1		FILED
1		AUG 1 0 2015
2		DISCIPLINARY BOARD
3		BOARO
4	ניזס	
5	DISCIPL	FORE THE INARY BOARD
6 7		OF THE TATE BAR ASSOCIATION
8	In re	Proceeding No. 15#00033
9	NATE D. MANNAKEE,	RESIGNATION FORM OF NATE D. MANNAKEE (ELC 9.3(b))
0	Lawyer (Bar No. 5268).	
1		
2	Nate D. Mannakee, being duly sworr	n, hereby attests to the following:
3	1. I am over the age of eighteen	years and am competent. I make the statements in
4	this declaration from personal knowledge.	
5	2. I was admitted to practice law i	in the State of Washington on October 18, 1973.
6	3. I was served with a Formal Con	mplaint and Notice to Answer in this matter on May
7	19, 2015.	
8	4. After consultation with my cou	unsel, Stephen C. Smith, I have voluntarily decided
9	to resign from the Washington State Bar A	Association (the Association) in Lieu of Discipline
0	under Rule 9.3 of the Rules for Enforcement	t of Lawyer Conduct (ELC).
1	5. Attached hereto as Exhibit A	A is Disciplinary Counsel's statement of alleged
2	misconduct for purposes of ELC 9.3(b).	I am aware of the alleged misconduct stated in
3	disciplinary counsel's statement but rathe	er than defend against the allegations, I wish to
4	Declaration of Respondent Page 1	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4 th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

170

permanently resign from membership in the Association. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit B</u> is my
statement of reasons why I dispute the allegations of misconduct.

6. I am submitting with this affidavit a check in the amount of \$1,941.25 made out to the Washington State Bar Association as payment for expenses and costs.

7.

3

4

5

I agree to pay restitution of \$15,127.66 to Gregory Anderson.

8. I agree to pay any additional costs or restitution that may be ordered by a Review
7 Committee under ELC 9.3(g).

9. I understand that my resignation is permanent and that any future application by
me for reinstatement as a member of the Association is currently barred. If the Supreme Court
changes this rule or an application is otherwise permitted in the future, it will be treated as an
application by one who has been disbarred for ethical misconduct, and that, if I file an
application, I will not be entitled to a reconsideration or reexamination of the facts, complaints,
allegations, or instances of alleged misconduct on which this resignation was based.

14 10. I agree to (a) notify all other states and jurisdictions in which I am admitted of this
15 resignation in lieu of discipline; (b) seek to resign permanently from the practice of law in any
16 such state or jurisdiction; and (c) provide disciplinary counsel with copies of this notification
17 and any response(s). I acknowledge that this resignation could be treated as a disbarment by all
18 other jurisdictions.

19 11. I agree to (a) notify all other professional licensing agencies in any jurisdiction
20 from which I have a professional license that is predicated on my admission to practice law of
21 this resignation in lieu of discipline; (b) seek to resign permanently from any such license; and
22 (c) provide disciplinary counsel with copies of any of these notifications and any responses.

23

12. I agree that when applying for any employment, I will disclose the resignation in

24 || Declaration of Respondent Page 2 lieu of discipline in response to any question regarding disciplinary action or the status of my
 license to practice law.

3 13. I understand that my resignation becomes effective on disciplinary counsel's
4 endorsement and filing of this document with the Clerk, and that under ELC 9.3(c) disciplinary
5 counsel must do so promptly following receipt of this document and payment of costs and
6 expenses.

7 14. When my resignation becomes effective, I agree to be subject to all restrictions that
8 apply to a disbarred lawyer.

9 15. Upon filing of my resignation, I agree to comply with the same duties as a 10 disbarred lawyer under ELC 14.1 through ELC 14.4.

16. I understand that, after my resignation becomes effective, it is permanent. I will never be eligible to apply and will not be considered for admission or reinstatement to the practice of law nor will I be eligible for admission for any limited practice of law.

17. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that

15 || the foregoing is true and correct.

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 3

Declaration of Respondent

1/16/2015

Nate D. Mannakee, Bar No. 5268

ENDORS Managing Disciplina Bar No. 10637

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

EXHIBIT A

Disciplinary Counsel's statement of alleged misconduct for purposes of ELC 9.3(b)

EXHIBIT A

	×.	
1		FILED
2		
3	•	APR 30 2015 DISCIPLINARY
4		BOARD
5		
6		
7	BEFORE	
8	OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION	
9		
10	In re	Proceeding No. 15#00033
11	NATE D. MANNAKEE,	FORMAL COMPLAINT
12	Lawyer (Bar No. 5268).	
13		
.14	Under Rule 10.3 of the Rules for Enforce	ment of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the Office of
15	Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington	State Bar Association charges the above-named
16	lawyer with acts of misconduct under the Rule	s of Professional Conduct (RPC) as set forth
17	below.	
18	ADMISSION T	1
19	1. Respondent Nate D. Mannakee was admitted to the practice of law in the State of	
20	Washington on October 18, 1973.	
21	FACTS REGARDING COUNTS 1-8	
22	2. On June 18, 2007, Gregory And	erson hired Mannakee to get back time loss
23	compensation for a reopened Labor and Industri	es (L&I) claim.
*	Formal Complaint Page 1	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

۰.

002

1	3. Anderson signed a Worker's Compensation Contract (Contingency) with	
2	Mannakee.	
3	4. It provided for attorney's fees at 30% of all back/retroactive benefits recovered.	
4	5. On or about July 27, 2011, Mannakee asked Anderson to sign a Client Settlement	
5	Authority, which provided that Mannakee could settle the back time loss for "not less than	
6	\$34,000." Anderson signed the Client Settlement Authority.	
7	6. Mannakee obtained back time loss compensation for Anderson for the period	
8	January 19, 2005 through August 1, 2011, in a lump sum award of \$85,223.48.	
9	7. On August 4, 2011, L&I issued a check to Anderson c/o Mannakee for \$76,133.20,	
10	representing the \$85,223.48 lump sum award less funds that Anderson owed the state for	
11	support obligations.	
12	8. Mannakee deposited the funds in his trust account.	
13	9. Mannakee did not notify Anderson of the amount lump sum award.	
14	10. Mannakee did not notify Anderson of his receipt of the \$76,133.20 L&I payment.	
15	11. Mannakee calculated his costs at \$238.50, an amount Anderson disputed.	
16	12. At 30% of the \$85,223.48 lump sum award, Mannakee's fee was \$25,567.04.	
17	13. Out of the lump sum award, Anderson should have received at least \$50,327.66	
18	(\$76,133.20, less a \$25,567.04 fee and \$238.50 in costs).	
19	14. In August 2011, Mannakee asked Anderson to sign a Client Settlement Approval	
20	providing that Anderson would accept \$35,200 to settle his back time loss compensation claim.	
21	15. Mannakee did not inform Anderson that \$35,200 was substantially less than he	
22	was entitled to receive under the contingency fee agreement.	
23	16. Anderson signed the Client Settlement Approval.	
	Formal Complaint Page 2 Page 2 Complaint Page 2 Compla 2 Comp	

1 17. The transaction and terms by which Mannakee modified the original fee agreement 2 were not fair and reasonable to Anderson, and/or were not fully disclosed and transmitted in 3 writing to Anderson.

18. Anderson was not advised in writing of the desirability of seeking the advice of
independent legal counsel on the transaction, and/or was not given a reasonable opportunity to
seek independent legal counsel.

7 19. Anderson did not give informed consent in writing to the essential terms of the
8 transaction and Mannakee's role in the transaction, including whether Mannakee was
9 representing Anderson in the transaction.

