· · -			
	•	SEP 112015	
1		DISCIPLINARY	
2		BOARD	
3			
4			
- 5			
6			
7	BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD		
8		THE TE BAR ASSOCIATION	
9			
10	In re	Proceeding No. 15#00035	
11	RAYMOND V. GESSEL,	STIPULATION TO DISBARMENT	
12	Lawyer (Bar No. 13787).		
13			
14	Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enfor	cement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following	
15	Stipulation to disbarment is entered into by t	he Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the	
16	Washington State Bar Association (Associ	ation) through disciplinary counsel Francesca	
10	D'Angelo and Respondent lawyer Raymond V.	Gessel.	
17	Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to prese		
18	exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and t	to have a hearing officer determine the facts,	
20	misconduct and sanction in this case. Respon	dent further understands that he is entitled under	
20	the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to	the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the	
21	Supreme Court. Respondent further understa	nds that a hearing and appeal could result in an	
	outcome more favorable or less favorable	to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this	
23	proceeding now by entering into the following Stipulation to Discipline Page 1	g stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4 th Avenue, Suite 600 Seartle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207	

ØU

1	avoid the risk, time, expense attendant to further proceedings.	
2	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE	
3	1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on November 3,	
4	1983.	
5	II. STIPULATED FACTS	
6	LT Matter- Conflict of Interest	
7	2. Respondent represented LT in a dissolution matter from September 25, 2013 through	
8	January 27, 2014.	
9	3. During the representation, Respondent engaged in a sexual relationship with LT.	
10	4. The sexual relationship did not exist at the time that the lawyer-client relationship	
11	commenced.	
12	5. LT subsequently reconciled with her husband and the dissolution proceedings were	
13	dismissed.	
14	LT Matter- Trust Account	
15	6. Respondent charged LT \$6,380 for the representation.	
16	7. Respondent's fee agreement termed \$6,000 of the amount charged LT as a	
17	"retainer." However, the fee agreement actually provided for an advance fee in that it specified	
18	that Respondent's hourly rate would be deducted from the \$6,000 and that LT would be	
19	required to pay amounts in excess of the "retainer."	
20	8. On or about October 1, 2013, LT paid Respondent \$6,380.00 by check.	
21	9. Respondent did not place any of LT's funds into his trust account	
22	10. On or about October 2, 2013, Respondent cashed the check and took the funds for	
23	his own use.	
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 2 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207	

ł

÷

•

4

|

1 0n October 4, 2013, Respondent removed a total of \$336.75 from his trust account
 2 for costs in the LT matter. At the time Respondent removed these funds, LT had no funds in
 3 Respondent's trust account. The funds were removed from funds that Respondent was holding
 4 for other clients.

5 12. In November 2013, Respondent disbursed a total of \$829.00 from his trust account 6 to himself. He attributed these funds as fees in LT's matter. In fact, Respondent was not 7 entitled to any fees from LT. At the time Respondent removed these funds, LT had no funds in 8 Respondent's trust account. The funds were removed from funds that Respondent was holding 9 for other clients.

13. Respondent took these funds for his own use and with the knowledge that he was not
entitled to these funds.

12 14. During the course of the representation of LT, respondent generated fees and costs in
13 the total amount of \$8,901.26. LT approved a discounted invoice of \$6,380.00.

14 Trust Account

15. From December 1, 2012 through April 30, 2014, Respondent failed to maintain a
16 complete checkbook register or individual client ledgers for his trust account.

16. From December 1, 2012 through April 30, 2014, Respondent failed to reconcile his
18 bank statements to his trust account records.

19 17. Apart from any funds of LT, between December 1, 2012 and April 30, 2014,
20 Respondent disbursed \$2,369.74 on behalf of clients in excess of the funds that he had in trust
21 for those clients.

18. In doing so, Respondent used \$2,369.74 of his other clients' funds.

19. Apart from any funds of LT, between December 1, 2012 and April 30, 2014,

24 Stipulation to Discipline Page 3

22

23

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	Respondent disbursed \$7,951.07 to himself as payment of fees on client matters in excess of the
2	funds that he had in trust for those clients.
3	20. In doing so, Respondent used \$7,951.07 of his other clients' funds.
4	21. Respondent was not entitled to all or part of the funds that he disbursed to himself.
5	22. Respondent took the funds for his own use and with the knowledge that he was not
6	entitled to all or part of the funds that he took.
7	23. In December 2013, Respondent deposited \$8,430.00 of his own funds into his trust
8	account.
9	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT
10	24. By engaging in a sexual relationship with LT during his representation of her in
11	dissolution proceedings, Respondent violated RPC 1.8(j).
12	25. By failing to place LT's advance fee in his trust account, Respondent violated RPC
13	1.15A(c)(2).
14	26. By disbursing funds on behalf of clients from trust when the clients had no funds in
15	the account, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(h)(8) and RPC 1.15A(c).
16	27. By removing funds from his trust account without entitlement, Respondent
17	converted funds for his own use in violation of RPC 1.15A(b).
18	28. By failing to maintain complete trust account records as required by RPC 1.15B,
19	Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(h)(2).
20	29. By failing to reconcile his trust account records with his bank statements,
21	Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(h)(6).
22	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE
23	30. Respondent has no prior discipline.
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 4 GFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL: OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4 th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

i

1

i

......

