

Jan 29 2020 Disciplinary 2 Board 3 Docket # 030 4 5 6 **BEFORE THE** 7 DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE 8 WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT 9 In re Proceeding No. 19#00039 10 ALLAN BYERS BAKALIAN, ODC File No(s) 19-00202 11 Lawyer (Bar No. 14255). STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND 12 Following settlement conference conducted under ELC 10.12(h) 13 14 Under Rule 9.1 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer 15 Conduct (ELC), and following a settlement conference conducted under ELC 10.12(h), the 16 following Stipulation to suspension is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) 17 of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Kathy Jo 18 Blake, Respondent's Counsel Kevin M. Bank and Respondent lawyer Allan Byers Bakalian. 19 Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present 20 exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, 21 misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under 22 the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the 23 Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an

24

Page 1

Stipulation to Discipline

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this
2	proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to
3.	avoid the risk, time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.
4	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE
5	1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on November 1,
6	1984.
7	II. STIPULATED FACTS
8	2. Geoffrey Sutton hired Respondent in 2015 to represent him in two matters: 1) In re
9	Beryl Sutton, King County Superior Court Case Number 14-4-05982-2; and 2) In re Trust
10	Resolution of Sutton, Clark County Superior Court Case Number 18-4-00552-4.
11	3. Mr. Sutton terminated Respondent's representation in both matters and requested
12	that his file be provided to his new lawyer on January 7, 2019.
13	4. Between January 9, 2019 and February 7, 2019, Mr. Sutton and his new lawyer
14	requested that they be provided a copy of the client files on more than one occasion.
15	Respondent agreed to do so but encountered unexpected difficulties and delays.
16	5. On February 8, 2019, Mr. Sutton filed a grievance with ODC due to the delay.
17	6. On February 15, 2019, ODC sent an acknowledgment of grievance letter to
18	Respondent requesting a response from Respondent within 30 days.
19	7. Respondent did not respond to ODC.
20	8. On February 20, 2019, Respondent provided Mr. Sutton and his new counsel a link
21	to a portion of the client files and paperwork.
22	9. On March 21, 2019, ODC sent a letter to Respondent requiring his response within
23	10 days or ODC would subpoena Respondent for a deposition.
24	Stipulation to Discipline  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE  WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION  1325 4th Avenue Suite 600

1	10. Respondent does not recall receiving the March 21, 2019 letter and did not respond
2	to ODC.
3	11. On March 25, 2019, Respondent provided Mr. Sutton's new counsel with the
4	original will and trust documents and copies of the related probate and trust files,
5	correspondence and communications, tax identification records and other documents and client
6	communication, but did not copy ODC.
7	12. On April 24, 2019, ODC served a subpoena duces tecum on Respondent requiring
8	him to appear for a deposition at the Washington State Bar Association offices at 10:00 a.m. on
9	May 16, 2019.
10	13. Respondent failed to appear for the deposition.
11	14. On May 23, 2019, ODC filed a Petition for Interim Suspension with the Washington
12	State Supreme Court. On May 28, 2019, the Court entered an Order to Show Cause and on June
13	2, 2019, ODC served Respondent with the Order.
14	15. On July 12, 2019, Respondent emailed Mr. Sutton and ODC a link to the additional
15	complete Sutton client files including files from his former law firm.
16	16. ODC withdrew the Petition after receiving the client file.
17	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT
18	17. By failing to promptly respond to a request for his response to the grievance and by
19	failing to respond to a subpoena, Respondent violated RPC 8.1(b), RPC 8.4(l) by violating ELC
20	1.5, 5.3(f), 5.3(g), 5.3(h)(3) and 5.5(d).
21	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE
22	18. Respondent has no prior discipline.
23	
24	Stipulation to Discipline  Page 3  WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION  1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS
2	19. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanction
3	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:
4	7.0 Violations of Duties Owed as a Professional
5	Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the factors set out in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate
6	in cases involving false or misleading communication about the lawyer or the lawyer's services, improper communication of fields of practice, improper
7	solicitation of professional employment from a prospective client, unreasonable or improper fees, unauthorized practice of law, improper withdrawal from
8	representation, or failure to report professional misconduct.  7.1 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in
9	conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional with the intent to obtain a benefit for the lawyer or another, and causes serious or
10	potentially serious injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.  7.2 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in
11	conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes injury or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.  7.3 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer negligently engages in
12	conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes injury or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.
13	7.4 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer engages in an isolated instance of negligence that is a violation of a duty owed as a
14	professional, and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.
15	20. Respondent knowingly failed to respond to ODC's request for a response to the
16.	grievance and knowingly failed to appear for his duly noted deposition.
17	21. "Knowledge" is defined by the ABA Standards as the conscious awareness of the
18	nature or attendant circumstances of the conduct but without the conscious objective or purpose
19	to accomplish a particular result.
20	22. Respondent's conduct caused injury to ODC which had to expend additional
21	resources to receive requested materials.
22	23. The presumptive sanction is suspension.
23   24	24. The following aggravating factor applies under ABA Standard 9.22: Stipulation to Discipline Page 4  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite.600
	Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law (admitted 1984).
2	25. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.32:
3	(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record;
4	(b) absence of a dishonest or selfish motive; and
5	(l) remorse (Respondent acknowledges and is remorseful for the need for this
6	proceeding).
7	26. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter
8	at an early stage of the proceedings.
9	27. Based on the factors set forth above, the presumptive sanction should be mitigated to
10	reprimand.
11	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE
12	28. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a Reprimand for his conduct.
13	VII. RESTITUTION
14	29. No restitution is required.
15	VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES
16	30. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early
17	stage of the proceedings, fees of \$1,000 in accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will
18	seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval
19	of this stipulation.
20	IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT
21	31. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he has consulted
22	independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into this
23	Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the
24	Stipulation to Discipline  Page 5  WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION  1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

Page 7