

JAN 23 2018

DISCIPLINARY BOARD

## BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Notice of Reprimand

Lawyer Walter O. Peale III, WSBA No. 7889, has been ordered Reprimanded by the following attached documents: Order on Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation and Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation.

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Kevin Bank

Counsel to the Disciplinary Board

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Clerk/Course to the Paragraph of the WHU of Paragraph and to be mailed to WHU Paragraph of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed to WHU Paragraph of Counsel by Certified Institutes of the Paragraph of the Disciplinary 80ard

Notice of Reprimand Page 1 of 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 Fourth Avenue – Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

DEC 12 2017

DISCIPLINARY **BOARD** 

T. 2 3 4 5 6 7 BEFORE THE **DISCIPLINARY BOARD** 8 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 9 Proceeding No. 17#00026 In re 10 Walter O. Peale III, ORDER ON STIPULATION TO 11 REPRIMAND AND PROBATION Lawyer (Bar No. 7889). 12 13 On review of the December 11, 2017 Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation and the 14 documents on file in this matter, 15 IT IS ORDERED that the December 11, 2017 Stipulation to Reprimand and Probation is 16 approved. 17 Dated this Inday of December, 2017. 18 19 20 Lisa Jill Dickinson Hearing Officer 21 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 22 23 24

Order on Stipulation Page 1

Disciplinary Board

## FILED

DEC 12 2017

DISCIPLINARY BOARD

## BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WALTER O. PEALE III,

Lawyer (Bar No. 7889).

Proceeding No. 17#00026

ODC File No. 15-01697

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND AND PROBATION

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Reprimand is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Jonathan Burke and Respondent lawyer Walter O. Peale III.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to

Stipulation to Discipline

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL.,
OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539
(206) 727-8207

## ORIGINAL

1325 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

avoid the risk, time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.

	and the second s			
1	negligently used or attempted to use funds from Account 8849 to make a number of payments			
2	that were not charges to clients with funds in Account 8849, including a payment to Angie's			
3	List.			
4	10. On or about September 9, 2015, Chase Bank issued an insufficient funds notice			
5	(NSF notice) to Respondent regarding a transaction from Account 8849.			
6	11. Respondent received the NSF Notice.			
7	12. The NSF Notice issued by Chase Bank accurately reflected that Account 8849			
8	contained insufficient funds to cover the payment of \$17.53 to PayPal on September 9, 2015.			
9	13. At the time Respondent attempted to pay \$17.53 to PayPal from Account 8849 on			
10	September 9, 2015, the balance in Account 8849 was \$13.82.			
11	14. On September 9, 2015, Respondent's records reflect that Account 8849 should have			
1.2	contained \$784.37 belonging to WP.			
13	15. Respondent took steps to ensure that no clients ultimately lost any money due to his			
14	mishandling of funds in Account 8849.			
15	16. After receiving the NSF Notice from Chase Bank, Respondent did not timely take			
16	appropriate remedial and corrective steps to reconstruct records for Account 8849, and did not			
17	properly maintain records for Account 8849.			
18	17. In or about September 2017, Respondent hired a bookkeeper to reconstruct Account			
19	8849. The bookkeeper completed reconstructing the account in or about December 7, 2017.			
20	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT			
21	18. By using funds belonging to clients in Account 8849, Respondent violated RPC			
22	1.15A(c).			
23	19. By failing to maintain a current checkbook register and client ledgers for Account			
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 3  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL. OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207			

1	8849, and by faming to reconcile trust account records, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(n) and					
2	RPC 1.15B(a).					
3	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE					
4	20. Respondent has no disciplinary history.					
5	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS					
6	21. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions					
7	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case.					
8	22. ABA <u>Standards</u> 4.1 is most applicable to Respondent's violations of RPC 1.15A(c)					
9	(the duty to preserve a client's property):					
10	4.1	Failure to Preserve the Client's Property				
1	4.11	Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly converts client				
12	4.12	property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.  Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows or should know that he is dealing improperly with client property and causes injury or potential injury to				
13	4.13	a client.  Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing				
14 15	4.14	with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.  Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with elient property and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.				
6	23. Respondent negligently disbursed funds to himself from his trust account for					
7	services provided to ST and LLH that exceeded the amount of funds that ST and LLH had on					
8	deposit at the time of the disbursement causing potential harm to other clients who had funds in					
9	Respondent's trust account. Respondent also negligently used funds from his trust account to					
20	pay for services that were not directly chargeable to clients with funds in Respondent's trust					
21	account,					
22	24. The presumptive sanction is reprimand under ABA Standard 4.13.					
23	25. ABA Standard 7.0 is most applicable to the violations of RPC 1.15A(h) and RPC					
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL					

