FILED

Oct 22 2019
Disciplinary
Board

Docket # 017

## BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT

In re

JAMES K. GAZORI,

Lawyer (Bar No. 19900).

Proceeding No. 19#00026

ODC File No. 18-00528

STIPULATION TO THREE MONTH SUSPENSION

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

12

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Under Rule 9.1 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Three Month Suspension is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through Managing Disciplinary Counsel Joanne S. Abelson, Respondent's Counsel Brett Andrews Purtzer, and Respondent lawyer James K. Gazori.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an

STIPULATION TO THREE MONTH SUSPENSION Page 1

outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this		
proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to		
avoid the risk, time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.		
I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE		
1. Respondent was admitted to the practice of law in the State of Washington on		
November 13, 1990.		
2. On October 20, 2017, the Washington Supreme Court entered an order suspending		
Respondent from the practice of law for two years, effective October 27, 2017. He remains		
suspended as of the date of this stipulation is signed.		
II. STIPULATED FACTS		
3. On May 27, 2017, Kelly Ann Malloy hired Respondent to represent her in a family		
law matter against her ex-husband, who was attempting to have her spousal support		
discontinued.		
4. Respondent and Ms. Malloy signed a fee agreement that called for a \$2,000		
"minimum non-refundable retainer."		
5. Under the fee agreement, Respondent was to bill at the rate of \$225 per hour for		
himself and \$75 per hour for paralegal/legal assistant time after the "retainer" was exhausted.		
6. The fee agreement provided that "any fees collected may be immediately deposited		
to Attorney's general checking account to facilitate ongoing business expenses."		
7. The fee agreement did not include the language required by RPC 1.5(f)(2) to permit		
a flat fee to be placed in a general account.		
8. The "retainer" described in Respondent's fee agreement actually was an advance fee.		
9. Ms. Malloy paid Respondent \$2,000, drawn on her mother's credit card, when she		
CERTAIN ACTION TO THE PROMET CHOPENSON.		

1	signed the fee agreement.		
2	10. Respondent deposited the funds into his general account.		
3	11. Before she hired Respondent, Ms. Malloy had filed for bankruptcy.		
4	12. Ms. Malloy's ex-husband filed papers with the bankruptcy court disputing the		
5	dischargeability of certain community debts based on the same allegations he was raising in the		
6	family law matter. That filing caused Ms. Malloy's family law proceeding to be placed on hold.		
7	13. As a result, on or about June 22, 2017, Ms. Malloy asked Respondent to transfer the		
8	remainder of her fee to her bankruptcy lawyer to be used in the bankruptcy proceeding.		
9	14. Respondent did not transfer any funds to Ms. Malloy's bankruptcy lawyer.		
10	15. On August 20, 2017, Ms. Malloy sent Respondent and email "officially" terminating		
11	him as her lawyer and seeking a full accounting and a refund.		
12	16. Respondent did not provide an accounting or refund to Ms. Malloy.		
13	17. Respondent's wife, who was his office assistant, was the person familiar with		
14	Respondent's accounting. During this time she was hospitalized, as set forth in Confidentia		
15	Attachment A.		
16	18. On October 25, 2017, Respondent advised Ms. Malloy that she had no refund due		
7	and actually owed him money because he had worked 12-15 hours on her case. He promised to		
18	provide her an accounting "no later than next Monday."		
19	19. Respondent did not keep contemporaneous time records. He reconstructed his time		
20	based on his memory and file.		
21	20. Respondent never provided Ms. Malloy a billing statement or an accounting.		
22	21. In a November 6, 2017 email, Respondent acknowledged that Ms. Malloy was		
23	entitled to an accounting. He reiterated that she had no refund due but instead owed him		
24	STIPULATION TO THREE MONTH SUSPENSION  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE  WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION		

1	money. He said he would be willing to forgo what she owed him unless he had to reconstruct			
2	his time, in which case he would expect her to pay her balance.			
3	22. Respondent states that the purpose of this comment was an effort to call matters even			
4	to allow the parties to move along.			
5 III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT				
6	23. By failing to deposit Ms. Malloy's advance fee into his trust account, Respondent			
7	violated RPC 1.15A(c).			
8	24. By failing to provide Ms. Malloy an accounting upon request, Respondent violated			
9	RPC 1.15A(e).			
10	25. By failing to provide information to Ms. Malloy about the time spent and the fees			
11	earned, Respondent violated RPC 1.4 and RPC 1.5(b).			
12 IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE				
13	26. In Proceeding No. 15#00097, Respondent was suspended for two years for violating			
14	RPC 1.7(a) (conflict of interest).			
15	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS			
16	27. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions			
17	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case.			
18	<ul> <li>ABA <u>Standard</u> 4.1 applies to the misconduct in ¶¶ 23-24; and</li> <li>ABA <u>Standard</u> 4.4 applies to the misconduct in ¶ 25.</li> </ul>			
28. Respondent knew he was not providing billing statements or an account				
20 Malloy and knew or should have known he was not handling the advance fee prope				
21   22	29. Ms. Malloy was injured because her funds were not protected and she was deprived			
23				
,	The applicable ABA Standards are attached as Appendix B.			
24	STIPULATION TO THREE MONTH SUSPENSION  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE  WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION			

