, it is a					
14	mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter at an early stage of the						
15	proceedings.						
16	24. On balance the aggravating and mitigating factors do not require a departure from						
17	the presumptive sanction.						
18	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE						
19	25. The partie	s stipulate that Respondent shall receive a reprimand for his conduct.					
20	VII. RESTITUTION						
21	26. No restitution is required as part of this stipulation.						
22		WANTED STATE A ALBERTA					
23	² Respondent was not aware of the holding in <u>In re Disciplinary Proceeding Against Haley</u> , 156 Wn.2d 324, 338, 126 P.3d 1262 (2006) that "a lawyer acting pro se is 'representing a client' for the purposes of RPC 4.2(a)."						
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 5	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION					

24

l	hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As		
2	such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate		
3	sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in		
4	subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved		
5	Stipulation.		
6	33. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Hearing Officer for		
7	his or her review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the Hearing		
8	Officer, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.		
9	34. If this Stipulation is approved by the Hearing Officer, it will be followed by the		
10	disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the Rules for		
11	Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made.		
12	35. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Hearing Officer, this Stipulation will have		
13	no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be admissible as evidence in		
14	the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary proceeding, or in any civil		
15	or criminal action.		
16	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation		
17	to Reprimand as set forth above.		
18	Dated:		
19	Kevin L. Gibbs, Bar No. 23990 Respondent		
20	Respondent		
21	Dated: Benjamin J. Attanasio, Bar No. 43032		
22	Disciplinary Counsel		
23			
,,			

24 || Stipulation to Discipline Page 7

24