	1.15B (duties owed as a professional):				
	7.0 Violations of Duties Owed as a Professional				
	7.1 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional with the intent to obtain a benefit for the lawyer or another, and causes serious or potentially				
	serious injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.  7.2 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes injury or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.				
	7.3 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer negligently engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes injury or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.				
	7.4 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer engages in an isolated instance of negligence that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional, and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.				
26. Respondent negligently failed to properly maintain trust account records resulting in					
	potential harm to clients.				
27. The presumptive sanction is reprimand under ABA <u>Standard</u> 7.3.					
in the second se	28. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22:				
	(d) multiple offenses; and				
	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law [Respondent was admitted in				
	Washington State in 1977].				
29. The following mitigating factor applies under ABA Standard 9.32:					
	(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record.				
	30. The aggravating factors and mitigating factor do not change the presumptive				
	sanction of reprimand.				
	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE				
31. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a reprimand for his conduct.					
	32. Respondent will be subject to probation for a period of two years commencing upon Stipulation to Discipline Page 5  OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Scattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207				

1	final approval of this stipulation with periodic reviews under ELC 13.8 of his trust account					
2	practices, and must comply with the specific probation terms set forth below:					
3 4	a) Respondent shall carefully review and fully comply with RPC 1.15A and RPC 1.15B, and shall carefully review the current version of the publication, Managing Client Trust Accounts: Rules, Regulations, and Common Sense.					
5	b) For all client matters, Respondent shall have a written fee agreement signed by th client, which agreements are to be maintained for least seven years (see RPt 1.15B(a)(3)).					
7	e) On a monthly basis, using ODC's form report entitled "Monthly Reconciliation and Review Report," Respondent shall review the trust-account records detailed on the form report, review the completed report, and sign and date the completed report.					
9	d) On a quarterly basis, Respondent shall provide ODC's audit staff with all trus account records for the time period to be reviewed by ODC's audit staff and disciplinary counsel for compliance with the RPC:					
11		i)	Months $1-3$ . By no later than the $30^{th}$ day of the fourth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the date of commencement of probation to the end of the third full month.			
13 14		ii)	Months $4-6$ . By no later than the $30^{th}$ day of the seventh month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month six.			
16		iii)	Months $7-9$ . By no later than the $30^{th}$ day of the tenth month after the commencement of probation. Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month nine.			
18 19		iv)	Months $10 - 12$ . By no later than the $30^{th}$ day of the thirteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month twelve.			
20 21 22		v)	Months 13–15. By no later than the 30 <sup>th</sup> day of the sixteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month fifteen.			
23	Control of the Contro	vi)	Months 16 – 18. By no later than the 30 <sup>th</sup> day of the nineteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust			
24	Stipulation to Page 6	Discipline	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION (325 4 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 600 Scattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207			

Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(1) if these costs are not paid within 30 2 days of approval of this stipulation. IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT 3 35. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he had an opportunity to 4 consult independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into 5 6 this Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this 7 8 Stipulation except as provided herein. 36. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles 9 applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party. 10 11 X. LIMITATIONS 37. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in 12 accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the 13 expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer 14 and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from 15 the result agreed to herein. 16 38. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all 17 existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional 18 existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings. 19 39. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, 20 21 including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of 22 hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate 23 24 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Stipulation to Discipline OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION Page 8 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600

1	sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in
2	subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved
3	Stipulation.
4	40. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Hearing Officer for
5	his or her review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the Hearing
6	Officer, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.
7	41. If this Stipulation is approved by the Hearing Officer, it will be followed by the
8	disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the Rules for
9	Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made.
10	42. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Hearing Officer, this Stipulation will have
11	no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be admissible as evidence in
12	the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary proceeding, or in any civil
13	or criminal action.
14	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation
15	to Discipline as set forth above.
16	Walto Rile Dated: 12/11/2017
17	Walter O. Peale III, Bar No. 7889
18	Respondent
19	
20	Sonathan Burke Dated: 12/11/2017
21	Senior Disciplinary Counsel
22	
23	