### FILED

APR 1 4 2014

## DISCIPLINARY BOARD

# BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HARVEY S. GRAD,

Lawyer (Bar No. 6506).

Proceeding No. 12#00116

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Reprimand is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Natalea Skvir, Respondent's Counsel Kenneth S. Kagan and Respondent lawyer Harvey S. Grad.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to

Stipulation to Reprimand Page 1

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	avoid the risk	, time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.
2		I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE
3	1. Re	espondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on May 5,
4	1976.	
5		II. STIPULATED FACTS
6	Angie Hein m	<u>aatter</u>
7	1.	In or around December 2004, Angie Hein hired Respondent to pursue a personal
8	injury claim on her behalf.	
9	2.	On or around August 22, 2005, Respondent filed a lawsuit in King County
10	Superior Court on Ms. Hein's behalf.	
11	3.	On or around December 20, 2006, the lawsuit settled for \$6,000.
12	4.	Respondent deposited the settlement check into his trust account and withdrew
13	his \$2,100 fee	and \$1,572.22 for costs shortly thereafter.
14	5.	The remaining balance of \$2,327.78 remained in Respondent's trust account
15	until October 2011.	
16	6.	Between approximately 2007 and 2011, Respondent did not provide Ms. Hein an
17	annual accour	ating of the funds he was holding in his trust account on her behalf.
18	7.	During this time, Respondent did not pay Ms. Hein the funds due her.
19	8.	In October 2011, Respondent disbursed to Ms. Hein the \$2,327.78 which she
20	was due.	
21	Trust account matter	
22	9.	During the period from July 15, 1993 through June 19, 2012, Respondent failed
23	to completely	disburse settlement funds he had received on behalf for a number of clients, at
,		

1	times for a number of years.	
2	10. As of April 28, 2013, Respondent's trust account included \$1,682.28 held on	
3	behalf of clients whom Respondent either could no longer locate or could not identify.	
4	11. Starting in the year 2000, Respondent did not contemporaneously reconcile the	
5	monthly bank statements for his trust account with his trust account records.	
6	12. Since 2006, Respondent did not provide all clients or third persons for whom he	
7	was holding funds a written accounting of their funds on at least an annual basis.	
8	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT	
9	13. By failing to provide Ms. Hein an annual accounting of funds he was holding in	
10	trust for her, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(e).	
11	14. By failing to promptly pay or deliver to his clients or third persons all of the	
12	property which they were entitled to receive, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(f).	
13	15. By failing to reconcile the balance on his monthly bank statements to his trust	
14	account records contemporaneously, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(h)(6).	
15	16. By failing to provide all clients or third persons for whom he was holding funds	
16	an accounting of their funds on at least an annual basis, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(e).	
17	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE	
18	17. Respondent has no record of prior discipline.	
19	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS	
20	18. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions	
21	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case	
22	4.1 Failure to Preserve the Client's Property	
23	Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the factors set out in 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate in cases involving the failure to preserve client property:	
24	involving the failure to preserve chefit property.	

1	4.11 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly converts client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.			
2	4.12 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows or should			
3	know that he is dealing improperly with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.			
	4.13 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing			
4	with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.			
5	4.14 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.			
6	CHOIL.			
7	19. Respondent was negligent in dealing improperly with client funds.			
8	20. Respondent's conduct actually injured his clients by depriving them of funds due			
	them, in some cases for a long period of time.			
9	21. The presumptive sanction is reprimand.			
10	21. The presumptive saliction is reprimaria.			
11	22. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22:			
	(d) multiple offenses; and			
12	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law: Respondent was admitted in 1976.			
13   14	23. The following mitigating factor applies under ABA Standard 9.32:			
15	<ul><li>(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record; and</li><li>(b) absence of a dishonest or selfish motive.</li></ul>			
13	(b) absence of a dishonest or selfish motive.			
16	24. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter			
17	at an early stage of the proceedings.			
18	25. On balance, the aggravating and mitigating factors do no warrant departing from the			
19	presumptive sanction of reprimand.			
20	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE			
21	26. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a reprimand for his conduct.			
22	27. Respondent will be subject to probation for a period of two years commencing upon			
23	final approval of this stipulation, with periodic reviews under ELC 13.8 of his trust account			
<u>,</u>				

The trust account records Respondent provides to ODC for each quarterly review of his trust account will include: (a) a complete checkbook register for his trust account covering the period being reviewed, (b) complete individual client ledger records for any client with funds in Respondent's trust account during all or part of the period being reviewed, as well as for Respondent's own funds in the account (if any), (c) copies of all trust-account bank statements, deposit slips, and cancelled checks covering the period being reviewed, (d) copies of all trust account client ledger reconciliations for the period being reviewed, and (e) copies of reconciliations of Respondent's trust account check register covering the period being reviewed. The ODC's Audit Manager or designee will review Respondent's trust account records for each period.

- d) On the same quarterly time schedule set forth in the preceding paragraph, Respondent will provide ODC's Audit Manager or designee with copies of any and all fee agreements entered into within the time period at issue.
- e) The ODC's Audit Manager or designee may request additional financial or client records if needed to verify Respondent's compliance with RPC 1.15A and/or 1.15B. Within twenty days of a request from ODC's Audit Manager or designee for additional records needed to verify Respondent's compliance with RPC 1.15A and/or RPC 1.15B, Respondent will provide ODC's Audit Manager or designee the additional records requested.
- Respondent will reimburse the Association for time spent by ODC's Audit Manager or designee in reviewing and reporting on Respondent's records to determine his compliance with RPC 1.15A and RPC 1.15B, at the rate of \$85 per hour. Respondent will make payment within thirty days of each written invoice setting forth the auditor's time and payment due.

#### VII. RESTITUTION

28. Respondent has, to date, restored client funds to their owners to the extent possible. Within six months of the approval of this stipulation, Respondent shall remit any funds that remain more than three years after the funds were received or had a last activity date to the Department of Revenue Unclaimed Property Division in accordance with the Uniform Unclaimed Property Act, Chapter 63.29 RCW.

### VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES

29. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early stage of the proceedings,] Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$750

in accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 1 2 13.9(1) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. 3 IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT 30. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he has consulted 4 5 independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into this 6 Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the 7 Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this 8 Stipulation except as provided herein. 9 X. LIMITATIONS 10 31. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in 11 accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the 12 expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer 13 and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from 14 the result agreed to herein. 15 32. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all 16 existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional 17 existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings. 18 33. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, 19 including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of 20 hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As 21 such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate 22 sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in 23 subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved

24

Stipulation.

34. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Hearing Officer for

his or her review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the Hearing

Officer, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.

35. If this Stipulation is approved by the Hearing Officer, it will be followed by the

disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the Rules for

Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made.

36. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Hearing Officer, this Stipulation will have

no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be admissible as evidence in

the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary proceeding, or in any civil

or criminal action.

WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation

to Discipline as set forth above.

Harvey S. Grad, Bar No. 6506

Respondent

Kenneth S. Kagan, Bar No. 12983

Counsel for Respondent

Disciplinary Counsel

Dated: 4-9-14