

THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

IN RE)
)
)
)
DAVID RAY AMBROSE,)
)
)
ATTORNEY AT LAW.)
_____)

BAR NO. 13379

Supreme Court No.
201,120-9

ORDER
PURSUANT TO
ELC 9.2

CLERK

BY RONALD N. HENDERSON

2013 FEB 20 4 42 27

FILED
FEB 25 2013
SUPERIOR COURT
WASHINGTON
FILED

By an order of the Supreme Court of the State of Oregon filed February 2, 2012, David Ray Ambrose was reprimanded for engaging in conflicts of interest related to a business transaction with a client (RPC 1.8(a)) and the representation of one client which created a significant risk of materially limiting the representation of another (RPC 1.7(a)(2)). ELC 9.2(f) provides that a final adjudication in another jurisdiction of lawyer misconduct conclusively establishes the misconduct for purposes of a disciplinary proceeding in this state. Upon notification of the action of the Supreme Court of the State of Oregon, this Court issued an order, pursuant to ELC 9.2(c), directing David Ray Ambrose to inform this Court of any claim that the imposition of identical discipline in the state would be unwarranted. David Ray Ambrose did not file a response. The Court has reviewed the file in this matter and has unanimously determined that the following order should be entered. Now, therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED:

The Washington State Supreme Court does hereby reciprocate discipline in the State of Washington and orders that David Ray Ambrose be reprimanded. Said reprimand is deemed administered on this date.

DATED at Olympia, Washington this 20th day of February, 2013.

For the Court

Ma Dsen, C.J.
CHIEF JUSTICE

W39/818

0077