23

24

FILED

AUG 1 4 2014

#### BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Notice of Reprimand

## DISCIPLINARY BOARD

Lawyer William Guyton Simmons, WSBA No. 19071, has been ordered Reprimanded by the following attached documents: Order on Stipulation to Reprimand and Stipulation to Reprimand.

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Alan Michael Singer

Counsel to the Disciplinary Board

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

certify that I caused a copy of the NHW AT WILLIAM O be delivered to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed of WILLIAM AND Respondent's Counsel by Counsel and the Counsel of the Counsel of

ostage prepaid on the JUM day of MAN IT

Clerk Chursel to the Disciplinary Board

Notice of Reprimand Page 1 of 1

1 FILED 2 MAY 02 2014 DISCIPLINARY BOARD 5 **BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD** OF THE 8 WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 9 Proceeding No. 14#00024 In re 10 William Guyton Simmons, ORDER ON STIPULATION TO 11 **REPRIMAND** Lawyer (Bar No. 19071). 12 13 On review of the April 25, 2014 Stipulation to Reprimand and the documents on file in 14 this matter, 15 IT IS ORDERED that the Stipulation to Reprimand is approved. 16 Dated this 27 day of 17 18 19 Hearing Officer 20 CERTIFICATE OF SE I certify that I caused a copy of the 21 tent/Respondent's Counsel 22 postage prepaid on the 2M 23 24

Stipulation to Discipline

Page 1

### FILED

MAY 02 2014

#### **DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

# BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

WILLIAM G. SIMMONS,

Lawyer (Bar No. 19071).

Proceeding No. 14#00024

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC, the following Stipulation to Reprimand is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Francesca D'Angelo and Respondent lawyer William Guyton Simmons.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL
OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
1325 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539
(206) 727-8207

1	avoid the r	isk, time, expense attendant to further proceedings.
2		I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE
3	1.	Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on November
4	16, 1989.	
5		II. STIPULATED FACTS
6	2.	In or around December 2011, Respondent filed a complaint for negligence in
7	Snohomis	h County Superior Court against Scott Bishop on behalf of his client Inna Ananko.
8	3.	Respondent represented Ms. Ananko on a contingency fee basis.
9	4.	Mr. Bishop was represented by lawyer Morgan Chaput.
10	5.	On or around March 9, 2012, Ms. Chaput mailed interrogatories and requests for
11	production to Respondent.	
12	6.	Respondent received the interrogatories and requests for productions.
13	7.	Respondent did not provide responses to the interrogatories and requests for
14	production	by the due date.
15	8.	On or around May 2, 2012, Ms. Chaput wrote to Respondent stating the answers
16	were over	due and requesting an update.
17	9.	Respondent did not respond to Ms. Chaput's May 2, 2012 letter.
18	10	. On May 30, 2012, Ms. Chaput sent another letter to Respondent scheduling a
19	discovery	conference for June 11, 2012.
20	11	. Respondent did not attend the June 11, 2012 discovery conference.
21	12	. On June 11, 2012, Ms. Chaput sent a letter to Respondent requesting an update on
22	his discov	ery requests.
23	13	. Respondent did not respond.
24	Stipulation to Page 2	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

1	RPC 3.2.		
2	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE		
3	27. Respondent has no prior discipline.		
4	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS		
5	28. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions		
6	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:		
7	4.4 Lack of Diligence Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the		
8	factors set out in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate in cases involving a failure to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in		
9	representing a client:		
10	4.41 Disbarment is generally appropriate when:  (a) a lawyer abandons the practice and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or		
11	(b) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or		
12	(c) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect with respect to client matters and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client.		
13	4.42 Suspension is generally appropriate when:  (a) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes		
14	injury or potential injury to a client, or		
15	injury to a client.		
16	does not act with reasonable diligence in representing a client, and		
17	4.44 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent and does		
18	not act with reasonable diligence in representing a client, and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.		
19	6.2 Abuse of the Legal Process  Absent aggregating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the		
20	Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the factors set out in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate		
21	in cases involving failure to expedite litigation or bring a meritorious claim, or failure to obey any obligation under the rules of a tribunal except for an open		
22	refusal based on an assertion that no valid obligation exists:  6.21 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly violates a		
23	court order or rule with the intent to obtain a benefit for the lawyer or another, and causes serious injury or potentially serious injury to a party		
	1		

1	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE
2	36. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a reprimand for his conduct.
3	VII. RESTITUTION
4	37. Respondent shall pay \$250 to Inna Ananko within 30 days of the date of this
5	Stipulation.
6	VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES
7	38. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early
8	stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$750 in
9	accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l)
10	if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation.
11	IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT
12	39. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he has had an
13	opportunity to consult independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is
14	entering into this Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by
15	ODC, the Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into
16	this Stipulation except as provided herein.
17	X. LIMITATIONS
18	40. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in
19	accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the
20	expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer
21	and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from
22	the result agreed to herein.
23	41. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all
24	Stipulation to Discipline  Page 6  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL  OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION  1325 4th Avenue Suite 600

1	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation
2	to Discipline as set forth above.
3 4	William Guyton Simmons, Bar No. 19071  Dated: 4-23-14
5	Respondent
6	Dated: 4-25-14
7	Francesca D'Angelo, Bar No. 22979 Disciplinary Counsel
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
	II