10

20. Out of the \$76,133.20 L&I payment, Mannakee disbursed \$35,200 to Anderson.

11 21. Mannakee retained the remaining \$40,933.20, which was 48% of the \$85,223.48
12 lump sum award.

22. Mannakee did not provide Anderson with a written statement showing the outcome
of the matter, the remittance to the client, and the method of its determination.

15 23. Mannakee did not provide a written accounting to Anderson of the lump sum
16 award and/or its distribution.

17 24. Out of the \$76,133.20 L&I payment, Mannakee did not promptly remove from his
18 trust account those funds to which he was actually entitled.

25. Out of the \$76,133.20 L&I payment, Mannakee removed from his trust account
funds to which Anderson was entitled, and converted those funds to his own use.

21

COUNT 1

22 26. By failing to notify Anderson that he received a \$76,133.20 L&I payment,
23 Mannakee violated RPC 1.15A(d) and/or RPC 8.4(c).

Formal Complaint Page 3 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

	CONDIT 3
1	COUNT 2
2	27. By modifying the original fee agreement to his own advantage, Mannakee violated
3	RPC 1.5(b), RPC 1.8(a), and/or RPC 8.4(c).
4	COUNT 3
5	28. By retaining funds in excess of the fee to which he was entitled, Mannakee
6	violated RPC 1.5(a) and/or RPC 8.4(c).
7	COUNT 4
8	29. By failing to provide Anderson with a written statement showing the outcome of
9	the matter, the remittance to the client, and the method of its determination, Mannakee violated
10	RPC 1.5(c)(3) and/or RPC 8.4(c).
	COUNT 5
11	30. By failing to provide a written accounting to Anderson of the lump sum award
12	and/or its distribution, Mannakee violated RPC 1.15A(e) and/or RPC 8.4(c).
13	COUNT 6
14 15	31. By retaining his own funds in his trust account, Mannakee violated RPC 1.15A(c)
	and/or RPC 1.15A(h)(1).
16	COUNT 7
17	32. By failing to promptly pay Anderson the funds to which Anderson was entitled,
18	Mannakee violated RPC 1.15A(f) and/or RPC 8.4(c).
19	COUNT 8
20	33. By converting Anderson's funds to his own use, Mannakee violated RPC 1.15A(b)
21	and/or RPC 8.4(c).
22	
23	
	Formal Complaint Page 4 COFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	THEREFORE, Disciplinary Counsel requests that a hearing be held under the Rules for
2	Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct. Possible dispositions include disciplinary action, probation,
3	restitution, and assessment of the costs and expenses of these proceedings.
4	, c1/2
5	Dated this Hay of April, 2015.
6	All I
7	Linda B. Eide, Bar No. 10637
8	Managing Disciplinary Counsel
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
18	
20	
21	
22	
23	
	Formal Complaint Page 5

