1 Feb 1, 2024 2 Disciplinary 3 Roard 4 Docket # 001 5 DISCIPLINARY BOARD 6 WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 7 24#00008 In re Proceeding No. 8 ROBERT SCOTT HUFF, ODC File No(s). 23-00295 and 23-01809 9 Lawyer (Bar No. 20507). Resignation Form of Robert Scott Huff (ELC 10 9.3(b)) 11 12 I, Robert Scott Huff, declare as follows: 13 1. I am over the age of eighteen years and am competent. I make the statements in this 14 declaration from personal knowledge. 15 2. I was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on June 3, 1991. 16 3. I have voluntarily decided to resign from the Washington State Bar Association (the 17 Association) in Lieu of Discipline under Rule 9.3 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for 18 Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC). 19 Attached hereto as Exhibit A is Disciplinary Counsel's statement of alleged 20 misconduct for purposes of ELC 9.3(b). I am aware of the alleged misconduct stated in 21 Disciplinary Counsel's statement, but rather than defend against the allegations, I wish to 22 permanently resign from membership in the Association. 23 I consent to entry of an order under ELC 13.9(e) assessing expenses of \$1,500 in 24

(ELC 9.3(b)) Page 1

this matter

- I agree to pay restitution of \$8,000 to Robin Elms and \$2,900 to Rosita Babor.
- 7. I agree to pay any additional costs or restitution that may be ordered by a Review Committee under ELC 9.3(g).
- I understand that my resignation is permanent and that any future application by me for reinstatement as a member of the Association is currently barred. If the Washington Supreme Court changes this rule or an application is otherwise permitted in the future, it will be treated as an application by one who has been disbarred for ethical misconduct. If I file an application, I will not be entitled to a reconsideration or reexamination of the facts, complaints, allegations, or instances of alleged misconduct on which this resignation was based.
- I agree to (a) notify all other states and jurisdictions in which I am admitted of this resignation in lieu of discipline; (b) seek to resign permanently from the practice of law in that state and/or jurisdiction; and (c) provide Disciplinary Counsel with copies of this notification and any response(s). I acknowledge that this resignation could be treated as a disbarment by all other jurisdictions.
- I agree to (a) notify all other professional licensing agencies in any jurisdiction from which I have a professional license that is predicated on my admission to practice law of this resignation in lieu of discipline; (b) seek to resign permanently from any such license; and (c) provide disciplinary counsel with copies of any of these notifications and any responses.
- I agree that when applying for any employment, I will disclose the resignation in lieu of discipline in response to any question regarding disciplinary action or the status of my license to practice law.
  - 12. I understand that my resignation becomes effective on Disciplinary Counsel's

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

| 1  | endorsement and filing of this document with the Clerk, and that under ELC 9.3(c) Disciplinary   |
|----|--|
| 2  | Counsel must do so promptly following receipt of this document.  |
| 3  | 13. When my resignation becomes effective, I agree to be subject to all restrictions that  |
| 4  | apply to a disbarred lawyer.   |
| 5  | 14. Upon filing of my resignation, I agree to comply with the same duties as a disbarred   |
| 6  | lawyer under ELC 14.1 through ELC 14.4.  |
| 7  | 15. I understand that, after my resignation becomes effective, it is permanent. I will   |
| 8  | never be eligible to apply and will not be considered for admission or reinstatement to the practice   |
| 9  | of law nor will I be eligible for admission for any limited practice of law.   |
| 10 | 16. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the  |
| 11 | foregoing is true and correct.   |
| 12 | Date and Place  Nobert Scott Huff, Bar No. 20507   |
| 13 | Robert Beat Harr, Bar 110, 20307   |
| 14 | ENDORSED BY:   |
| 15 | Trancesca D'Angelo, Disciplinary Counsel   |
| 16 | Bar No. 22979  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 | Resignation Form of Robert Scott Huff (ELC 9.3(b)) Page 3  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 |