1	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS	
2	31. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions	
3	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:	
4	4.1 Failure to Preserve the Client's Property Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the	
5	factors set out in 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate in cases involving the failure to preserve client property:	
6	4.11 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly converts client property and causes injury or potential injury to a	
7	client.	
8	know that he is dealing improperly with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.	
9	4.13 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.	
10	4.14 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes little or no actual or potential	
11	injury to a client.	
12	4.3 Failure to Avoid Conflicts of Interest Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the	
13	factors set out in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate in cases involving conflicts of interest:	
14	 4.31 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer, without the informed consent of client(s): 	
15	 (a) engages in representation of a client knowing that the lawyer's interests are adverse to the client's with the intent to benefit the lawyer or another, 	
16	and causes serious or potentially serious injury to the client; or	
17	 (b) simultaneously represents clients that the lawyer knows have adverse interests with the intent to benefit the lawyer or another, and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or 	
18	(c) represents a client in a matter substantially related to a matter in which the interests of a present or former client are materially adverse, and	
19	knowingly uses information relating to the representation of a client with the intent to benefit the lawyer or another and causes serious or	
20	potentially serious injury to a client. 4.32 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows of a	
21	conflict of interest and does not fully disclose to a client the possible effect of that conflict, and causes injury or potential injury to a client.	
22	4.33 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in determining whether the representation of a client may be materially	
23	affected by the lawyer's own interests, or whether the representation will	
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 5 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4 th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207	

.

1	adversely affect another client, and causes injury or potential injury to a	
2	client. 4.34 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer engages in an	
3	isolated instance of negligence in determining whether the representation of a client may be materially affected by the lawyer's own interests, or	
4	whether the representation will adversely affect another client, and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.	
5	32. Respondent acted knowingly in engaging in a sexual relationship with LT while he	
6	was representing her in her dissolution proceedings. The presumptive sanction for this violation	
7	is suspension.	
8	33. Respondent acted knowingly in withdrawing funds belonging to other clients to	
9	cover expenses related to LT's matter and his own fees. There was potential injury to LT	
10	because her funds were not safeguarded. There was injury to Respondent's other clients whose	
11	funds were used on behalf of LT. The presumptive sanction is disbarment.	
12	34. Respondent acted knowingly in converting client funds. The presumptive sanction	
13	for this violation is disbarment.	
14	35. Respondent acted knowingly in failing to place LT's funds into his trust account.	
·15	Respondent knew or should have known that he was failing to maintain adequate trust account	
16	records. In doing so, there was potential injury to his clients whose funds were at risk. The	
17	presumptive sanction is suspension.	
18	36. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22:	
19	(b) selfish motive;	
20	 (d) multiple offenses; (i) substantial experience in the practice of law [Respondent was admitted to 	
21	practice in 1983].	
22	37. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA <u>Standard</u> 9.32:	
23	(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record.	
24	38. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter Stipulation to Discipline Page 6 0FTICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4 th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207	

.....

1

•

1 || at an early stage of the proceedings.

2

3

4

5

6

39. On balance the aggravating and mitigating factors do not require a departure from the presumptive sanction.

VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE

40. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall be disbarred for his conduct.

VII. RESTITUTION

41. No later than 30 days from the date of the Supreme Court's order approving this 7 stipulation, Respondent shall reconstruct¹ his trust account records for the time period of 8 December 1, 2012 to May 15, 2015 in compliance with the requirements of RPC 1.15A and 9 RPC 1.15B, using all available client records and financial records to assist in the identification 10 of funds received and disbursed. Respondent shall do so at his own expense. If the 11 reconstruction reveals a shortage in his trust account, Respondent shall replenish the funds no 12 later than 60 days from the date of the Supreme Court's order approving this stipulation. If the 13 reconstructed trust account records indicate that any client or third party is owed funds, then 14 Respondent shall provide each client, in writing, with a complete updated accounting of receipt 15 and disbursement of their funds and promptly disburse to the client or third party any funds to 16 which they are entitled no later than 90 days from the date of the Supreme Court's order 17 approving this Stipulation. Respondent shall provide the complete reconstructed trust account 18 records and copies of any updated client accountings to ODC within 120 days of the date of the 19 Supreme Court's approval of this Stipulation. Reinstatement from disbarment is conditioned on 20

21

"Reconstruction" involves the preparation, for all funds put into and removed from the trust account of complete and accurate client ledgers, check register, reconciliations between the check register balances and the balances of the bank statements, and reconciliations between the check register balances and the combined total of all ledger balances.

24 || Stipulation to Discipline Page 7 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207 compliance with this provision.

1

2

3

8

VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES

42. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$1,000 4 in accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 5 13.9(1) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. Reinstatement 6 from disbarment is conditioned on payment of costs. 7

IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT

43. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he had an opportunity to 9 consult independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into 10 this Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the 11 Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this 12 13 Stipulation except as provided herein.

44. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles 14 applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party. 15

45. The parties agree that this Stipulation shall be submitted to the Disciplinary Board on 16 August 15, 2015. 17

18

X. LIMITATIONS

46. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in 19 accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the 20 expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer 21 and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from 22 the result agreed to herein. 23

Stipulation to Discipline 24 Page 8

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

47. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.

4 48. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, 5 including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of 6 hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As 7 such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate 8 sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in 9 subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved 10 Stipulation.

49. Under Disciplinary Board policy, in addition to the Stipulation, the Disciplinary
Board shall have available to it for consideration all documents that the parties agree to submit
to the Disciplinary Board, and all public documents. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that
form the record before the Board for its review become public information on approval of the
Stipulation by the Board, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.

50. If this Stipulation is approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, it will
be followed by the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the
Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made.

19 51. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, this 20 Stipulation will have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be 21 admissible as evidence in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary 22 proceeding, or in any civil or criminal action.

24 || Stipulation to Discipline Page 9

23

1

2

3

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suitz 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation to Discipline as set forth above. Dated: 6512015 Raymond V. Gessel, Bar No. 13/187 Respondent Francesca D'Angelo, Bar No. 22979 Disciplinary Counsel OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207 Stipulation to Discipline Page 10