EXHIBIT B

Respondent's Statement of Reasons Disputing Bar's Allegations of Misconduct

EXHIBIT B

1	Stephen C. Smith, WA State Bar No. 15414 JAWLEY TROXELL ENNIS & HAWLEY LLP			
2	77 Main Street, Suite 1000			
3	P.O. Box 1617 Boise, ID 83701-1617			
4	Telephone: 208.344.6000 Facsimile: 208.954.5268			
5	E-mail: ssmith@hawleytroxell.com			
6	Attorneys for Nate D. Mannakee			
7		RETHE		
8	OF	ARY BOARD THE		
9	WASHINGTON STAT	E BAR ASSOCIATION		
10	In re	Proceeding No. 15#00033		
11	NATE D. MANNAKEE	RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF REASONS DISPUTING BAR'S		
12	Lawyer (Bar No. 5268).	ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT		
13				
14	Respondent Nate Mannakee respectfully submits his Statement of Reasons Disputing the			
15	Bar's Allegations of Misconduct. The following statement details disputes Respondent has with the			
16	allegations contained in the Bar's complaint.			
17	Respondent admits that one provision of t	ne Worker's Compensation Contract (Contingency)		
18	which Gregory Anderson signed provided for atto	orney fees of 30 percent of all back/retroactive		
19	benefits recovered, and affirmatively asserts that	the contract provided for an additional		
20	administrative fee for monitoring the claim, inclu	ding copy charges, long distance charges, vocational		
21	services and ongoing time loss. Respondent asser	ts that the additional administrative fee was 15		
22	percent of the time loss rate, or \$60.00 per month	, whichever was greater.		
23	Respondent admits that Gregory Anderso	n signed the Client Settlement Authority on July 27,		
24	2011, and admits that the Client Settlement Auth	ority provided that Attorney could settle the back		
25	time loss claim for "not less than \$34,000." But	Mannakee denies the remainder of the Bar's		
26	allegations in paragraph 5 of the Complaint, and	affirmatively asserts that Attorney had obtained		
27	Anderson's oral \$34,000 settlement authority dur	ing a meeting on July 26, 2011 between Anderson		
28	RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF REASON DISPUTING BAR'S ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT - 1 Proceeding No.: 15#00033	S Hawley Troxell Ennis & Hawley LLP 877 Main Street, Suite 1000 - P.O. Box 1617 Boise, Idaho 83701-1617 208.344.6000		
		47413.0001.7523071.1		

1 || an

27

and Attorney's staff paralegal Michael Hawkins.

Respondent denies the allegations and other claims in paragraphs 9 and 10 of the Complaint, 2 and affirmatively asserts that during the in-person disbursement meeting on August 11, 2011 3 Anderson received and read copies of LNI's August 4, 2011 back time loss order and accompanying 4 \$76,133.20 payment check. Mannakee admits that costs were calculated at \$238.50 but he denies that 5 Anderson disputed this amount at any time while he was Attorney's client. Attorney concedes in 6 retrospect that the \$238.50 was inappropriately charged to Anderson. The costs were to have been 7 included in the administrative fee for monitoring the claim, and should not have been billed 8 9 separately.

10 Respondent asserts that 30 percent of \$85,223.48 was not the full fee owed to him by
11 Anderson under the contract Anderson signed with Mannakee.

Mannakee denies the allegations in paragraph 13 of the Complaint, and affirmatively asserts that the \$238.20 in costs should not have been charged to Anderson, and that \$8,006.31 in administrative fees were Anderson's additional obligation under the contract Anderson signed with Attorney.

Anderson signed a Client Settlement Approval providing that Anderson accepted \$35,200 to 16 settle his back time loss compensation claim and Respondent asserts that the Client Settlement 17 Approval was signed by Anderson on August 11, 2011, during an in-person disbursement meeting in 18 Mannakee's office with Michael Hawkins present. Respondent further asserts that before voluntarily 19 signing the Client Settlement Approval at the August 11, 2011 meeting, Anderson read and received a 20 copy of LNI's August 4, 2011 back time loss order which showed the \$85,223.48 lump sum award, 21 and read and received a copy of LNI's accompanying \$76,133.20 payment check, and entered into a 22 detailed fee discussion with Respondent. Mannakee denies each and every other allegation in 23 24 paragraphs 14, 15 and 16 of the Complaint.

Respondent admits that the transaction and terms by which the original fee agreement were
modified were not fully disclosed in the Client Settlement Approval which Anderson signed on

28 RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF REASONS DISPUTING BAR'S ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT - 2 Proceeding No.: 15#00033 Hawley Troxell Ennis & Hawley LLP 877 Main Street, Suite 1000 - P.O. Box 1617 Boise, Idaho 83701-1617 208.344.6000

August 11, 2011. Mannakee also admits that the Client Settlement Approval was the only written 1 document prepared by Respondent at the time which memorialized the disbursement transaction. 2 Attorney denies every other allegation in paragraph 17 of the Complaint, and affirmatively asserts 3 that the transaction and terms by which the original fee agreement were modified were fully disclosed 4 to Anderson previously and again on August 11, 2011. Respondent also states that Anderson 5 approved the disbursement and the fee on August 11, 2011 as his free and voluntary act while fully 6 informed of the \$85,223.48 lump sum award, the \$76,133.20 LNI net payment, and the details of the 7 8 fee to which he was agreeing.