## **EXHIBIT A**

| 1  |  |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  |  |  |
| 3  |  |  |
| 4  |  |  |
| 5  |  |  |
| 6  |  |  |
| 7  | DISCIPLIN                                    | ADV DOARD  |
| 8  |  | IARY BOARD<br>I'E BAR ASSOCIATION                                      |
| 9  |  | I  |
| 10 | In re  | Proceeding No  |
| 11 | ROBERT SCOTT HUFF,                           | ODC File No(s). 23-00295 and 23-01809                                  |
| 12 | Lawyer (Bar No. 20507).                      | STATEMENT OF ALLEGED<br>MISCONDUCT UNDER ELC 9.3(b)(1)                 |
| 13 |  | Masser Stable 21.5(e)(1)   |
| 14 |  | •  |
| 15 | The following constitutes a Statement of     | of Alleged Misconduct under Rule 9.3(b)(1) of the                      |
| 16 | Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforce | ement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC).   |
| 17 | I. ADMISSION                                 | N TO PRACTICE  |
| 18 | Respondent Robert Scott Huff was             | s admitted to the practice of law in the State of                      |
|    | Washington on June 3, 1991.                  |  |
| 19 | II. ALLE                                     | GED FACTS  |
| 20 | Elms Grievance, ODC File No 23-002.          | 95   |
| 21 | 2. On April 12, 2022, Robin Elms (           | (Elms) hired Respondent for representation in a                        |
| 22 | dissolution action filed by Cary Elms.       |  |
| 23 | The fee agreement provided that              | Elms would pay Respondent \$7,500 from the                             |
| 24 | Statement of Alleged Misconduct Page 1       | OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION |

| 1  | proceeds    | of the sale of Elms's home.   |
|----|-------------|---|
| 2  | 4.          | The fee agreement stated the services covered by the \$7,500 included:  |
| 3  |             | Work such as client consultation, file review, case research and<br>development, preliminary hearings as necessary, negotiation, mediation,   |
| 5  |             | and settlement. It does not include work for trial preparation and trial. It does not include costs, such as for medical or other records, transportation, filing fees, mediator costs, or expert fees. |
| 6  | 5           |   |
| 0  | 3.          | The fee agreement stated that if the case was set for trial, an additional "trial fee" of   |
| 7  | approxima   | ately \$5,000 would be charged when the trial began.  |
| 8  | 6.          | In August 2022, Elms's home sold.   |
| 9  | 7.          | The parties entered into an agreement that stated that the proceeds of the home would   |
| 10 | be distribu | nted as follows:  |
| 11 |             | \$15,000 paid to respondent ROBIN A. ELMS<br>\$15,000 paid to CARY R. ELMS  |
| 12 |             | \$7,500 paid to CART R. ELIVIS  \$7,500 paid to respondent's attorney ROBERT HUFF; and \$6,000 paid to petitioner's attorney LEANNE M. LUCAS.   |
| 13 |             | Remaining funds to be deposited into the IOLTA Trust account of petitioner's attorney until final distribution upon agreement of parties or   |
| 14 |             | court order.  |
| 15 | 8.          | On August 23, 2022, the title company paid \$7,500 to Robert Huff's business account.   |
| 16 | 9.          | With this \$7,500 transfer, Respondent was fully paid the initial fee required by the fee   |
| 17 | agreemen    | t.  |
| 18 | 10          | Pursuant to the fee agreement, Elms was not required to pay Respondent any  |
| 19 | additional  | fee until trial began.  |
| 20 | 11          | . Trial never began during Respondent's representation of Elms.   |
| 21 | 12          | . Elms owed Respondent no additional fees after the first \$7,500 was paid.   |
| 22 | 13          | . On August 23, 2022, the title company wired \$15,000 for Elms into Respondent's trust   |
| 23 | account.    |   |
| 24 | Statement o | f Alleged Misconduct OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION   |

| 1  | <ol> <li>Respondent did not keep a client ledger for Elms's trust funds.</li> </ol>          |
|----|--|
| 2  | 15. Respondent did not keep a check register for the trust account.                          |
| 3  | 16. Between August 25, 2022 and December 30, 2022, Respondent took at least \$8,000          |
| 4  | from Elms's funds in trust through transfers to Respondent's business and personal accounts. |
| 5  | 17. Respondent was not entitled to the funds that Respondent took.                           |
| 6  | 18. Respondent used the funds for Respondent's own purposes, knowing that Respondent         |
| 7  | was not entitled to the funds.   |
| 8  | 19. Respondent did not notify Elms either before or after any of the transfers.              |
| 9  | 20. Respondent did not provide Elms any accounting of the funds taken.                       |
| 10 | 21. Over the next few months, Elms experienced homelessness, living in a van and a           |
| 11 | shelter while trying to find permanent housing.  |
| 12 | 22. During this time, Elms periodically requested that Respondent provide sums of money      |
| 13 | from the funds in trust for medical expenses and other necessities.                          |
| 14 | 23. Between August 25, 2022 and January 31, 2023, Respondent made transfers to Elms          |
| 15 | totaling \$7,000 via Zelle from Respondent's trust account, business, and personal accounts. |
| 16 | 24. Because Respondent had failed to hold Elms's settlement funds in trust, one or more      |
| 17 | of the transfers to Elms were from Respondent's business and/ or personal accounts.          |
| 18 | 25. Respondent did not provide Elms with a written accounting after any of the transfers     |
| 19 | made between August 25, 2022 and January 31, 2023.   |
| 20 | 26. On October 24, 2022, in response to Elms's request for a balance of Elms's funds in      |
| 21 | trust, Respondent texted, "I show a balance of \$7,500."                                     |
| 22 | 27. On October 27, 2022, Respondent texted Elms: "That number is wrong, it's actually        |
| 23 | \$10,500."   |
| 24 | Statement of Alleged Misconduct OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL                               |