1	of information necessary for her to protect her interest in the advance fee.			
2	30. The presumptive sanction is suspension under ABA <u>Standard</u> 4.12 and repriman			
3	under ABA <u>Standard</u> 4.43.			
4	31. Where there are multiple ethical violations, the "ultimate sanction imposed should a			
5	least be consistent with the sanction for the most serious instance of misconduct among			
6	number of violations." <u>In re Disciplinary Proceeding Against Petersen</u> , 120 Wn.2d 833, 854			
7	846 P.2d 1330 (1993).			
8	32. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA <u>Standard</u> 9.22:			
9	(a) prior disciplinary offenses [see ¶ 26];			
10	<ul><li>(d) multiple offenses; and</li><li>(i) substantial experience in the practice of law [admitted November 13, 1990].</li></ul>			
11	33. The following mitigating factor applies under ABA <u>Standard</u> 9.32:			
12	(c) personal and emotional problems [see Confidential Appendix A].			
13	34. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter			
14	at an early stage of the proceedings.			
15	35. On balance, the aggravating and mitigating factors do not require a departure from			
16	the presumptive sanction but support a suspension for a period of three months.			
17	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE			
18	36. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a three month suspension.			
19	37. Respondent will be subject to probation for a period of two years, beginning when he			
20	is reinstated to the practice of law and to run concurrently with his probation in Proceeding No			
21	15#00097. Respondent shall comply with the specific probation terms set forth below.			
22	Respondent's compliance with these terms will be monitored by the Probation Administrator of			
23	the Office of Disciplinary Counsel ("Probation Administrator"). Failure to comply with a term			
24	STIPULATION TO THREE MONTH SUSPENSION OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE			

of probation listed herein may be grounds for further disciplinary action under ELC 13.8(b). 2 Practice Monitor 3 During the period of probation, Respondent's practice will be supervised by a practice monitor. The practice monitor must be a WSBA member with no record of public discipline and who is not the subject of a pending public disciplinary 4 proceeding. 5 b) The role of the practice monitor is to consult with and provide guidance to 6 Respondent regarding case management, office management, and avoiding violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct, and to provide reports and information to the Probation Administrator regarding Respondent's compliance 7 with the terms of probation and the RPC. The practice monitor does not represent 8 the Respondent. 9 c) At the beginning of the probation period, the Probation Administrator will select a lawyer to serve as practice monitor for the period of Respondent's probation. 10 i) Initial Challenge: If, within 15 days of the written notice of the selection of a practice monitor, Respondent sends a written request to the Probation 11 Administrator that another practice monitor be selected, the Probation 12 Administrator will select another practice monitor. Respondent need not identify any basis for this initial request. 13 Subsequent Challenges: If, after selection of a second (or subsequent) practice monitor, Respondent believes there is good cause why that individual should not 14 serve as practice monitor, Respondent may, within 15 days of notice of the selected practice monitor, send a written request to the Probation Administrator 15 asking that another practice monitor be selected. That request must articulate good cause to support the request. If the Probation Administrator agrees, another 16 practice monitor will be selected. If the Probation Administrator disagrees, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel will submit its proposed selection for practice 17 monitor to the Chair of the Disciplinary Board for appointment pursuant to ELC 13.8(a)(2), and will also provide the Chair with the Respondent's written request 18 that another practice monitor be selected. 19 d) In the event the practice monitor is no longer able to perform his or her duties, the Probation Administrator will select a new practice monitor at his or her discretion. 20 During the period of probation, Respondent must cooperate with the named practice 21 monitor. Respondent must meet with the practice monitor at least once per month. Respondent must communicate with the practice monitor to schedule all required 22 meetings. 23 The Respondent must bring to each meeting a current, complete written list of all

1	entered into a periodic payment plan. Reinstatement from suspension is conditioned on ful			
2	payment of restitution or full compliance with a periodic payment plan.			
3	VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES			
4	4 39. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an experience of the stipulation at a stipulation at a stipulation at a stipulation at a s			
5	stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$996			
6 accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC				
7	7   if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation, unless Responde			
8	enters into a periodic payment plan. Reinstatement from suspension is conditioned on payment			
9	of costs.			
10	IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT			
11	40. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he has consulted			
12	independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that he is entering into this Stipulation			
13 voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the Association				
any representative thereof, to induce him to enter into this Stipulation except as provid				
15	41. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles			
16	applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party.			
17	X. LIMITATIONS			
18	42. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in			
19	accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the			
20	expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent an			
21	ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from the			
22	result agreed to herein.			
23	43. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the Respondent as a statement of all			
24	STIPULATION TO THREE MONTH SUSPENSION OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE			

1	WHEREFORE the undersigned being ful	y advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation
2	to Three Month Suspension as set forth above.	
3	James K. Gazori, Bar No. 19900	Dated: 9/2/19
5	Respondent	1 1
6	12	Dated: 7/4/19
7	Breit Andrews Purtzer, Bar No. 17283 Counsel for Respondent	
8	Ma	$\sim 1 - 1$
9	Joanne S. Abelson, Bar No. 24877 Managing Disciplinary Counsel	Dated: 9/4/19
10		-
u		
12		
13		
14		
15		
1		
16		
17		
18		0
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	STIPULATION TO THREE MONTH SUSPENSION Page 10	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

# CONFIDENTIAL APPENDIX A

### **APPENDIX B**

#### SELECTED ABA STANDARDS

#### ABA Standard 4.1 – Failure to Preserve Client Property

- 4.11 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly converts client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
- 4.12 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows or should know that he is dealing improperly with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
- 4.13 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
- 4.14 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.

#### ABA Standard 4.4 -- Lack of Diligence

- 4.41 Disbarment is generally appropriate when:
- (a) a lawyer abandons the practice and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or
- (b) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or
- (c) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect with respect to client matters and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client.
- 4.42 Suspension is generally appropriate when:
- (a) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes injury or potential injury to a client, or
- (b) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
- 4.43 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent and does not act with reasonable diligence in representing a client, and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
- 4.44 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent and does not act with reasonable diligence in representing a client, and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.