9 Respondent admits Anderson was not advised in writing of the desirability of seeking the
10 advice of independent counsel on the disbursement transaction but denies that Anderson did not have
11 a reasonable opportunity to seek independent legal counsel.

Respondent denies the allegations in paragraph 19 of the Complaint, and affirmatively asserts
that from and after he retained Mannakee's services on June 18, 2007, to September 23, 2013 when
Anderson was discharged as Attorney's client, Anderson knew that Attorney was representing him in
his LNI claim.

Respondent also asserts that the transaction and terms by which the original fee agreement 16 were modified were fully disclosed to Anderson before and again on August 11, 2011, and that 17 Anderson approved the disbursement and the fee on August 11, 2011 as his free and voluntary act 18 while fully informed of the \$85,223.48 lump sum award, the \$76,133.20 LNI net payment, and the 19 details of the fee to which he was agreeing. Anderson cashed or otherwise negotiated the remittance 20 check he received from Respondent's trust account in this matter, a further indication that he was 21 aware of the outcome. Before he voluntarily signed the Client Settlement Approval at the August 11, 22 2011 meeting, Respondent provided Anderson with copies of LNI's August 4, 2011 back time loss 23 order which showed the \$85,223.48 lump sum award and the support obligation liens deducted from 24 it, and LNI's accompanying \$76,133.20 payment check, and entered into a detailed fee discussion 25 with Anderson. Anderson, like every other recipient of LNI claim benefits, has 24-hours per day, 26

27

28 RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF REASONS DISPUTING BAR'S ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT - 3 Proceeding No.: 15#00033 Hawley Troxell Ennis & Hawley LLP 877 Main Street, Suite 1000 - P.O. Box 1617 Boise, Idaho 83701-1617 208.344.6000

1	seven-days-per-week access online to actions on his claim, specifically including but not limited to,	
2	time loss payment and rate orders.	
3	Mannakee asserts that the \$40,694.70 balance of the \$40,933.20 retained by Attorney were	
4	funds to which he was entitled, and Anderson was not entitled, pursuant to the superseding fee	
5	agreement negotiated between Respondent and Anderson on August 11, 2011.	
6	DATED THIS 9 th day of July, 2015.	
7	HAWLEY TROXELL ENNIS & HAWLEY LLP	
8	1101170	
9 10	By: Stephen C. Smith, WSBA #15414	
11	877 Main Street, Suite 1000 P.O. Box 1617	
12	Boise, ID 83701-1617 Telephone: 208.388.4990	
13	Facsimile:208.954.5268E mail:scsmith@hawleytroxell.com	
14	Attorneys for Nate D. Mannakee	
15	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
16		
17	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 9 th day of July, 2015, I caused to be served a true copy of the foregoing RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF REASONS DISPUTING BAR'S ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT by the method indicated below, and addressed to each of the	
18	following:	
19	Linda B. Eide U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid	
20	Managing Disciplinary Counsel Hand Delivered Washington State Bar Association Overnight Mail	
21	1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600E-mailSeattle, WA 98101-2539Telecopy	
22		
23	Ilpute	
24	Stephen C. Smith	
25		
26		
27	RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF REASONS Hawley Troxell Ennis & Hawley LLP	
28	RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF REASONS DISPUTING BAR'S ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT - 4 Proceeding No.: 15#00033Hawley Troxell Ennis & Hawley LLP 877 Main Street, Suite 1000 - P.O. Box 1617 Boise, Idaho 83701-1617 208.344.600047413.0001.7523071.1	