| 1  | 28. The actual balance of Elms's funds in trust on October 27, 2022 was \$5,100.                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | 29. Respondent's statement that the balance of Elms's funds in trust was \$10,500 was            |
| 3  | false.   |
| 4  | 30. Respondent knew that Respondent's statement that the balance of Elms's funds in trust        |
| 5  | was \$10,500 was false.  |
| 6  | 31. Respondent made the false statement that the balance of Elms's funds in trust was            |
| 7  | \$10,500 for the purpose of hiding the fact that Respondent had converted Elms's funds in trust. |
| 8  | 32. In January 2023, Elms lived in a homeless shelter and needed funds.                          |
| 9  | 33. On Januarys 23, 2023, Elms texted Respondent requesting that Respondent deposit              |
| 10 | Elms's money into Elms's account.  |
| 11 | 34. On January 23, 2023, Respondent's trust account contained, at most, \$33.02 of Elms's        |
| 12 | funds.   |
| 13 | 35. On January 23, 2023, Respondent sent Elms \$300 from Respondent's business                   |
| 14 | account via Zelle.   |
| 15 | 36. On January 28, 2023, Elms texted Respondent again, requesting all of Elms's money            |
| 16 | in trust.  |
| 17 | 37. On January 30, 2023, Elms emailed Respondent and provided Elms's banking                     |
| 18 | information and asked that Respondent deposit Elms's funds within the next 48 hours and provide  |
| 19 | an accounting.   |
| 20 | 38. On January 30, 2023, Respondent sent Elms \$100 from Respondent's business                   |
| 21 | account via Zelle.   |
| 22 | 39. On January 30, 2023, Elms and Elms's case manager spoke with Respondent over the             |
| 23 | phone.   |
| 24 | Statement of Alleged Misconduct OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL                                   |

| 1  | 40. During the conversation, Respondent agreed to meet with Elms so that Respondent                           |
|----|---|
| 2  | could give Elms the rest of Elms's funds from the sale of the house remaining in trust, which                 |
| 3  | Respondent stated was \$8,000.  |
| 4  | 41. At the time that Respondent told Elms that Respondent was holding \$8,000 for Elms                        |
| 5  | in trust, Respondent's trust account contained, at most, only \$33.02 of Elms's funds.                        |
| 6  | 42. Respondent's statement that Respondent was holding \$8,000 for Elms in trust was                          |
| 7  | false.  |
| 8  | 43. Respondent knew that Respondent's statement that Respondent was holding \$8,000                           |
| 9  | for Elms in trust was false.  |
| 10 | 44. Respondent made the false statement that the balance of Elms's funds in trust was                         |
| 11 | \$8,000 for the purpose of hiding the fact that Respondent had converted Elms's funds in trust.               |
| 12 | 45. On February 2, 2023, Elms send Respondent an email requesting documentation of                            |
| 13 | the time that Respondent spent on the case.   |
| 14 | 46. On February 2, 2023, Respondent responded that Respondent needed to finalize the                          |
| 15 | ledger for disbursements, which would take a couple of days.  |
| 16 | 47. On February 5, 2023, Elms emailed Respondent again and requested Respondent mail                          |
| 17 | Elms's funds to Elms immediately.   |
| 18 | 48. On February 6, 2023, Respondent emailed Elms and stated "I will mail a check                              |
| 19 | payable to Robin Elms, please let me know the best address."  |
| 20 | 49. Elms responded an hour later with the address and stated "Please send it certified. You                   |
| 21 | can bill me you [sic] any cost."  |
| 22 | 50. On February 10, 2023, Elms emailed Respondent again: "Can you please confirm if                           |
| 23 | you mailed the check?"  |
| 24 | Statement of Alleged Misconduct OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 5 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION |

| 1  | 51. Respondent did not respond to Elms's email.   |
|----|---|
| 2  | 52. Respondent did not mail a check to Elms.  |
| 3  | 53. In February 2023, Elms terminated Respondent and requested that Respondent return         |
| 4  | the funds in trust and return Elms's client file.   |
| 5  | 54. Respondent did not provide the funds or client file to Elms.                              |
| 6  | 55. On April 10, 2023, Elms's new lawyer emailed Respondent demanding that                    |
| 7  | Respondent immediately account for the \$15,000 and provide the remaining funds to Elms.      |
| 8  | 56. Respondent responded that Respondent needed until Wednesday morning to address            |
| 9  | the matter.   |
| 10 | 57. Respondent did not further respond to Elms's new lawyer and did not provide an            |
| 11 | accounting or provide any funds.  |
| 12 | 58. Respondent has not provided an accounting to Elms.  |
| 13 | 59. Respondent has not paid Elms the \$8,000 that Respondent took.                            |
| 14 | Babor Grievance, ODC File No. 23-01809  |
| 15 | 60. Babor hired Respondent for representation in a Labor and Industries ("L&I") claim.        |
| 16 | Babor and Respondent agreed that Respondent would receive 30% of Babor's benefit checks.      |
| 17 | 61. Babor started receiving L&I benefits at the end of August 2023. The initial checks        |
| 18 | were mailed to Babor's home, but after October 1, 2023, the checks were mailed to Respondent. |
| 19 | 62. Babor was hospitalized the month of November and stopped receiving checks or any          |
| 20 | other notices from L&I.   |
| 21 | 63. Babor tried to reach Respondent repeatedly throughout the month of November, but          |
| 22 | Respondent did not respond.   |
| 23 | 64. Respondent received a check from L&I on October 16, 2023 for \$1,232.00. The              |
| 24 | Statement of Alleged Misconduct OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL                                |

| 1  | check was issued to Babor and the Law Office of Robert Huff. Respondent endorsed this check  |
|----|--|
| 2  | "Pay to the order of Robert S. Huff." Respondent did not notify Babor that Respondent had    |
| 3  | received the check.  |
| 4  | 65. On October 20, 2023, Respondent deposited the check into Respondent's business           |
| 5  | account. Respondent did not disburse any money to Babor from this deposit.                   |
| 6  | 66. Respondent was not entitled to at least \$862.40 of these funds.                         |
| 7  | 67. Respondent used the funds for Respondent's own purposes, knowing that                    |
| 8  | Respondent was not entitled to the funds.  |
| 9  | 68. On November 1, 2023, L&I issued a \$689.02 check to Babor and Law Offices of             |
| 10 | Robert Huff. Respondent endorsed this check "Pay to the order of Robert S. Huff." Respondent |
| 11 | did not notify Babor that Respondent had received the check.                                 |
| 12 | 69. On November 10, 2023, Respondent deposited the funds into Respondent's business          |
| 13 | account. Respondent did not disburse any money to Babor from this deposit.                   |
| 14 | 70. Respondent was not entitled to at least \$482 of these funds.                            |
| 15 | 71. Respondent used the funds for Respondent's own purposes, knowing that                    |
| 16 | Respondent was not entitled to the funds.  |
| 17 | 72. On November 14, 2023, L&I issued a \$1,602.61 check to Babor and the Law Offices         |
| 18 | of Robert Huff. Respondent endorsed this check "Pay to the order of Robert S. Huff."         |
| 19 | 73. On November 17, 2023, Respondent deposited the funds into Respondent's business          |
| 20 | account. Respondent did not disburse any money to Babor from this deposit.                   |
| 21 | 74. Respondent was not entitled to at least \$1,121.00 of these funds.                       |
| 22 | 75. Respondent used the funds for his own purposes, knowing that Respondent was not          |
| 23 | entitled to the funds.   |
|    |  |

| 1  | 76. On November 27, 2023, L&I issued a \$1,334.62 check to Babor and Law Offices of   |
|--|---|
| 2  | Robert Huff. Respondent endorsed this check "Pay to the order of Robert S. Huff." Respondent  |
| 3  | did not notify Babor that Respondent had received the check.  |
| 4  | 77. On December 1, 2023, Respondent deposited the funds into Respondent's trust   |
| 5  | account. Respondent did not disburse any money to Babor from this deposit.  |
| 6  | 78. Between December 1, 2023 and December 11, 2023, Respondent transferred nearly   |
| 7  | all of the L&I funds to Respondent's business and personal accounts.  |
| 8  | <ol> <li>Respondent was not entitled to at least \$934 of these funds.</li> </ol>   |
| 9  | 80. Respondent used the funds for Respondent's own purposes, knowing that   |
| 10   | Respondent was not entitled to the funds.   |
| 11   | 81. On December 7, 2023 Respondent paid Babor \$500 via Zelle from Respondent's   |
| 12   | personal account.   |
| 13   | III. ALLEGED MISCONDUCT.  |
| 13   |   |
|  | Elms Grievance. ODC File No 23-00295  |
| 14   |   |
| 14<br>15   | Elms Grievance. ODC File No 23-00295  |
| 14<br>15<br>16   | Elms Grievance. ODC File No 23-00295  82. By committing the crime of theft and/or converting client funds, Respondent violated  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Elms Grievance. ODC File No 23-00295  82. By committing the crime of theft and/or converting client funds, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(b), RPC 8.4(b) (by committing the crime of theft under RCW 9A56.020(1)(a)), RPC  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | Elms Grievance. ODC File No 23-00295  82. By committing the crime of theft and/or converting client funds, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(b), RPC 8.4(b) (by committing the crime of theft under RCW 9A56.020(1)(a)), RPC 8.4(c), and/or RPC 8.4(i).   |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | Elms Grievance. ODC File No 23-00295  82. By committing the crime of theft and/or converting client funds, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(b), RPC 8.4(b) (by committing the crime of theft under RCW 9A56.020(1)(a)), RPC 8.4(c), and/or RPC 8.4(i).  83. By failing to provide a written accounting to Elms and/or Elms's new lawyer,   |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19                               | Elms Grievance. ODC File No 23-00295  82. By committing the crime of theft and/or converting client funds, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(b), RPC 8.4(b) (by committing the crime of theft under RCW 9A56.020(1)(a)), RPC 8.4(c), and/or RPC 8.4(i).  83. By failing to provide a written accounting to Elms and/or Elms's new lawyer, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(e) and/or RPC 1.4(a).   |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | Elms Grievance. ODC File No 23-00295  82. By committing the crime of theft and/or converting client funds, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(b), RPC 8.4(b) (by committing the crime of theft under RCW 9A56.020(1)(a)), RPC 8.4(c), and/or RPC 8.4(i).  83. By failing to provide a written accounting to Elms and/or Elms's new lawyer, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(e) and/or RPC 1.4(a).  84. By failing to maintain a check register and/or by failing to maintain a client ledger for  |
| 14<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | Elms Grievance. ODC File No 23-00295  82. By committing the crime of theft and/or converting client funds, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(b), RPC 8.4(b) (by committing the crime of theft under RCW 9A56.020(1)(a)), RPC 8.4(c), and/or RPC 8.4(i).  83. By failing to provide a written accounting to Elms and/or Elms's new lawyer, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(e) and/or RPC 1.4(a).  84. By failing to maintain a check register and/or by failing to maintain a client ledger for Elms, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(h)(2) and/or RPC 1.15B(a). |

| 1    | 86. By failing to return Elm's client file after the representation terminated, Respondent   |
|------|--|
| 2    | violated RPC 1.16(d).  |
| 3    | Babor Grievance, ODC File No. 23-01809   |
| 4    | 87. By committing the crime of theft and/or converting client funds, Respondent violated   |
| 5    | RPC 1.15A(b), RPC 8.4(b) (by committing the crime of theft under RCW 9A56.020(1)(a)), RPC  |
| 6    | 8.4(c), and/or RPC 8.4(i).   |
| 7    | 88. By failing to deposit one or more of Babor's L&I checks into Respondent's trust  |
| 8    | account, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(c)(1).  |
| 9    | 89. By failing to notify Babor that Respondent had received Babor's L&I checks,  |
| 10   | Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(d).  |
| 11   | 90. By failing to provide Babor with an accounting of the funds that Respondent  |
| 12   | disbursed, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(e).   |
| 13   | DATED this 1st day of February, 2024.  |
| 14   | Francis Variget  |
| 15   | Francesca D'Angelo, Bar No. 22979<br>Managing Disciplinary Counsel   |
| 16   |  |
| 17   |  |
| 18   |  |
| 19   |  |
| 20   |  |
| 21   |  |
| 22   |  |
| 23   |  |
| 24 l | COLUMN TARES AND THE COLUMN TA |